3 ..... Vol. 79 Page 21210 73510 BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICEF 1 KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON 2 3 In the Matter of Request for 4 Variance No. 79-24, for 5Klamath County Planning Theodore J. Paddock, Applicant) FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER 6 7 8 A Hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, Oregon, on July 11, 1979, pursuant to notice given in conformity 9 with Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath County 10 Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. The 11 Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Carl Shuck. 12 The Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson. 13 14 Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and on behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property own-15 ers present who stated they had objections to the proposed 16 17 Variance requested by the applicant. 18 The following exhibits were offered, received, and made a part of the record: 19 20 Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report 21 Klamath County Exhibit B, photos of subject property 22 Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's 23 map of the subject property 24 Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, Plot Plan 25Applicant's Exhibit No. 2, copy of Klamath County 26Assessor's Map 27 The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following 28

~ ·

. .

Findings of Fact:

4

1

2

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6

7

20

21

23

 $\mathbf{24}$ 

27

28

## 21211

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

1. There are exceptional and extraordinary circumstances which apply to the property involved which do not generally apply to other property in the vicinity, in that the only portion of the applicant's property on which an addition of a car wash can be placedrequires the granting of this Variance.

8 2. The granting of this Variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of the applicant's right to make full 9 use of his property, a right which is possessed by other property 10 owners in the vicinity; if this Variance is not granted, undue 11 hardship will be caused to the owner. 12

3. No one testified in opposition to the Variance, and 13 there was no evidence that there would be any detrimental effect 14 to the public health, safety, or welfare, or any detrimental 15 16 effect to abutting property owners.

17 4. The requested Variance is the minimum Variance which will alleviate the hardship, due to the size of the applicant's 18 property and the placement of the present gas station thereon. 19

5. The granting of this Variance will not allow use of the property for a purpose which is not authorized within the zone 22 within which the property is located.

6. The granting of this Variance is consistent with the goals of the L.C.D.C.

25The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings 26 of Fact, accordingly orders as follows:

That real property described as the

"parcel of land generally located on the southwest VAR. 79-24 Page -2-

3 21212 1 corner of Altamont Drive and Boardman Avenue, in the South Suburban Area, and more particularly 2 described as Lot 1, Tax Lot 100, First Addition of Altamont Acres, Township 39, Range 9, Section 3 3, Klamath County, Oregon." is hereby granted a Variance in accordinace with the terms of the 4 Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 35, and, henceforth, will be 5 6 allowed a side yard variance from 70 feet to 10 feet in the C-2  $\sim$ (Commercial Community) zone. 7 8 9 Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of 10 September, 1979. 11 12 13 14 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION BY: <u>Hearings Officer</u> 15 16 17 18 19 TATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; 50. 20 led for record at request of \_\_\_\_\_Klamath County 21 nis \_\_Sth day of September \_\_\_\_A. D. 1979 of :12 o'clock M. or. 22 uly recorded in Vol. M79\_\_\_\_, of \_\_\_\_\_ Deeds\_\_\_\_\_ on Page21210 Wm D. MILNE, County Cle 23By Sman than I delor 24 No Fee 2526 27 28VAR. 79-24 Page -3-