BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER

KIAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

| | In the Matter of Request for) |
|---|-------------------------------|
| 4 | Variance for Minor Partition) |
| 5 | No. 39-82 for Bill Jolly |

12230

1

2

6

()) (~)

Klamath County Planning Findings of Fact and Order

Yol. My Page 5742

A hearing was held on this matter on May 20, 1982, pursuant 7 to notice given in conformity with Ordinance No. 45, Klamath 8 County, before the Klamath County Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. 9 The applicant's representative was present. The Klamath County 10 Planning Department was represented by Becky Achten. The 11 Hearings Reporter was Barbara Thomson. 12

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and on 13 behalf of the applicant. 14

The following exhibits were offered, received and made a 15 part of the record: 16 Klamath County Exhibit A, Staff Report 17

Klamath County Exhibit B, Tentative Mar of Partition 18 Klamath County Exhibit C, Klamath County Assessor's Map 19 Klamath County Exhibit D, Code Requirements 20 Klamath County Exhibit E, Department of Public Works 21 Comments 22 Klamath County Exhibit F, Interim Measures Form 23 Applicant's Exhibit 1, Warranty Deed 24 Applicant's Exhibit 2, Authorization to Act as Agent 25 The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence 26 submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the follow-27 ing Findings of Fact: 28

1 1. There are exceptional and extraordinary circumstances
 which apply to the property involved which do not generally apply
 to other property in the vicinity, in that applicant's property
 is steeper and rocky along the northern property line. Also,
 farming has taken place on applicant's property for over twenty
 years and the granting of this variance will prevent interference
 with said farming operation.

8 2. The granting of this variance is necessary for the
9 preservation and enjoyment of the applicant's right to make full
10 use of his property, a right which is possessed by other property
11 owners in the vicinity. If this variance is not granted, undue
12 hardship will be caused to the owner.

13 3. There was no testimony in opposition to the granting of 14 this variance, and there is no evidence in the record that there 15 would be any detrimental effect to the public health, safety or 16 welfare, or any detrimental effect to any abutting property 17 owners.

18 4. The required variance is the minimum variance which will
19 alleviate the hardship due to the size of the applicant's property
20 and the present farming operation thereon.

5. The granting of this variance will not allow use of the
property for a purpose which is not authorized within the zone
within which the property is located.

24 6. The granting of this variance is consistent with the
25 goals of the L.C.D.C.

26 The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of 27 Fact, accordingly orders as follows: 28 ///

VAR. for MNP 39-82 Page -2-

That real property described as the 1 "parcel of land generally located approximately 13 miles northwest of Malin, Oregon, approximately 1/2 2 mile east of North Malin Road, and 1/4 mile north of Malin Loop Road, and more particularly described 3 as being the SW1 SW1 of Section 2, Township 41, Range 12, Tax Account No. 128-8, Klamath County, Oregon" 4 is hereby granted a variance for lot depth to width ratio on 5 Parcel No. 1 of Minor Partition 39-82. 6 Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this 27^{14} day of 7 8 ri144_____, 1982. 9 10 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION 11 Do Marings Officer 12 13 14 15 STATE OF OREGON; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; 53. 16 this 28 day of May A. D. 19 82 at o'clock A 'M. " 17 duly recorded in Vol. M 82 of Deeds on a c 6742 18 EVELYN BIEHN County itsik 19 N^O Fee By 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 VAR. for MNP 39-82 Page -3-

5744