Vol. 70 Page 10121 66626 BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER 1 KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON 2 In the Matter of Request ) Klamath County Planning Department 3 for Variance 79-8 for Don) FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER 4 Weston, Applicant 5 6 A hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, 7 Oregon, on April 11, 1979, pursuant to notice given in con-8 formance with Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before Klamath 9 County Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. 10 The Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Carl 11 Shuck. The Hearings Reporter was Susan Baggett. 12 Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and 13 on behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property 14 owners present who stated they had objections to the proposed 15 Variance requested by the applicant. 16 The following Exhibits were offered, received and made 17 a part of the record: 18 Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report 19 Klamath County Exhibit B, the Photographs 20 Klamath County Exhibit C, the Assessor's Map indicating 21 zone, CLUP, size and shape of lot 22 Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, the Map of the Area 23 Applicant's Exhibit No. 2, the Plot Plan 24 The hearings was then closed and the Hearings Officer 25 continued the decision for fifteen (15) days and after further 26 review made the following Findings of Fact: 27 1. There are exceptional and extraordinary 28

2 H 3 MM 19

33

Variance Request 79-8/D. Weston Page 2



	Page 2
- 1	circumstances which apply to the property involved which do not
2	generally apply to other property in the vicinity, in that the
3	lot is odd shaped, it is sloped, and it is bordered by Lost River
4	on one side and a county road on another side.
5	2. The granting of the Variance is necessary for the
6	preservation and enjoyment of the applican't right to make full
7	use of his property, a right which is possessed by other property
8	owners in the vicinity; if this variance is not granted, undue
9	hardship will be caused to the owner.
10	3. No one testified in opposition to the Variance and
11	there was no evidence suggesting that there would be any detri-
12	mental effects on the public health, safety or welfare, or any
13	detrimental effects to abutting property owners.
14	4. The requested Variance is the minimum Variance which
15	will alleviate the hardship, due to the odd shape of the lot and
16	its slope.
17	5. The Variance requested will not allow use of the
18	property for a purpose which is not authorized within the zone
19	within which the property is located.
20	6. The granting of this Variance is consistent with the
<b>2</b> 1	Goals of the LCDC.
22	The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings
<b>2</b> 3	of Fact, accordingly orders as follows:
24	That the real property described as the
25 26	Willamette Meridian, Section 7, Tax Lot 20-2,
27	a second s
28	a construction of the second home of the second sec
	11 1

۲

Variance Request 79-8/D. Weston Page 3 the lot size is reduced to 7.5 acres from 15 acres for two parcels under the AF (Agriculture-Forestry) Zone. MC. Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this \_2 day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1979. KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION By O-Ognan TATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; 8. nis \_\_\_\_\_ 3rdday of \_\_\_\_\_A. D. 1979 at 2:39 clock P M., or July recorded in Vol. <u>M79</u>, of <u>Deeds</u> on Page 10121 No Fee No Fee Commissioners Journal