1

KLAMATH COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2

KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF THE REQUEST FOR VARIANCE FOR 70' to 5' FOR CLANCY NEWHALL NO. 80-19

5

6

3

14

15 16

19

20

21

22

24 25

26 27

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing upon the application of 7 Clancy Newhall for a Variance numbered 30-19 for a rear yard set-8 back by the Klamath County Planning Commission on real property 9 described as Township 39, Range 9, Section 12, Tax Lot 3000, Klamath County, Oregon. Public hearing having been heard by the Klamath County Planning Commission on September 23, 1980, wherefrom the testimony, reports and information produced at the hear-13 ling by the applicant, members of the Planning Department Staff and other persons in attendance, the Planning Commission approved Variance No. 80-19 for rear yard setback from 70' to 5'.

The Planning Commission makes the following Findings of Fact 17 and Conclusions of Law as required by Ordinance No. 17, the 18 Klamath County Zoning Ordinance:

FINDINGS OF FACT:

- The Planning Commission found per testimony from applicant that there are extraordinary and exceptional circumstances which apply to this property because of a drainage ditch location on 23 property and unusual shape of property.
 - The Planning Commission found that there were other properties in the area zoned commercial which did not have these extraordinary circumstances.
 - 3. The Planning Commission found that the variance is necessary for the preservation of the substantial property rights of VARIANCE NO. 80-19, Page One.

 $1 \parallel$ the applicant which is possessed by other property owners in the 2 larea.

- The Planning Commission found that the Variance for the rear 4 yard setback from 70' to 5' is the minimum Variance which will 5 alleviate the hardship and serve the best economical use.
- 5. The Planning Commission also found that the Findings of 7 Fact from Zone Change 80-40 will be incorporated into this Order.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

3

8

9

12

16

21

- There are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved which do not apply 11 generally to other property in the same vicinity and zone.
- 2. A variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right of the applicant which right is 14 possessed by other property owners under like conditions in the 15 same vicinity and zone.
- The granting of the requested Variance will not be material 17 | ly detrimental to the public health, safety, convenience and welfare or injurious to the property improvements in the same vicinity and zone in which the property affected is located and 20 will not be contrary to the intent of this Ordinance.
- 4. The Variance requested is the minimum variance from the 22 provisions and standards of this regulation which will alleviate the hardship.

23 NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered that the application for 24 Variance No. 80-19 for rear yard setbacks from 70' to 5' on a 25

/ / / 26

27 / / /

28 | / / /

VARIANCE NO. 80-19, Page Two.

- 11	
- 11	parcel of land zoned CM (Commercial Manufacturing) for Clancy
$2 \parallel$	Newhall on subject property is hereby approved.
3	DONE AND DATED this 25th day of Detales, 1980.
$4 \parallel$	
5	Chairman
6	De A Command
7	Vice-Chairman
8	(In Sicher
9	Member 1) 11
10	Cy. M. Moly
11	Membér
12	Member Monton
13	Member
14	Georgia Dohlenger
15	Member 🔾
16	
17	Member
18	
19	Member
20	
2	Member
2	- 11
2	
2	
2	5 By: Work Struck his _29th day of
2	D. MILNE, COUNTY CLOS
2	8 Dernetha Doctoth
2	NoFee
	VARIANCE NO. 80-19, Page Three.