12019

In the Matter of a

1

2

3

4

5

<u>____</u>

X.

~

8

BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER FOR KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 13-82

ORDER

Pol. Magage 61

for 5 E. A. BIGBY and 6 LINDA RAJNUS 7

REQUEST FOR A

THIS MATTER came before the Klamath County Assistant 8 Hearings Officer, JAMES R. UERLINGS, on the 6th of May, 1982, 9 at 1:30 p.m. in the Conference Room of the Klamath County Library. 10 Klamath County Planning Department appeared through its staff, 11 and the applicant appeared through E. A. Bigby and Linda Rajnus, 12 and numerous opponents appeared individually as well as William 13 Kennedy, dba Lost River Ranch, appearing by and through his 14 attorney, William M. Ganong. 15

16 Prior to the hearing of the application on its merits, attorney William Ganong, on behalf of William Kennedy, dba Lost 17 River Ranch, moved that the applicant's application be quashed 18 for the reason that the Hearings Officer does not have jurisdiction 19 to grant Land Use Change as requested by the applicants. 20

As the basis of the Motion by William Kennedy, it was stated 21 that ORS 215.203 requires that the County place all agricultural 22 land in an exclusive farm use zone. ORS 215.213 allows certain 23 non-farm uses including personal use airports. However, the 24 definition of a personal use airport does not allow for the use 25 of the airport for a public airport but only as a private airport. 26

ORDER, Page One.

1 The Klamath County Development Code, Section 51.019, 6439 in providing for a conditional use of a public airport within 2 an AU-5 zone,therefore violates ORS 215.203 and 215.213. 3 4 Mr. Kennedy therefore reasoned that since the Development Code was not in compliance with State law in regards to 5 uses permitted within an exclusive farm use zone, this conditional 6 use permit could not be granted and in order for the applicant 7 to establish a public and personal use airport on his property, 8 a Comprehensive Land Use map change as well as possibly a 9 zone change would be required. 10 11 Since the Klamath County Development Code does not allow Hearings Officers to hear such matters, the Hearings Officer 12 was without the jurisdiction to rule upon the application. 13 14 The applicant, E. A. Bigby, advised that he was solely relying on information as presented in the face of Section 51.019 15 on the Klamath County Development Code. He was not aware of 16 its conflict with State law. 17 18 Therefore, there being no evidence presented for argument to the contrary, the applicant has failed to meet his burden 19 that this Hearings Officer has jurisdiction to rule upon the 20 matter and further, 21 22 Based upon a review of the Statutes and the argument as presented by William M. Ganong on behalf of William Kennedy, dba 23 Lost River Ranch, it is hereby ordered that the Motion to quash 24 25 26 ORDER, Page Two.

. by William Kennedy, dba Lost River Ranch, is granted. This application is denied based upon a lack of jurisdiction by the Hearings Officer to hear this matter. DATED this 19 day of May, 1982. Assistant Hearings Officer STATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; ss. Filed for record . this _____day cf __May____ A. D. 1982_at <u>4:01</u>0'clock ____Marct duly recorded in Vol. M 82, f Deeds on ia c 6438 Fee None EV. LYN BIEHN County lais By Vun ORDER, Page Three.