BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER Fage 5287 1.8 1.8 .-1 KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON 2 3

In the Matter of Request for) 4 Variance 80-5 for James C. $\mathbf{5}$ Pinniger, Applicant

Klamath County Planning FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER

A hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, 8 Oregon, on March 12, 1980, pursuant to notice given in conformity 9 with Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath County 10 Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. The 11 Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Jonathan Chudnoff. The Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson.

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and 14 on behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property 15 owners present who stated they had objections to the proposed 16 Variance requested by the applicant.

The following exhibits were offered, received, and made 18 a part of the record: 19

Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report

Klamath County Exhibit B, photos of the subject property Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's map of the subject property

Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, the Plot Plan

The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following Findings of Fact:

FINDINGS OF FACT:

There are exceptional and extraordinary circumstances 1.

rgi illis 25

6 7

12

13

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

which apply to the property involved which do not generally apply 1 2 to other property in the vicinity, in that the only portion of applicant's property on which an addition of the nature contem-3 plated can be placed, requires the granting of this variance. 4 52. The granting of this variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of the applicant's right to make full 6 7 use of his property, a right which is possessed by other property 8 owners in the vicinity. 9 3. If this variance is not granted, undue hardship will 10 be caused to the owner. 11 4. No one testified in opposition to the variance, and 12 there was no evidence that there would be any detrimental effect to the public health, safety, or welfare, or any detrimental 13 effect to abutting property owners. 14 155. The requested variance is the minimum variance which will alleviate the hardship, due to the size of the applicant's 16 property and placement of the present residence thereon. 17 18 The granting of this variance will not allow use of the property for a purpose which is not authorized within the 19 20 zone within which the property is located. 21 The granting of this variance is consistent with the 7. 22 goals of the L. C. D. C. 23 The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of 24 Fact, accordingly orders as follows: 25That real property described as the 26 "parcel of land approximately 10,560 square feet in size, and generally located at the northwest 27 corner of Hilldale and Selma Streets, being in the south suburban area, and more particularly 28described as being Lot 15 of Summer Heights VAR. 80-5 Page -2-

1 and the second Subdivision, being in the SW4 NW4 of Section 14, Township 39, Range 9, Tax Lot 6100, Klamath County, Oregon" 2 3 is hereby granted a Variance in accordance with the terms of the 4 Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 35, and, henceforth, will be $\mathbf{5}$ allowed a front yard setback from 25 feet to 12 feet on that 6 real property described above zoned RD 10,000 (Single Family 7 8 Entered at Xlamath Falls, Oregon, this 19^{3} day of 9 MARCH _____, 1980. 10 11 12 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION 13 14 W(Hearings Officer 15 16 17 ATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; ss. red for record at request of ____Klamath County_ 18 nis 20th day of _____A. D. 1980 at1:40 clock AM., o--19 tuly recorded in Vol. <u>2000</u>, of <u>Deeds</u> on Page 5203 20 Wm D. MILNE, County Clerk 21 By Dernotha AL star 22 NoFeeş **2**3 24 2526 27 28 VAR. 80-5 Page -3-