## BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER

Vol. 79 Page 23344

## KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

In the Matter of Request for )

Variance No. 79-35 for Columbia)

Plywood, Applicant

KLAMATH COUNTY PLANNING FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER

A hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls,
Oregon, on October 10, 1979, pursuant to notice given in conformity with Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath
County Assistant Hearings Officer, Jim Uerlings. The applicant
was represented by H. F. Smith, Attorney at Law, and Richard H.
Wonner, Industrial Engineer for Columbia Plywood, and Ernest
O'Giablyn, representing the engineering firm. The Klamath County
Planning Department was represented by Jonathan Chudnoff. The
Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson.

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and on behalf of the applicant. There was one adjacent property owner present who stated he had no objection to the variance requested by the applicant.

The following exhibits were offered, received, and made a part of the record:

Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report

Klamath County Exhibit B, photo of subject property

Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's Map

of subject property

Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, aerial photo
Applicant's Exhibit No. 2, installation plan

The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Assistant Hearings Officer made the

5

5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 ||

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

following Findings of Fact:

- 1. There are exceptional and extraordinary circum-FINDINGS OF FACT: stances which apply to this property which do not generally apply to other property in the area in that this is the only place on the applicant's property where it would be suitable to place this structure to be economically usuable.
  - 2. The granting of this variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right which is possessed by other property owners under like conditions in the
- 3. The granting of the requested variance will not be 11 | same vicinity and zone. materially detrimental to the public health, safety, convenience and welfare or injurious to the property improvements in the same vicinity and zone in which the property affected is located. 15
  - 4. Any increase in traffic would not be significant.
  - 5. The variance requested is the minimum variance from the provisions and standards of this regulation which will allevi-
  - 6. No one testified in opposition to the variance, and ate the hardship. there was no evidence that there would be any detrimental effect to the public health, safety, or welfare, or any detrimental
  - effect to abutting property owners. 7. The variance requested will not allow use of the property for a purpose which is not authorized within the zone within which the property is located. 26
  - 8. The granting of this variance is consistent with the goals of the L.C.D.C. 28 VAR. 79-35

Page -2-

4	The Assistant Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing
1	Findings of Fact, accordingly orders as follows:
2	Findings of Fact, accordingly order  That real property described as the
3	14 8 acres in size
4	and generally located early and Country Club and
5	on the north by Redmes out Biver and more partic-
6	on the south by the Klamath River, and more on the south by the Klamath River, and more on ularly described as Township 39, Range 9, Section 18, Tax Lot 1400, Klamath County, Oregon"
7	
8	
9	Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 35, and, henceforth the
10	yard setback from 150 feet to 65 feet will be allowed in the M-3
11	Your facturing Industrial) zone.
12	Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this day of
13	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	
1	5
1	KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION
1	BY Assistant Hearings Officer
1	Assistant neurriso
]	19
9	20 STATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; 28.
•	21 Cited for record at request of Klamath County  No. 1979 at 3:08 clock P.M., and
	21   Hed for record at request of
	II ON FOUR
	23 July recorded in Vol. 1179 of Deeds Wm D. Milling, County Clerk
	Notice
	26
	27
	28
	VAR. 79-35

VAR. 79-35 Page -3-