WARRANTY DEED VOIM81 4061 Ceona Gaston, Peter Lorenze Sand Helen Lorenz the grantors, do hereby grant bargain, sell and convey unto Richard E. Tracy and Ingeborg S. Tracy the grantes, the following described premises, to-wit: All of Lot 7 and the Southerly 28 feet of Lot 6 in Block 4 of West Klamath Falls, formerly West Linkville, to the City of Klamath Falls, Oregon. TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises, with their appurtenances unto the said Grantes and their heirs and assigns forever. And the said Grantos do hereby convenant to and with the said Grantes, that they are the owner in fee simple of said premises; that they are free from all incumbrances except conditions, restrictions and easement of record, and that the will warrant and defend the same from all lawful claims whatsoever. The true and actual consideration for this transfer is \$21,000.00. The foregoing recital of consideration is true as I verily believe. July 7, 1970. STATE OF OREGON, COUNTY OF LANE, ss: On this 7 th day of July 170 personally came before me, a Notary Public in and for said STATE OF OREGONIABEL compty the within named Ceona Gaston, Peter ounty of Klamath) Loren Dand, Helen Lorenz filed for record at request of scribed in and who executed the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that they executed the same on this 8th day of September 1981 freely and voluntarily for the uses and purposes therein named. CS C at 10:05 o'clock A. M, and duly M81_of_ Deeds Witness my hand and seal this day and year last above recorded in Vol. written EVELYN BIEHN, County Clerk My Commission expires Oct 31, 1972 \$4.00 FORM No. 645-ACKNOWLEDGMENT (INDIVIDUAL) (Uniform Acknowledgment Act.) STATE OF Oregon County of Klamath On this the 8th officer, personally appeared Ceona Gaston, a widow

known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be the person whose name within instrument and acknowledged that she executed the same for the purposes therein contained. In witness whereof I hereunto set my hand and official seal. (EXCERPT FROM UNIFORM ACKNOWLEDGMENT ACT.)

(1) If the acknowledgment is taken within this state or is made without the United States by an officer of the United States, no authentication shall be necessary.

analise necessary.

2 If the acknowledgment is taken without this state, but in the United States, a territory or insular possession of the United States, the District of Columbia, or the Philippine Islands, the certificate shall be authenticated by a certificate as to the official character of such officer, executed, or if the acknowledgment is taken by a clerk or deputy clerk of a court, by the presiding judge of the court or, if the acknowledgment is taken by a notary public, by a clerk of a court of record of the county, parish or district in which the acknowledgment is taken by a notary public, by a clerk of a court of record of the county, parish or district in which the acknowledgment is taken.

(3) If the acknowledgment is made, the certificate shall be authenticated by a certificate under the great seal of the state of the country, affixed by the custodian of such seal, or by a certificate of a diplomatic, consular or commercial officer of the United States accredited to that country, certifying as to the official character of such officer.