64426

1

2

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $\mathbf{26}$

27

28

H.

~

177

10

BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

3In the Matter of Request for)4Variance 79-6 for5David Rose, Applicant

Klamath County Planning Department FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER

Vol. 79 Page 547

A Hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, Oregon, on March 14, 1979, pursuant to notice given in conformance with Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before Klamath County Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. The Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Carl Shuck. The Hearings Reporter was Susan Baggett.

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and on behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property owners present who stated they had objections to the proposed Variance requested by the applicant.

The following Exhibits were offered, received and made a part of the record:

Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report Klamath County Exhibit B, the Photographs

Klamath County Exhibit C, the Klamath County Assessor's map of the subject property

Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, the Plot Plan

The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following Findings of Fact:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. There are exceptional and extraordinary

Var. 79-6/David Rose Page 2

1 circumstances which apply to the applicant's property that do 2 not apply to other property owners in the area, in that the 3 placement of the existing residence on the lot is such that the 4 placement of any addition cannot be done without the granting 5 of this Varience.

6486

6 2. The granting of the Variance is necessary for the
7 preservation of the applicant's right to have the full use of
8 his property, which is a right possessed by other property
9 owners in the area.

10 3. If this Variance is not granted, it will cause un11 due hardship to the applicant.

12 4. No one testified in opposition to the Variance, and
13 there was not evidence that the granting of this Variance would
14 in any way be detrimental to the public health, safety, and
15 welfare.

16 5. The requested Variance is the minimum Variance
17 which would alleviate the hardship in this case.

18 6. The Variance requested will not allow use of the
19 property for a purpose which is not authorized in the zone.

20 7. The granting of this Variance is consistent with 21 the Goals of LCDC.

22 The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings
23 of Fact, accordingly orders as follows:
24 That roal property is in the foregoing findings

That real property described as the

25

26

27 28 "Township 39 South, Range 9, East of the Willamette Meridian, Section 13, Tax Lot 900, Lot 6 of the West Klamath Subdivision, Klamath County, Oregon"

is hereby granted a Variance in accordance with the terms of the

Var. 79-6/David Rose Page 3 Klamath County Ordinance No. 35 from 20 feet to 15 feet. Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this day of **MARCH**, 1979. KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION By Or Mearings Officer TATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; SS. Hed for record at request of ____Klamath County his 22nd day of March A. D. 199 at :27 o'clock PM., and _____ on Page_6479 uly recorded in Vol. _____, of _____ Wm D. MILNE, County Cler By Demechan Shets ch No Fee Commissioners Journal