Mol. So Pago 2146 BUFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER 1 KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON 2 3 In the Matter of Request for) Klamath County Planning 4 FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER Variance No. 79-38 for 5 Robert Preszler, Applicant 6 7 A hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, Oregon, on February 13, 1980, pursuant to notice given in conform-8 9 ity with Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath County Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. 10 11 The Klamath County Planning Department was represented by 12Jonathan Chudnoff. The Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson. 13 Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and 14 on behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property owners present who stated they had objections to the proposed 15 16 Variance requested by the applicant. The following exhibits were offered, received, and made 17 18 a part of the record: 19 Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's map 20 21 of the subject property 22 Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, the Plot Plan 23 Applicant.'s Exhibit No. 2, the building plan 24 Applicant's Exhibit No. 3, photos of the subject 25property The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence 26 27 submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the 28

5286

following Findings of Fact: 1

2

3

9

15

25

27

FINDINGS OF FACT:

There are exceptional and extraordinary circumstances 1. which apply to the property involved which do not generally apply 4 to other property in the vicinity, in that applicant's property 5 is sloping, and due to the fact that the nature of the residence 6 which must be built because of snow in the area requires the 7 granting of this variance. 8

The granting of this variance is necessary for the 2. 10 preservation and enjoyment of the applicant's right to make full use of his property, a right which is possessed by other property 11 owners in the vicinity. 12 13

3. If this variance is not granted, undue hardship will be caused to the owner. 14

No one testified in opposition to the variance, and 4. there was no evidence that there would be any detrimental effect 16 to the public health, safety, or welfare, or any detrimental 17 18 effect to abutting property owners. 19

The requested variance is a minimum variance which 5. 20 will alleviate the hardship, due to the slope of the applicant's property, and the type of roof which he must put on his residence. 21 22 The granting of this variance will not allow use of 23 the property for a purpose which is not authorized within the 24 zone within which the property is located.

The granting of this variance is consistent with the 7. goals of the L. C. D. C. 26

The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of 28Fact, accordingly orders as follows: VAR. 79-38 Page -2-

1 That real property described as the 2 "parcel of land approximately 58,000 square feet in size, and generally located northeast of the intersection of Blue Spruce Court and 3 Diamont Peak Circle about ½ mile from State 4 Highway No. 58, and more particularly described as Lot 25, Block 1 of Leisure Wood Subdivision $\mathbf{5}$ being Tax Lot 1000 of the NE¹/₄ of Section 7, Township 24, Range 7, Klamath County, Oregon" 6 7 is hereby granted a Variance in accordance with the terms of 8 the Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 35, and, henceforth, 9 will be allowed a height variance from 35 feet to 38 feet on 10 that parcel of land described above and zoned SP-1 (Rural 11 Residential). Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this $19^{\frac{1}{10}}$ day of 12 13 MARCH . 1980. 14 15 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS OFFICER 16 Hearings Officer 17 18 19 VIE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; 53. 20 ed for record at request of <u>Klamath Vounty</u> 21 mis ______A. D. 19:80. at 1:41 clock A.M., an 22 duly rewarded in Vol. 100 , of Decids on Page 5286 23 We D. HILNE, County Clerk By Darietha Andrah $\mathbf{24}$ Joffee 2526 27 28VAR. 79-38 Page -3-

24.45

1

2

6

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICE

KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

3 In the Matter of Request for)
4 Variance No. 80-2 for Luther F.)
5 Morgan, Applicant:

Klamath County Planning FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER

7 A hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, Oregon,
8 on February 13, 1989, pursuant to notice given in conformity with
9 Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath County
10 Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. The
11 Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Jonathan
12 Chudnoff. The Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson.

13 Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and
14 on behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property
15 owners present who stated they had objections to the proposed
16 Variance requested by the applicant.

17 The following exhibits were offered, received, and made a 18 part of the record:

Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report

Klamath County Exhibit B, photos of the subject property Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's map of the subject property

Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, the Plot Plan

24 The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence 25 submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following 26 Findings of Fact:

FINDINGS OF FACT:

1. There are exceptional and extraordinary circumstances

14 11 113 U.Z. UNIL Ga.

which apply to the property involved which do not generally 1 apply to other property in the vicinity, in that the placement of 2 the existing mobile home makes it such that the only portion of 3 the applicant's property on which an addition of the nature 4 contemplated can be placed, and said exceptional and extra- $\mathbf{\tilde{5}}$ ordinary circumstances require the granting of this Variance. 6

7 The granting of this Variance is necessary for the 8 preservation and enjoyment of the applicant's right to make full use of his property, a right which is possessed by other property 9 10 owners in the vicinity. 11

3. If this variance is not granted, undue hardship will 12 be caused to the owner. 13

4. No one testified in opposition to the Variance, and there was no evidence that there would be any detrimental effect 14 to the public health, safety, or welfare, or any detrimental 15 16 effect to abutting property owners. 17

The requested variance is a minimum variance which 5. will alleviate the hardship due to the placement of the existing 18 19 mobile home on applicant's property. 20

The granting of this Variance will not allow use of the property for a purpose which is not authorized within the 21 22 zone within which the property is located. 23

The granting of this Variance is consistent with the $\mathbf{24}$ yoals of the L. C. D. C. 25

The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of 26 Fact, accordingly orders as follows: 27

That real property described as the

6.

7.

28

"parcel of land approximately 34,400 square feet VAR. 80-2 Page -2-

1 in size, and generally located on the east side of Kane Street approximately & mile north of 2 Shasta Way, and more particularly described as the north portion of Lot 49, Fair Acres No. 1, NW4 SE4 of Section 35, Township 38, Range 9, 3 Tax Lot 7400, Klamath County, Oregon" 4 is hereby granted a Variance for side yard setback from 10 feet $\mathbf{5}$ to 5 feet and distance between buildings from 6 feet to 4 feet 6 on that real property described above in the RA (Residential 7 Agricultural) zone. 8 Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this 19th day of 9 10 MARCH 11 12 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION 13 Dunder 14 Hearings Officer 15 16 STATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; 53. 17 18 his _20th day of _____A. D. 1930 at 11:42 lock A.M., and 19 hily recorded in Vol. 1130 of Deeds 20 _____ on Page 5239 1 Wm D. MILHE, County Clerk 21 By Servetla M No Fee 22 23 2425 26 2728VAR. 80-2 Page -3-