## BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFIC

KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

1

3

4 5

89

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

In the Matter of Request for) Variance No. 80-32 for Cecil Elliott, Applicant

A hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, Oregon, on September 10, 1980, pursuant to notice given in conformity with Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath County Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. The Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Jonathan The Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson. Chudnoff.

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and on behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property owners present who stated they had objections to the proposed variance requested by the applicant.

The following exhibits were offered, received, and made a part of the record:

Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report

Klamath County Exhibit B, photos of the subject property Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's Map of the subject property

Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, the Plot Plan

The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following Findings of Fact:

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

There are exceptional and extraordinary circumstances

which apply to the property involved which do not generally apply to other property in the vicinity in that the only portion of the applicant's property on which an addition of the nature contemplated can be placed requires the granting of this variance.

- 2. The granting of this variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of the applicant's right to make full use of his property, a right which is possessed by other property owners in the vicinity.
- 3. If this variance is not granted, undue hardship will be caused to the owner.
- 4. No one testified in opposition to the variance and there was no evidence that there would be any detrimental effect to the public health, safety, or welfare, or any detrimental effect to abutting property owners.
- 5. The requested variance is the minimum variance which will alleviate the hardship, due to the size of the applicant's property and the placement of the present residence thereon.
- 6. The granting of this variance will not allow use of the property for a purpose which is not authorized within the zone within which the property is located.
- 7. The granting of this variance is consistent with the goals of the L. C. D. C.

The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, accordingly orders as follows:

That real property described as the

"parcel of land approximately 5,500 square feet in size, generally located at the northwest corner of Sprague River Highway and Main Avenue, in the town of Sprague River, and more particularly described as being in Section 14, Township 36,

VAR. 80-32 Page -2-

3

Range 10, being Tax Lots 8300, and 8400; Klamath County, Oregon"

is hereby granted a variance in accordance with the terms of the Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 35, and, henceforth, will be granted a variance to reduce the required front yard setback from twenty (20) feet to zero (0) feet in the RD 5,000 (Single Family Residential) zone.

Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this 50 day of NUMBER , 1980.

> KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION Hearings Officer

> > By Dernethan H. A

TATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; SS. led for record XXXXEGUEST YOU X nislOth day of November A. D. 1980 at 10:00 oclock M., and tuly recorded in Vol. M80 of Deeds on Page 21721 Wm D. MILNE, County Clear

No Fee

1

2

3

4

5

9

10 11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

26 27

28

VAR - 80-32 Page -3-