95

1

2

6

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

3IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
FOR COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN)4CHANGE AND ZONE CHANGE NO. 80-28)
BY RICHARD GARBUTT, & MICHAEL)5HENRY

 $O \underline{R} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{R}$

7 THIS MATTER having come on for hearing upon the application of Richard Garbutt and Michael Henry for a Comprehensive Land 8 || Use Plan change from Forestry to Residential Recreation and a 91 zone change from F (Forestry) to SP-1 (Rural Residential), by the 10 Klamath County Planning Commission, on real property described as 11 Township 39, Range 8, NW4, NW4 of Section 17, being Tax Lot 6100. 12 13 || Public hearings having been heard by the Klamath County Planning 14 Commission on June 24, 1980, wherefrom the testimony, reports, and information produced at the hearing by the applicant, members 15 16 of the Planning Department Staff and other persons in attendance, 17 || the Planning Commission recommended approval to the Board of 18 County Commissioners. Following action by the Planning Commission 19 a public hearing before the Board of Courty Commissioners was 20 regularly held on August 7, 1980, wherefrom the testimony at said 21 hearing it appeared that the record below was accurate and complete and it appeared from the testimony, reports and exhibits introduced 2223 at the hearing before the Planning Commission that the application 24 || for a change of Comprehensive Land Use Plan and zone change for 25 the subject property, should be granted.

26 The Board of County Commissioners makes the following
27 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as required by Ordinance
28 No. 17, the Klamath County Zoning Ordinance.

FINDINGS OF FACT FOR COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN MAP

3 1. The Board of County Commissioners found that the size 4 and shape of the property is adequate for the proposed Comprehen-5 sive Land Use Plan, as size is a forty-acre parcel.

1

2

6 2. The Board of County Commissioners found that site
7 location is off of Round Lake Road about 3.2 miles north of its
8 intersection with Highway No. 66.

9 3. The Board of County Commissioners found that the
10 proposed use and density is to divide 40 acres into ten lots,
11 which meets the Property Development Standards of the SP-1 (Rural
12 Residential) zone.

4. The Board of County Commissioners found that the
property access is adequate being on Round Lake Road, a paved
county road which appears to be able to handle proposed traffic.

16 5. The Board of County Commissioners found that the
17 effect on the surrounding properties would be minimal as there are
18 other smaller lots in the surrounding area, and this would not
19 seem to have much change or effect on the surrounding properties.

20 6. The Board of County Commissioners found that the
21 Planning Department sent notification to the surrounding property
22 owners as well as to the Keno Area Committee and to those agencies
23 of concern, therefore addressing L. C. D. C. Goal No. 1.

7. The Board of County Commissioners found site, to be
developed into a subdivision, has woodcock Pokegama soils, which
are dominated by cold and are of gentle sloping to steep soils.
Soil classification is Class VII, therefore addressing L. C. D.
C. Goal No. 3.
CLUP & ZC 80-28
Page -2-

8. The Board of County Commissioners found that the
 Forestry Classification of site for change is Class V meaning
 production of timber is 50-85 cubic feet, and testimony from
 applicant indicated site was not forestable as there were large
 openings and gaps on site, therefore addressing L. C. D. C. Goal
 No. 4.

7 9. The Board of County Commissioners found that the site
8 to be developed for future subdivision would need septic tank for
9 sewer and individual wells for water, therefore addressing L. C.
10 D. C. Goal No. 6.

10. The Board of County Commissioners found site for
12 change for a future subdivision would be for future homesites
13 which is allowed in the SP-1 (Rural Residential) zone, therefore
14 addressing L. C. D. C. Goal No. 10.

15 11. The Board of County Commissioners found the proposed
16 use would increase housing in the area, as proposed subdivision
17 is to be 10 lots in size, and the houses would be placed on
18 four-acre parcels, therefore addressing L. C. D. C. Goal No. 10.

19 12. The Board of County Comissioners found that the
20 public facilities to the site would be electricity and telephone
21 as well as being in the Henley School District, therefore address22 ing L. C. D. C. Goal No. 11.

23 13. The Board of County Commissioners found site for
24 development is outside any urban growth boundaries, therefore
25 addressing L. C. D. C. Goal No. 14.

 $\mathbf{26}$

27

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN MAP CHANGE:

28 1. The property affected by the Comprehensive Land Use CLUP & ZC 80-28 Page -3-

Plan change is adequate in size and shape to facilitate those uses normally allowed in conjunction with such zoning.

3 2. The property affected by the proposed Comprehensive
4 Land Use Plan change is properly related to streets and highways
5 to adequately serve the type of traffic generated by such uses
6 that may be permitted therein.

7 3. The proposed Comprehensive Land Use Plan change will
8 have no adverse effect or only limited adverse effect on any
9 property or the permitted uses thereof within the affected area.
10 4. The proposed Comprehensive Land Use Plan change is

4. The proposed Comprehensive Hand of
4. The proposed Comprehensive Hand of
in keeping with any land use plans duly adopted and does, in
effect, represent the highest, best and most appropriate use of
the land affected.

14 5. The proposed Comprehensive Land Use Plan change is in 15 keeping with land uses and improvements, trends in land develop-16 ment, density of land development, and prospective needs for 17 development in the affected area.

FINDINGS OF FACT FOR ZONE CHANGE:

The Board of County Commissioners found that the size
 and shape of the property is adequate for the proposed change of
 zone, as size is a forty-acre parcel.

22 2. The Board of County Commissioners found that site
23 location is off of Round Lake Road about 3.2 miles north of its
24 intersection with Highway No. 66.

25 3. The Board of County Commissioners found that the
26 proposed use and density is to divide 40 acres into ten lots,
27 which meets the Property Development Standards of the SP-1 (Rural
28 Residential) zone.
CLUP & ZC 80-28

Page -4-

18

1 The Board of County Commissioners found that the 4. property access is adequate being on Round Lake Road, a paved 2 county road which appears to be able to handle proposed traffic. 3 4 The Board of County Commissioners found that the 5 effect on the surrounding properties would be minimal as there are other smaller lots in the surrounding area, and this would not 6 seem to have much change or effect on the surrounding properties. 7 8 6. The Board of County Commissioners found that the 9 Planning Department sent notification to the surrounding property 10 owners as well as to the Keno Area Committee and to those agencies 11 of concern, therefore addressing L. C. D. C. Goal No. 1. 12 The Board of County Commissioners found site, to be 7. 13 developed into a subdivision, has woodcock Pokegama soils, which 14 are dominated by cold and are of gentle sloping to steep soils. 15 Soil classification is Class VII, therefore addressing L. C. D. 16 C. Goal No. 3. 17 8. The Board of County Commissioners found that the 18 Forestry Classification of site for change is Class V meaning 19 production of timber is 50-85 cubic feet, and testimony from 20 applicant indicated site was not forestable as there were large 21 openings and gaps on site, therefore addressing L. C. D. C. 22 Goal No. 4. 23 9. The Board of County Commissioners found that the site 24 to be developed for future subdivision would need septic tank 25 for dewer and individual wells for water, therefore addressing 26 L. C. D. C. Goal No. 6. The Board of County Commissioners found site for 10. change for future subdivision would be for future homesites

Page -5-

27 28

1 which is allowed in the SP-1 (Rural Residential) zone, therefore
2 addressing L. C. D. C. Goal No. 10.
3 11. The Board of County Commissioners found the proposed

4 use would increase housing in the area, as proposed subdivision
5 is to be 10 lots in size, and the houses would be placed on
6 four-acre parcels, therefore addressing L. C. D. C. Goal No. 10.

7 12. The Board of County Commissioners found that the
8 public facilities to the site would be electricity and telephone
9 as well as being in the Henley School District, therefore address10 ing L. C. D. C. Goal No. 11.

11 13. The Board of County Commissioners found site for
12 development is outside any urban growth boundaries, therefore
13 addressing L. C. D. C. Goal No. 14.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR ZONE CHANGE;

14

15 1. The property affected by the change of zone is
adequate in size and shape to facilitate those uses normally
allowed in conjunction with such zoning.

18 2. The property affected by the proposed change of zone
19 is properly related to streets and highways to adequately serve
20 the type of traffic generated by such uses that may be permitted
21 therein.

22 3. The proposed change of zone will have no adverse
23 effect or only limited adverse effect on any property or the
24 permitted uses thereof within the affected area.

25 4. The proposed change of zone is in keeping with any
26 land use plans duly adopted and does, in effect, represent the
27 highest, best, and most appropriate use of the land affected.
28 5. The proposed change of zone is in keeping with land
CLUP & ZC 80-28
Page -6-

1 uses and improvements, trends in land development, density of 2 land development, and prospective needs for development in the 3 4 NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that the application 5 for the Comprehensive Land Use Plan change from Forestry to 6 Residential Recreation and zone change from F (Forestry) to 7 SP-1 (Rural Residential) for Richard Garbutt and Michael Henry 8 on the subject property, is hereby granted. 9 DONE AND DATED THIS 10 DAY OF _____ 10 1981. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 APPROVED FORM: 20 21 22 STATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; ss. 23 Filed for record at request of _____Klamath County 24 this 11+b day of February A. D. 19 81 at 2:240'clock P.M., and 25 duly recorded in Vol._____, of______on Pare 2265. 26 EVELYN BIEHN County Clerk 27 By Servetha 28 No Fee CLUP & ZC 80-28 Page -7-COMMISSIONER'S JOURNAL