3

4

5

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Klamath Falls, Oregon

IN THE MATTER FOR CHANGE) OF ZONE NO. 27-19, BY

BURTON E. GRAY

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

25

(22)

13

14

16

18

20

25 26

27

28

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing upon the application of Burton E. Gray for a change of zone No. 77-19, for a change from RA (Residential-Agricultural) to RD 3,000 (Residential Multiple Family). A public hearing having been heard by the Klamath County Planning Commission on August 23, 1977, wherefrom the testimony, reports and information produced at the hearing by the applicant, members of the Planning Department Staff and other persons in attendance. The Planning Commission recommended approval to the Board of County Commissioners Following action by the Planning Commission, a public hearing 15 before the Board of County Commissioners was regularly held on September 26, 1977, wherefrom the testimony, it appeared that the 17 record below was accurate and complete and it appears from the testimony, reports and information produced at the hearing 19 below that the application for a change of zone for certain real property described as a portion of land situated in the South 1/2 21 of Lot 3, Section 3, Township 395, Range 9E.W.M., Klamath County, 22 23 Oregon, should be granted. 24

The Board of County Commissioners makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as required by Ordinance No. 17, the Klamath County Zoning G. Linance.

Findings of Fact:

8

9 10

11 12

13

15 16

14

17

18 19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26 27

28

1. On August 23, 1977, before the Planning Commission, 2 the Planning Department Staff pointed out that the parcel for change of zone was located on the East side of Arthur Street and approximately 175 feet North of Alva and approximately 354 feet South of Shasta Way.

- 2. It was pointed out that the parcel for change of zone is 21,780 square feet in size and rectangular in shape, this was pointed out from Klamath County Exhibit "C", the Assessor's map.
- 3. Applicant's Plot Plan indicated that the Applicant could develop the lot for multiple uses, that being three (3) duplexes, and meet all property development standards under Article 51, the RD 3,000 (Resider ial Multiple Family) zone of Ordinance No. 17.
 - 4. Applicant testified that Arthur Street is a paved county road and can carry the quantity of traffic that would be produced by the change of zone on the Ar Dicant's parcel.
 - 5. Applicant's Plot Plan, which was marked, Applicant's Exhibit No. 3, indicated that his access would be onto Arthur Street as well as via a 20 foot private lane which connects to Alva Street.
 - 6. Testimony from the Staff, indicated that in the surrounding area were some single family dwellings, but to the South and West of Arthur Street the trend appeared to be towards that of multiple uses.
 - 7. A letter from the Klamath Housing Authority dated July 12, 1977, marked Applicant's primit No. 4 indicated to the Planning Commission that there was definitely a need for ORDER NO. 77-19 Page 2

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

this type of housing, that being duplement

- 8. On August 23, 1977, the Planding Commission did 3 not receive any testimony in opposition of this Comprehensive Land Use Plan change.
 - 9. Goal No. 1 of L.C.D.C. pertains to the zone change in that citizens were notified of the hearing and had the opportunity to testify.
 - 10. Goal No. 9, the Economy of the State, pertains to this zone change in that this change will provide for development in the area and increase labor.
 - 11. Goal No. 10 of the L.C.D.C. gals pertains to this zone change in that this change provides for housing needs and rentals at price ranges that would be compatible to other multiple uses.
 - 12. Goal 14 of L.C.D.C. pertains to the zone change in that this use is within a proposed Urean Growth Boundary Line.

Conclusions of Law:

- 1. The property affected by the change of zone is adequate in size and shape to facilitate those uses normally allowed in conjunction with such zoning
- 2. The property affected by the proposed change of zone is properly related to streets and highways to adequately serve the type of traffic generated by such uses that may be permitted therein.
- 3. The proposed change of zone will have no adverse effect or only limited adverse effect of any property or the permitted uses thereof within the affected area.

ORDER NO. 77-19 Page 3

11

13

14

I hereby certify that the within instrument was received and filed for record on the ... 30th day of By Denetha & detoch

FEE ___None