Vol. //8/, Page 13202 BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

KLAMATH COUNTY PLANNING In the Matter of Request for) 3 FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER Variance No. 81-19 for Ruth] 4 Swiger, Applicarit 5

A hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, 6 Oregon, on June 10, 1981, pursuant to notice given in conformity 7 with Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath County 8 Assistant Hearings Officer, James R. Uerlings. The applicant was 9 The Klamath County Planning Department was represented : 10 by Jonathan Chudnoff. The Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson. present. 11 Evidence was presented on behalf of the applicant and 12 on behalf of the Department. There were no adjacent property 13 owners present who stated they had objections to the proposed 14 15

Variance requested by the applicant. The following exhibits were offered, received, and made 16 17

a part of the record: 18

2398

1 2

171

53

23

1 I I I I I I

02

Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report Klamath County Exhibit B, photos of subject property 19 Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's Map 20 21

of, subject property 22

Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, plot plan

The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence 23 submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following 24 25

Findings of Fact: $\mathbf{26}$

27

28

FUNDINGS OF FACT:

There are exceptional and extraordinary circumstan-

1 ces or conditions applicable to the property involved which do 2 not apply generally to other property in the same vicinity and 3 zone.

4 2. A variance is necessary for the preservation and
5 enjoyment of a substantial property right of the applicant which
6 right is possessed by other property owners under like conditions
7 in the same vicinity and zone.

8 3. The granting of the requested variance will not be
9 materially detrimental to the public health, safety, convenience
10 and welfare cr injurious to the property improvements in the
11 same vicinity and zone in which the property affected is located
12 and will not be contrary to the intent of this Ordinance.

13 4. The variance requested is the minimum variance
14 from the provisions and standards of this regulation which will
15 alleviate the hardship.

16 5. The granting of this variance will not allow use of 17 the property for a purpose which is not authorized within the 18 zone within which the property is located.

196. The granting of this variance is consistent with20the L, C. D. C. Goals and Guidelines.

217This variance is granted subject to the following22Condition.

CONDITICON:

 $\mathbf{23}$

241. Applicant shall follow plot plan, Applicant's25Exhibit No. 1.

26The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of27Fact, accordingly orders as follows:

28 That real property described as the VAR. 81-19 Fage -2-

13204 "parcel of land generally located on Ruth Court approximately 50 feet morthwest of Alt Way, and more particularly described as being Tax Lot 4,000 located in the NE% NE% of Section 13, Township 39, Range 9, Klamath County, Oregon" 1 2 is hereby granted a variance in accordance with the terms of 3 Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 35, and, henceforth, will be 4 allowed to reduce side yard setback from five feet to six inches on the west side and from five feet to four and one-half feet on 5 61 7 east side of property. Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this 22 Day of 8 9 10 JUM 11 12 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION 13 nu GIARDA Hearings Officer 14 15 16 STATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; SIL 17 Filed for record at request of <u>Klamath County</u> this 23-adem of III A. D. 19 R1 at 2:51 o'clock P F., o d 18 19 duly recorded in Vol. ________ of _______ EVELYN BIEHN, Conniy berk 20 By Dernetha 21 Tio Fee 22 23 Commissioners Journal 24 25 26 27 28 VAR. 81-19