. 2865

6

丟

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Vol mg/ Page 13908 BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER

电记忆 网络

KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

3 In the Matter of Request for 4 Conditional Use Permit No. 81-17) 5 for J. N. S. Excavation Company)

KLAMATH COUNTY PLANNING FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER

A hearing was held in this matter at Klamath Falls, Oregon, 7 8 on July 8, 1981, pursuant to notice given in conformity with 9 Ordinance No. 35, Klamath County, before the Klamath County 10 Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present and 11 represented by Steven A. Zamsky, Attorney at Law. The Klamath = 12 County Planning Department was represented by Jonathan Chudnoff. 当 13 The Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson.

a 14 Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and 15 on behalf of the applicant. There were adjacent property owners 16 present, who stated that they had objections to the proposed 17 Conditional Use Permit requested by the applicant.

18 The following exhibits were offered, received, and made a 19 part of the record:

Klamath County Exhibit A, the Staff Report

Klamath County Exhibit B-1 through B-5, photos

Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's Map of subject property

Klamath County Exhibit D, letter from Rod Ingram, Department of Fish and Wildlife

Klamath County Exhibit E, letter from Russ Mull, Klamath County Department of Health Services

Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, plot plan

13909

1	Applicant's Exhibit No. 2, Daily Dumping Log
2	Applicant's Exhibit No. 3, Department of Environmental
3	Quality Monthly Reports
4	Applicant's Exhibit No. 4, letter from Kent Mathiot, Water
5	Resources Department
6	Applicant's Exhibit No. 5, letter from Bob Paeth
7	Appl.cant's Exhibit No. 6, legal description
8	Applicant's Exhibit No. 7, letter from Gil Hargreaves,
9	Department of Environmental Quality
10	Applicant's Exhibit No. 8, Department of Environmental
11	Quality Monthly Inspection Reports
12	Applicant's Exhibit No. 9, letter from Steven Cheyne,
13	Klamath Vactor Control District
14	Applicant's Exhibit No. 10, supporting statement
15	Applicant's Exhibit No. 11, letter to William M. Ganong
16	fron John Nickelson
17	Opposition's Exhibit No. 1, two photos of lagoon dated
18	June 11, 1981
19	Opposition's Exhibit No. 2, three photos of road dated
20	January 31, 1981
21	Opposition's Exhibit No.3, petition from property owners
22	Opposition's Exhibit No. 4, letter from Harry and Maria
28	Eghert
24	Opposition's Exhibit No. 5, article from the Herald and
25	News dated September 29, 1980
26	Opposition's Exhibit No. 6, article from Oregonian dated
27	September 4, 1980
28	Opposition's Exhibit No. 7, article from Frontier
	C.U.P. 81-17 Page -2
	「教学にの特殊に移作性を定義を行われるとなりです。 こうしん マイン・ション しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう

ē

13910

Advertiser dated January 20, 1981

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

16

Opposition's Exhibit No. 8, article from Oregon Journal dated May 12, 1981

Opposition's Exhibit No. 9, evaporation data, Klamath Falls Airpoint

Opposition's Exhibit No. 10, letter from Lee Jordan, South Suburban Sanitary District

Opposition's Exhibit No. 11, article from <u>Herald and News</u> dated November 5, 1981

Opposition's Exhibit No. 12, well test results, Don T. Berger

12 The hearing was then closed and the Hearings Officer stated 13 that he would render a decision within fifteen (15) days. Based 14 upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer 15 made the following Findings of Fact.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

17 1. The site is adequate in size and shape to house the 18 proposed activity and will fit in with the neighborhood so long 19 as the conditions set forth hereinbelow are followed.

20 2. The site has adequate access to streets and highways
21 to carry the traffic flow generated by the proposed use.

22 3. There would be no adverse effect on the abutting
23 property owners so long as the conditions set forth hereinbelow
24 are followed.

254. The granting of this Conditional Use Permit is con-26sistent with the goals of the L. C. D. C. as follows:

27 a. Goal No. 1 - Notice of the hearing on this matter was 28 sent to neighboring property owners, the Midland Area Committee, C.U.P. 81-17 Page -3-

13911 and other concerned agencies, and to the Herald and News and other media. There was testimony at the hearing both for and against the applicant.

1

2 3

4

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

b. Goal No. 2 - The plan designation for this area is 5 Agricultural and a zoning designation of AF (Agricultural Forestry). 6 Disposal sites are among the conditionally permitted uses in the AF (Agricultural Forestry) zone. There was testimony at the hearing that although many other sewage disposal sites have been suggested to the county, there have been no satisfactory sites available to the county other than the site in question here.

11 c. Gcal No. 3 - The soils in the vicinity are of the 12 Stukel series and are rated Class VII. The land has some grass 13 and is used for grazing part of the year; the septic disposal 14 operation does not interfere with grazing in that only the 15 lagoons themselves are fenced and the ten-acre parcel as a whole 16 remains open. This property is not identified as irrigated or 17 irrigable land on maps at the Planning Department. The nearest 18 crop line or improved pasture is over one-quarter mile away and 19 it does not appear that the lagoons would interfere with agricultural operations on adjoining property.

d. GoallNo. 4 - The area is in Timber Productivity Class VII, capable of producing fewer than twenty cubic feet of timber per acre annually. There is no marketable timber on ten acres under review.

e. Goal No. 5 - A Letter from Rod Ingram, District Wildlife Biologist for the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, indicates that no adverse effects on wildlife are anticipated. Because of the area's topography and the low profile of Page -4-

1 the lagoons, little or no negative scenic impacts are apparent. 2 The nearest dwelling from which the site is visible is over one 3 mile away. This property is not a known archeologically sensitive 4 area as indicated by the map available at the Planning Department. 5 Applicant's Exhibits Nos. 3, 4, and 5 do not indicate any actual 6 or potential natural resource contamination from this site.

13912

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

:20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

f. Goal No. 6 - The site in question was in full operation 8 from January 5, 1981, to June 8, 1981, and during this time it was subject to monthly Department of Environmental Quality and Health Department inspections. The inspection reports filed in this regard do not give any indication of air, water or land resource contamination. No complaints have been received from the Planning Department regarding odor or other problems. The conditions imposed hereinbelow will assure that this goal is met.

Goal No. 7 - The area is not known to be subject to g. natural hazards.

Goal No. 8 - The proposed disposal site does not h. appear to interfere with recreational use of the area in general.

i. Goal No. 9. - Failure to have an operating disposal system will have significant adverse effects on the local economy and thus of the State. The lagoons have been and will continue to be operated as a private business. No gains in employment are evident as a result of the operation.

j. Goal Nc. 10 - The disposal site is not in an area designated for residential use. As long as the conditions imposed hereinbelow are met, ssurrounding dwellings will not be adversely effected.

Goal No. 11 - The site is intended to serve residences k. C.U.P. 81-17 Page -5-

and businesses not currently served by sanitary sever facilities. The disposal site itself does not require special facilities or 1 2 services in order to operate. 1. Goal No. 12 - The site is reached via a private cinder 3 5 road from Lower Lake Road. The private road is approximately .7 of a mile in length and when viewed by the Planning Department 6 on June 9, 1982, was in good condition. m. Goal No. 13 - Little or no effect on energy conserva-7 8 tion is apparent. 9 Goal No. 14 - The site is outside any urban or urbann. 10 izing area. 11 This Conditional Use Permit is granted subject to the 5. 12 following Conditions: 13 CONDIT:ONS: 14 1. This Conditional Use Permit is granted for a period 15 of one year, subject to renewal after a review of applicant's 16 operation at a public hearing. 17 Applicant shall obtain approval from the Department 18 2. of Environmental Quality for his site prior to said site being 19 put into operation. 20 Applicant shall obtain approval from the Klamath County 21 3. Health Department for his site prior to said site being put into 22 operation. $\mathbf{23}$ The site shall be inspected and approved at least 24 4. once a month by the Department of Environmental Quality and the 25 Klamath County Health Department. $\mathbf{26}$ There shall be no more than two disposal pits active 27 5. at any one time. 28 C.U.P. 81-17 Page -6-

Thesarea of the site shall be fenced sufficiently to keep persons, livestock, and deer off the site. The fence which is built shall at least meet the specifications of the Oregon State Department of Fish and Wildlife with regard to deer. The applicant shall maintain permission to use an adequate access road to the site and shall have written proof thereof avai able to the Planning Department upon request. The applicant shall follow the direction of the Klamath County Public Works Department with regard to the access road from the private property to Lower Lake Road. The applicant shall obtain liability insurance 9. sufficient to cover all prospective claims. 12 10. The applicant shall follow the plot plan which he submitted to the Planning Department, Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, 13 14 submitted with Conditional Use Permit No. 81-17. The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of 15 16 Fact, accordingly orders as follows: 17 That real property described as the 18 "parcel of land generally located in the Klamath Hills east of Lower Lake Road approximately 14 19 miles south of its junction with Cross Road, and more particularly described as a parcel of 20 land located in the NE% of Section 17, Township 40 South, Range 9 East, W.M., Klamath County, 21 Oregon, being more particularly described as: Cormancing at the 5/8" iron pin marking the 22 nc: theast corner of said Section 17; thence South 28 54 40" West 569.63 feet to a point which 23 is the "True Point of Beginning" of this descrip-tion; thence North 45 00'00" West 660.0 feet to a point; thence South 45 00'00" West 660.00 feet to a point; thence South 45 00'00" West 330.00 24 feet to a point which is South 45000' West 20.0 25 feet more or less from the southwesterly fence 26 corner around the southwesterly septic lagoon; thence South 45 00'00" East 330.00 feet to a

point; thence North 45000100" East 660.00 feet

13914

C.U.P. 81-17 Page -7--

27

28

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

131915 to a "True Foint of Beginning", containing 10.0 1 acres, more or less." 2 is hereby granted a Conditiona Use Permit in accordance with the 3 terms of the Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 35, and, hence-4 forth, will be allowed a solid waste disposal site in the AF 5 (Agricultural Forestry) zone. 6 7 Entered at Flamath Falls, Oregon, this 3^{4} Day of 8 , 19<u>81</u>. July 9 10 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION 11 n~ Ant. 12 Hearings Officer By: 13 14 15 TATE OF DESCN; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; ss. 16 filed for record at request of _____Klomath_Countyhis__4th_day of August A.D. 19_81_at 1:310'clock P f 17 ____on Page 13908 duly rate when yol. _____ of _____ 18 By Sumethand today 19 20 No Fee 21 COMMISSIONERS JOURNAL 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 C.U.P. 81-17 Page -8-

13M