Vol. 78 Page 11499 49217 BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 1 In and For the County of Klamath, State of Oregon 2 3 4 IN THE MATTER FOR CHANGE) $O \underline{R} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{R}$ OF ZONE NO. 77-8, FOR $\mathbf{5}$ HAMILTON L. LUCAS 6 7 THIS MATTER having come on for hearing upon the applica-8 tion of Hamilton L. Lucas for a change of zone No. 77-8, for a S 9 change from RD 10,000 (Single Family Residential) to RD 8,000 cə 10 (Single Family Residential). A public hearing, having been 11 heard by the Klamath County Planning Commission on July 19, 1977, 12 wherefrom the testimony, reports and information produced at 13 60 the hearing by the applicant, members of the Planning Depart-14 ment Staff and other persons in attendance. The Planning Commis-15 sion recommended approval to the Board of County Commissioners. 16 Following action by the Planning Commission, a public hearing 17 before the Board of County Commissioners was regularly held 18 on October 3, 1977, wherefrom the testimony, it appeared that 19 the record below was accurate and complete and it appears 20 from the testimony, reports and information produced at the 21 hearing below that the application for a change of zone for 22 certain real property described as Lot 11 of Empire Tract 23which is located in Section 35, Township 385, Range OE.W.M., 24 Klamath County, Oregon, should be granted. 25 The Board of County Commissioners makes the following 26 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as required by Ordinance 27 No. 17, the Klamath County Zoning Ordinance. 28

11500

Findings of Fact: 1

6

7

8

9

23

2 1. On July 19, 1977, before the Planning Commission, 3 the Planning Department Staff pointed out the the Planning Commission, from Klamath County Exhibit "C", being Assessor Map, the 4 $\mathbf{5}$ proposed site was Lot 11 and Tax Lot 7500 of Empire Tracts. 2. The Planning Department Staff also pointed out that the subject parcel was located east of Etna Street and South of Frieda Street and approximately 19,241 square feet in size.

10 3. On July 19, 1977, before the Planning Commission, 11 applicant pointed out that the proposed lot which was approx-12 imately 19,241 square feet in size, was adequate to create 13 two (2) lots which would meet the property development stand-14 ards of the RD 8,000 zone in area.

15 4. On July 19, 1977, before the Planning Commission, 16 applicant and Planning Department Staff indicated the proposed 17 site was relatively flat and that on the lot was an existing 18 house plus two (2) sheds. 19

5. Applicant testified before the Planning Commission 20 on July 19, 1977, that the existing house was to be torn down 21 and replaced by a mobile home as drafted out on Klamath County 22 Exhibit "E", which was a plot plan.

6. Planning Department Staff pointed out to Planning $\mathbf{24}$ Commission that the site for the proposed zone change was 25 rectanglar in shape and that the one lot, once it became two 26 (2) lots, the shape of the two (2) lots would be rectanglar 27 in shape, with the lot abutting Frieda Street would be 9,585 28 square feet in area.

ORDER NO. 77-8 Page 2

11501

7. It was pointed out to the Planning Commission by the applicant that the adjacent lots had older conventional houses, and also an intermixing of mobile homes in the sur-2 rounding area, and that this appeared to be the trend in the 3 4 8. On July 19, 1977, it was pointed out to the area. $\mathbf{5}$ 6

1

7

Planning Commission that access would be off of Etna which is a county paved road and could carry the kind of traffic 8 that this proposed zone change would create.

9. Applicant testified to the Planning Commission, 9 that the reason for access being off of Etna Street, is that 10 Frieda Street has such a drop-off and therefore would be 11 12 inadequate for access.

10. It was pointed out to the Planning Commission, 13 by the staff that there was RD 5,000 (Single Family Residen-14 tial), Klamath County Exhibit "D", the zoning map, zoning to 15 the East a half block away and to the west a half block away 16 was another area where there was RD 5,000 (Single Family 17 Residential) zoning, which is a higher density type zone. 18 11. It was pointed out by the Planning Department 19 staff to the Planning Commission on July 19, 1977, that this 20 proposed zone change was a zone change only and that the change 21 of zone for the site was in conformance with the C.L.U.P. map. 22 12. Before the Planning Commission, Hank Holman a 23 Realtor, testified that there was a demand for RD 8,000 (Single 24 Family Residential) lots and that there is a need for this 25 change of zone, in that, his office has been contacted about 26 27 smaller lots of this size. 28

> ORDER NO. 77-8 Page 3

11502

1 13. Goal No. 1 of LCDC pertains to citizen involve-2 ment, as citizens did testify in favor of this zone change, 3 particularly in the area of need.

4 14. Goal No. 10 of LCDC pertains to this particular 5 zone change in that this change of zone allows for a housing 6 need that would benefit the applicant as well as improve the 7 area.

8 15. Goal No. 14 of LCDC pertains to this change of
9 zone in that the location of this proposed site appears to be
10 within the urban growth boundaries.

11 Conclusions of Law:

12 I. The property affected by the change of zone is
 13 adequate in size and shape to facilitate those uses normally
 14 allowed in conjunction with such zoning.

15 2. The property affected by the proposed change of
16 zone is properly related to streets and highways to adequately
17 serve the type of traffic generated by such uses that may be
18 permitted therein.

19 3. The proposed change of zone will have no adverse
20 effect or only limited adverse effect on any property or the
21 permitted uses thereof within the affected area.

4. That the proposed change of zone is in keeping
with land user and improvements, trends in land development,
density of land development, and prospective needs for development in the affected area.

26 5. That the proposed change of zone is in keeping
27 with any land use plans duly adopted and does, in effect,
28 represent the highest, best and most appropriate use of the

ORDER NO. 77-8 Page 4

11503 land affected. 1 2 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the 3 application of Hamilton L. Lucas for a change of zone from RD 10,000 (Single Family Residential) to RD 8,000 (Single 4 Family Residential) on real property described as being lot $\mathbf{5}$ 6 11 of Empire Tracts, located in Section 35, Township 385, 7 Range 9E.W.M., is hereby granted. 8 DONE AND DATED THIS <u>30th</u> day of <u>May</u> 9 1978. 10 11 det in Nell Kuoneń 12 Chairman 13 30 20 C 14 Floyd Wynne 15 Commissioner 16 17 Lloyd Gift 18 Commissioner Commences and Jordan & 19 20 21 APPROVED AS TO FORM: Boivin, Boivin and Aspell 22 marti Sound 23 By: 24 TATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; 25 iled for record at request of _____Klamath_Gounty_____ ma 31st day of May A. D. 19 78 at8:58'clock A.M., or 26 tuly recorded in Vol. <u>M78</u>, of <u>Deeda</u> 27 on Page 11499 Wm D. MILNE, County Cleri 28 Dennels ORDER NO. 77-8 No Fee Page 5