75032

Vol. 79 KLAMATH COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION SO

KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

2 3

IN THE MATTER OF THE REQUEST FOR) VARIANCE FOR LOT DEPTH FOR BASIN) CONSTRUCTION NO. 79-19

ORDER

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

26

27

4

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing upon the applica- $7 \parallel$ tion of Basin Construction for a Variance Numbered 79-19 for lot depth by the Klamath County Planning Commission on real property described as Township 39, Range 9, Section 3, Tax Lot 7900, Klamath County, Oregon. Public hearing having been heard by the Klamath County Planning Commission on July 24, 1979, wherefrom the testimony, reports and information produced at the hearing by the applicant, members of the Planning Department Staff and other persons in attendance, the Planning Commission approved Variance No. 79-19 for lot depth from 300 feet to 102 feet.

The Planning Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as required by Ordinance No. 17, the Klamath County Zoning Ordinance:

FINDINGS OF FACT:

- 1. The Planning Commission found per testimony from $21 \, \|$ applicant that there are extraordinary and exceptional circumstances which apply to this property because the property in question faces on two streets, being Altamont Drive and Hilyard 23 Avenue, and because of this situation it is desirable to break the existing lot into two pieces for better economical use. $25 \parallel$
 - 2. The Planning Commission found that to the north of applicant's property there is an existing lot zoned M-2 (Medium Manufacturing Industrial) that has been previously divided into

Those Tax Lots being 7902 and 7903 of Klamath County two parcels. 2

- 3. The Planning Commission found that the Variance is Assessor's Map No. 3909-334. $4 \parallel$ necessary for the preservation of the substantial property rights of the applicant which is possessed by other property owners in
 - 4. The Planning Commission found that the Variance requested for lot depth from 300 feet to 102 feet is the minimum the area. variance which will alleviate the hardship and serve the best economical use.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

21

24

- 1. There are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: or conditions applicable to the property involved which do not apply generally to other property in the same vicinity and zone.
- 2. A variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right of the applicant which right is possessed by other property owners under like conditions 16 18
- 3. The granting of the requested variance will not be in the same vicinity and zone. 20 materially detrimental to the public health, safety, convenience and welfare or injurious to the property improvements in the same vicinity and zone in which the property affected is located and Will not be contrary to the intent of this Ordinance. 23
 - 4. The variance requested is the minimum variance from the provisions and standards of this regulation which will allevi-
 - NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that the applica-25 ate the hardship. tion for Variance No. 79-19 for lot depth from 300 feet to 102 26 27 28 VAR. 79-19 Page -2-

	feet on a parcel of land zoned M-2 (Medium Manufacturing
	2 Industrial) for Basin Construction on subject property is hereby
	approved.
	DONE AND DATED THIS
	DAY OF 197
	A Hayault
	Chairman
	Vige Chairman
1	Member Member
1	Member That des
1	9 e 12 m
1:	Member
14	Member
15	
16	Member
17	Member
18	Wewper
19	Member
20	
21	
22	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
2 3	Boivin, Boivin, & Aspell
24	By: What Richer
2 5	
26	Filed for record at assured (1.11)
27	this 12th day of December A. D. 1979 at 3: 30'clock, M., and
28	tuly recorded in Vol. <u>M79</u> , of <u>Deeds</u> on Page 28604
\parallel	VAR. 79-19
\parallel	Page -3- By Note And Advantage County Clerk
- 11	No Fee