

32834

THIS TRUST DEED, made this 5th day of July, 1989,
 between CONGRESS OF AMIGLCO AND KATHA L. LAM, ESQ., NUSCAID AND
TRANSAMERICA TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, CALIFORNIA, CLINTON R. FIDELITY
SERVICES, INC., CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, TRUSTEE & Beneficiary
of the Trust, and WELLS FARGO REALTY

Grantor, hereinafter, grants, bargains, sells and conveys to Grantee, with power of sale, the property in Klamath County, Oregon, described as:

Lot 45 in Block 28 of Tract 1444 in Upper Shore Unit 1 as shown on the map filed on December 9, 1977 in Volume 31 Page 10 of Maps in the office of the County Recorder of said County.

[illegible][illegible]

to the fact that the property was not in the hands of the defendant at the time of the seizure. The court held that the seizure was lawful and that the property was not to be returned to the defendant. The court also held that the defendant was not entitled to a writ of habeas corpus to recover the property. The court further held that the defendant was not entitled to a writ of certiorari to review the seizure. The court also held that the defendant was not entitled to a writ of mandamus to compel the return of the property. The court finally held that the defendant was not entitled to a writ of prohibition to prevent the seizure. The court's decision was based on the fact that the property was not in the hands of the defendant at the time of the seizure. The court held that the seizure was lawful and that the property was not to be returned to the defendant. The court also held that the defendant was not entitled to a writ of habeas corpus to recover the property. The court further held that the defendant was not entitled to a writ of certiorari to review the seizure. The court also held that the defendant was not entitled to a writ of mandamus to compel the return of the property. The court finally held that the defendant was not entitled to a writ of prohibition to prevent the seizure. The court's decision was based on the fact that the property was not in the hands of the defendant at the time of the seizure.

[illegible][illegible]

1. The grantor covenants and agrees to and with the grantee and those claiming under him, that he is lawfully seized
 simple of and disencumbered property and has a valid interest therein.

NOTE: The trust deed and mortgage are subject to the provisions of the Trust Deed and Mortgage Act, 1925, which provides that the grantor shall be deemed to have authorized the trustee to execute the same.

PROPERTY OF NSA 4419

