

1 36777  
2 BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER  
3  
4

KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

5 In the Matter of Request for )  
6 Conditional Use Permit 77-13 for ) Klamath County Hearings Division  
7 Keith Read for ) FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER  
8 Klamath County, Applicant )

9 Pursuant to application date, June 16, 1977, notice was  
10 given setting a hearing before the Klamath County Hearings Officer,  
11 Del Parks, in conformity with Ordinance 35, Klamath County.

12 Those present in addition to Hearings Officer, were:  
13 Isabel Rodriguez, Recording Secretary; Carl Shuck, Associate Planner,  
14 representing the Planning Department; Keith Read, representing the  
15 applicant--Klamath County.

16 A hearing was held on July 6, 1977, and was continued to  
17 September 10, 1977, at which time the hearing was reconvened at the  
18 site of the proposed Moto-Cross Park. That hearing was then con-  
19 tinued and resumed on October 4, 1977, at which time further  
20 evidence was taken and the hearing was closed. In addition to the  
21 presence at the September 10, 1977, hearing, the Hearings Officer  
22 independently toured the site by helicopter at his own expense and  
23 on his own time.

24 At the various hearings, there were approximately 200 to  
25 250 people total. Many of the same persons attended all three  
26 hearings, and their presence is reflected three times in the above  
27 computation. The hearings consisted of eight hours, plus a 2½ hour  
28 demonstration at the proposed site.

20713

1        There were 190 pages of documentary evidence contained in  
2        30 documentary exhibits; in addition, 30 people testified during  
3        the testimony phase of the hearings.

4        Evidence offered in support of the application consisted  
5        generally as follows:

6        1. Several members of the Klamath Basin Motorcycle  
7        Association testified that the county lacked an adequate area for  
8        them to carry on their various motorcycle activities, most notably  
9        racing.

10       2. An Environmental Impact Study prepared by the Federal  
11      Government the conclusion of which was that the proposed use would  
12      meet the general guidelines of the Bureau of Land Management.

13       3. A letter from the Klamath County Commissioners dated  
14      May 25, 1977, in support of the proposed use.

15       4. Aerial photos of the subject site showing the terrain  
16      as it presently exists.

17       5. Testimony of the County Road Engineer estimating the  
18      costs of the proposed improvements, detailing the present access to  
19      the proposed site from the city of Klamath Falls, an expression of  
20      opinion as to the nature and amount of the traffic presently using  
21      the road and the fact that the present access to the site was  
22      sufficient to safely carry the amount of traffic that the proposed  
23      use would generate.

24       6. Evidence presented by the County Agent that the soil  
25      generally was suitable for the intended use and the erosion problems  
26      caused by the use could be mitigated by the establishment of some  
27      type of cover crop upon the proposed site.

28       7. Evidence demonstrating the noise level to be created

21713

1 by the use of a limited number of motorcycles would be within the  
2 Department of Environmental Quality standards.

3       8. A tentative proposal in the form of a map outlining  
4 the general configuration that the proposed track would take.

5       9. A form of contract commonly used by the Bureau of  
6 Land Management in the leasing of their property to other govern-  
7 mental bodies for recreational usage.

8 EVIDENCE IN OPPOSITION:

9       1. Documentary and testimonial evidence from the Oregon  
10 Department of Fish and Wildlife that the proposed use would inter-  
11 fere to some extent with an established game management activity  
12 that was present and had for some time previously been conducted  
13 upon the property.

14       2. Testimony from ranchers in the area as to the nature  
15 of the game present in the area, the amount of food such game  
16 consumes, and the time periods generally when the game is most  
17 prevalent. This testimony conflicted in some instances markedly  
18 with the evidence offered by the Department of Fish and Wildlife  
19 Officers.

20       3. Petitions containing the signatures of approximately  
21 500 persons in objection to the proposed use. Petitions being  
22 reported as containing the signatures of all the residents in the  
23 immediate area except one family.

24       4. Testimony of various residence as to the nature and  
25 the amount of traffic present on the road, which testimony  
26 indicated that the nature and the amount of traffic had increased  
27 since the county last conducted a traffic count which increase was  
28 caused by additional farm vehicles within the area, the opening of

1 the Merrill dump to all county residents, and it is opened to all  
2 the county on all days. The fact that during the summer in the  
3 planting, harvesting, and the transportation aspect of the farming  
4 enterprises carried on in the area. A significant number of slow  
5 moving trucks, tractors, and various other farm implements are  
6 utilizing the road.

7       5. Testimony of ranchers within the area as to the  
8 nature of the soil, its characteristics when wet, and the general  
9 conditions of the topsoil.

10      A great deal of other testimony was offered, but the  
11 testimony set forth above is that testimony which was deemed to be  
12 both admissible, competent, and relevant by the Hearings Officer.  
13

14 BASED ON THE FOREGOING, THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS OF FACT ARE ENTERED:  
15

16      1. There exists within the Klamath Basin a need for a  
17 moto-cross park for the use of various motorcycle enthusiasts. The  
18 magnitude of the need was not demonstrated, and no one seemed to  
19 know with a degree of certainty, how many persons are present within  
20 the Basin that would utilize such a facility, nor if such a facility  
21 was constructed, how many persons outside the county would be  
22 attracted to the proposed moto-cross park.

23      2. Under the terms of the Zoning Ordinance, the use such  
24 as proposed can be placed only in an AF (Agricultural Forestry)  
25 Zone and then, only subject to a Conditional Use Permit.

26      3. The site for the proposed use is adequate in size  
27 and shape to accommodate the use subject to a number of conditions.  
28 The soil is not such as will accommodate the proposed use due to

1 the fact that the soil will not compact without becoming extremely  
2 slippery. The testimony of the farmers within the area is accepted  
3 on this point and the testimony of the County Agent rejected because  
4 the proposed project suggested by the County Agent, if successful,  
5 would enhance the fire danger during the months of July, August,  
6 and September--the period during which the proposed use would have  
7 the greatest number of participants on the site.

8       4. The County, through its park board, has not given  
9 sufficient thought, or consideration to the liability that the  
10 County would be assuming in executing a lease with the Bureau of  
11 Land Management and subleasing the property to a private organiza-  
12 tion. The County apparently believes that by subleasing the  
13 property, the liability in connection with the use would end, the  
14 Hearings Officer disagrees.

15       5. The access to the site is not adequate by virtue of  
16 the fact that this is a farm-to-market road and is used constantly  
17 and on everyday of the week, including Sunday, for the transporta-  
18 tion of farm products, implements, and equipment at extremely slow  
19 speeds on a relatively curvy road during the time of the year when  
20 the proposed use would have the greatest number of persons going  
21 to and from the site.

22       6. The proposed use specifically violates one of the  
23 proposed goals of the Midland-Worden Area Committee for Citizen  
24 Involvement in that it interferes with their goal for the  
25 protection of the quality of rural living.

26       7. The proposed use creates an enhanced condition of  
27 soil erosion as demonstrated in pictures taken before and after  
28 the demonstration.

1           8. From the testimony of farmers who live and travel  
2 daily within the area, the Hearings Officer finds that there are  
3 deer and other wildlife in that area in greater and more significant  
4 numbers than the testimony of the Department of Fish and Wildlife  
5 would indicate. The proposed use would interfere to some extent  
6 with the Wildlife Program being undertaken by the Department of  
7 Fish and Wildlife, but to a lesser degree than that suggested by  
8 the testimony of the Fish and Wildlife Officers.

10 BASED ON THE FOREGOING FINDINGS OF FACT:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the applicant has failed to meet the burden of proof to establish by preponderance of the evidence the requirements as are contained in Article 114 of the Klamath County Zoning Ordinance and accordingly the application for the Conditional Use Permit be and the same is hereby DENIED.

Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this 7 day  
of November, 1977.

**KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION**

By *D. L. Sauer* Hearings Officer



# Klamath County - Planning Department

COURTHOUSE — 503-882-2501, Ext. 285 — Klamath Falls, Oregon 97601

24717

IN THE MATTER OF  
MAILING NOTICES FOR  
*Keith Read*

## AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF OREGON  
County of Klamath

I, Isabel Rodriguez, being first duly sworn, depose and say that I am an employee of the Klamath County Planning Department, and that on the 7th of November, 1977, I did deposit in the U.S. postal system 25 copies of said MAILING NOTICE, a copy of same being attached hereto, in a sealed envelope addressed to those individuals on the mailing list attached hereto.

Isabel Rodriguez

SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me this 7th day of  
November 1977.

Peter M. Kelly  
NOTARY PUBLIC FOR OREGON

My commission expires

8-3-88

WE ARE AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

21718

Copies of the Order for Keith Read for Conditional Use  
Permit 77-13 sent to the following:

Paul McKilop  
P.O. Box 112  
Merrill, OR 97633

Murel Long  
Box M  
Merrill, OR 97633

Keith Read  
Klamath County  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Jack Liskey  
Box 467  
Merrill, OR 97633

Leon Andrieu  
Box 64  
Midland, OR 97634

Walton Du Pont  
P.O. Box 242  
Merrill, OR 97633

Iela Niven  
P.O. Box 47  
Midland, OR 97634

George P. Andrieu  
P.O. Box 75  
Midland, OR 97634

Regis Andrieu  
Box 32  
Midland, OR 97634

L. D. Cheyne  
Box 86  
Midland, OR 97634

Don Niven Jr.  
Box 76  
Midland, OR 97634

Dan Eastman  
4343 Miller Island Road  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601

BLM  
P.O. Box 151  
Lakeview, OR 97630

Bill Block  
P.O. Box 183  
Keno, OR 97627

Paul Hug  
4430 Memorie Lane  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Bob Lecklider  
P.O. Box Z  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Brad Lecklider  
1414 Carlyle  
Klamath Falls, OR

Dave McCulloch  
Route 1 Box 922EB  
Midland, OR 97634

Steve Fisher  
527 Van Ness  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Lee Hartfield  
3107 Austin  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Jerry O'Connor  
P.O. Box 6  
Merrill, OR 97633

L. D. Cheyne  
Box 74  
Midland, OR 97634

(continued)

Page 2

21719

Copies of the Order for Keith Read for Conditional Use  
Permit 77-13 sent to the following:

Don Niven  
Box 47  
Midland, OR 97634

Carol Sisso  
Herald News  
1301 Esplanade  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Margaret Wilder  
2930 Miller Island Road  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601

STATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; ss.

Filed for record at ~~the expense of~~ \_\_\_\_\_  
this 10th day of November A.D. 1977 at 9:15 o'clock A.M. on  
July recorded in Vol. M77, of Deeds on Page 21711

Wm D. MILNE, County Clr.

By Bernie H. Fletcher

No Fee