KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

CT:

In the Matter of Request for)
Variance 79-18 for)
Ernest Manfull, Applicant)

Klamath County Planning FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER

A Hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, Oregon, on June 13, 1979, pursuant to notice given in conformity with Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath County Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. The Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Carl Shuck. The Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson.

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and on behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property owners present who stated they had any objections to the proposed Variance requested by the applicant.

The following exhibits were offered and made a part of the record:

Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report
Klamath County Exhibit B, photos of the subject
property

Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's map of the subject property

Klamath County Exhibit D, Letter from Bureau of Reclamation

Klamath County Exhibit E, Letter from Health Department

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

11

--13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

CONDI

Klamath County Exhibit F, Letter from Department of Environmental Quality

Klamath County Exhibit G, Letter from North Suburban Area Committee

Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, Plot Plan

The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following findings of fact:

FINDINGS OF FACT:

- 1. The granting of this Variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of the applicant's right to make full use of his property to have a garage and utility room on his property, a right which is possessed by other property owners in the vicinity; if this Variance is not granted, undue hardship will be caused to the owner.
- 2. No one testified in opposition to the Variance, and there was no evidence that there would be any detrimental effect to the public health, safety, or welfare, or any detrimental effect to abutting property owners.
- 3. The granting of this Variance will not allow use of the property for the purpose which is not authorized within the zone within which the property is located.
- 4. The granting of this Variance is consistent with the goals of L.C.D.C.
- 5. The granting of this Variance is approved subject to the following conditions:

CONDITIONS:

1. Applicant complies with plot plan, Applicant's

VAR, 79-18 Page -2-

VAR. 79-18 Page -3-