204.5

1 2

6

7

8

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

50 LCT

2

8

3

Voi. mg/ Page 12638 BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

In the Matter of Request for) 3 KLAMATH COUNTY PLANNING Variance No. 81-13 for 4 FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER Helen Beckius, Applicant $\mathbf{5}$

A hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, Oregon, on May 13, 1981, pursuant to notice given in conformity with Ordirance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath County 911 Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. The 10 Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Jonathan 11 Chudnoff. The Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson. 12

Evidence was presented on behalf of the applicant and on 13 behalf of the Department. There were no adjacent property 14 owners present who stated they had objections to the proposed 15 Variance requested by the Applicant. 16

The following exhibits were offered, received, and made 17 a part of the record: 18

Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report

Klamath County Exhibit B, photos of the subject property Elamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's Map of the subject property

Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, partition map

The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence 24 submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following 25 Findings of Fact: 26

FINDINGS OF FACT:

There are exceptional and extraordinary circumstances 1.

1 which apply to the property involved which do not generally apply
2 to other property in the vicinity, in that the applicant's property
3 is nearly twice as large as most of the lots in the vicinity.

126.79

2. The granting of the variance is necessary for the
preservation and enjoyment of the applicant's right to make full
use of her property, a right which is possessed by other property
owners in the vicinity. If this variance is not granted, undue
hardship will be caused to the owner.

9 3. No one testifed in opposition to the variance and
10 there was no evidence suggesting there would be any detrimental
11 effects to the public health, safety or welfare or any detrimental
12 effect to abutting property owners.

13 4. The requested variance is the minimum variance which
14 will alleviate the hardship due to the width of the applicant's
15 property.

16 5, The Variance will not allow use of the property for
17 a purpose which is not authorized within the zone within which
18 the property is located.

19 6. The granting of this variance is consistent with the 20 goals of the L. C. D. C.

21 The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of 22 Fact, accordingly orders as follows:

That real property described as the

23

 $\mathbf{24}$

25

26

27

"parcel of land generally located west of Etna Street approximately 550 feet north of Shasta Way, and more particularly described as being in the SW% of the SW% of Section 35, Township 38, Range 9, being a portion of Tax Lot 9100, Klamath County, Oregon"

28 is hereby granted a Variance in accordance with the terms of VAR. 81-13 Page -2-

12640 the Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 35, and, henceforth, 1 will be allowed to reduce lot depth from one-hundred (100) feet 2 to seventy-f:ve (75) feet, and rear yard setback from twenty (20) 3 feet to five (5) feet on a parcel of land zoned RD 5,000 (Single 4 5 Family Residential). Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this 13^{12} Day of 6 7 Aul , 19%(. 8 9 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION 10 Dom Jim Hearings Officer 11 12 13 14 STATE OF CHERCH; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; SS. 15 Fied for iscord at request of _____Klmaath County mis 14th day of July A. D. 19 81 at 2:58 o'clock P M., and 16 duly reteriled in Vol. <u>M81</u>, of <u>Deeds</u> on Pagel2638. 17 EVELYN BIEHN, County Cleak 18 By Demethan Andeloch 19 20 No Fee 21 COMMISSIONERS JOURNAL 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 VAR. 81-13 Page -3-