Vol. 79 Page 23612 78666 BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFIC KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON 2 3 In the Matter of Request for) 4 Variance No. 79-36 for William) Klamath County Planning 5 J. Lawrie, Applicant FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER 6 A hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, 8 Oregon, on November 14, 1979, pursuant to notice given in conform-9 ity with Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath 10 County Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. 11 The Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Jonathan 12 Chudnoff. The Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson. 13 Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and 14 on behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property own-15 ers present who stated they had objections to the proposed Vari-16 ance requested by the applicant. 17 The following exhibits were offered, received, and made 18 a part of the record: 19 Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report 20 Klamath County Exhibit B, photos of subject property 21 Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's Map 22 of the subject property 23 Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, Plot Plan 24 The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence 25 submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following 26 Findings of Fact: 27 FINDINGS OF FACT: 28 1. There are exceptional and extraordinary circumstances

ļ	29643
	which apply to the property involved which do not generally apply
1	to other property in the vicinity, in that the only portion of
2	the applicant's property on which an addition of the nature con-
3	templated can be placed, requires the granting of this variance.
4 5	2. The granting of this variance is necessary for the
6	preservation and enjoyment of the applicant's right to make full
7	use of his property, a right which is possessed by other property
8	owners in the vicinity.
9	3. If this variance is not granted, undue hardship will
10	be caused to the owner.
10	4. No one testified in opposition to the variance, and
11	there was no evidence that there would be any detrimental effect
12	to the public health, safety, or welfare, or any detrimental
14	effect to abutting property owners.
15	5. The requested variance is the minimum variance which
16	will alleviate the hardship due to the size of the applicant's
17	property and the placement of the present residence thereon.
18	6. The granting of this variance will not allow use of
19	
20	within which the property is located.
2	1 7. The granting of this variance is consistent with the
2	2 goals of the L. C. D. C.
2	
2	4 Fact, accordingly orders as follows:
2	5 That real property described as the
6 4	"parcel of land approximately 8,000 square feet in size, and generally located north of Ezell in size, and generally 240 feet west of Summers
4	Avenue and approximately Suburban area, and more
:	Lane, being in the South Suburban data, for the NE% particularly described as Tax Lot 401 of the NE%
	VAR. 79-36 Page -2-

29644 NE¼ of Section 10, Township 39, Range 9, 1 Klamath County, Oregon" 2 is hereby granted a variance in accordance with the terms of the 3 Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 35, and, henceforth will be 4 allowed a rear yard variance from 25 feet to 17 feet in the 5 6 RD 8,000 (Single Family Residential) zone. 7 Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this _____ day of 8 Jecenhae ____, 1979. 9 10 11 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS OFFICER 12 BY Or Show Hearings Officer 13 14 15 16 TATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; ... 17 Hed for record at request of _____Klamath_County____ 18 nis 27th day of December ____ A. D. 1979 at 11 octock M. an 19 uly recorded in Vol. <u>1179</u>, of <u>Deads</u> on Page 29642 Wm D. MILNE, County Clens By Dermithand Latach 20 21 No Fee 22 23 24 25 $\mathbf{26}$ 27 28 VAR. 79-36 Page -3-