	15493
	M2 12169
1	BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER
2	FOR KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON
3	In the Matter of a ) REQUEST FOR VARIANCE ) NO. 18-82
4 5	for ) FINDINGS OF FACT, DECISION ) AND ORDER
6	RALPH E. EDWARDS )
7	THIS MATTER came on for a hearing before the Klamath
8	County Assistant Hearings Officer, JAMES R. UERLINGS, on Sept-
9	ember 2, 1982, at 1:30 p.m. in the Klamath County Commissioners'
10	Hearing Room in the Klamath County Courthouse. The applicant,
11	Ralph E. Edwards, appeared in person and the Klamath County
12	Planning Department was represented by its staff. The following
13	decisions and finding of fact are entered pursuant to said hear-
14	ing:
15	FINDINGS OF FACT:
16	1. The applicant for this variance is Ralph E. Edwards
17	who is the owner of the parcel of land, the legal description
18	of which is Lot 40 of Villa St. Clair subdivision, Klamath

19 County, State of Oregon.

NN 10 SE

- 82 SEP 14 1

2. The site is located at 4318 Bartlett Avenue, about
21 500 feet east of Summers Lane in Klamath County, Oregon.

3. The existing land use of the property is a house
and mobile home. The plan designation is Urban Residential, and
the zone designation is RS.

25 4. Access to the property is off Bartlett Avenue, a26 paved County street.

FINDINGS OF FACT, DECISION AND ORDER - Page One.

12170

		1
1	5. The applicant is requesting that the setbacks be	
2	reduced in order to allow for a carport to be built. The appli-	
3	cant is requesting a reduction in the side yard setback from	
4	ten (10) feet to two (2) feet and a reduction in the front yard	
5	setback from twenty five (25) feet to six (6) feet.	
6	6. The adjacent properties have the following existing	
7	land use of Residential with individual houses and mobile homes,	
8	and a mobile home park; a plan designation of Urban Residential;	
9	and a zone designation of RS.	
10	7. No written correspondence for or against or agency	
11	input was received.	
12	8. The following Exhibits were offered and received	
13	into evidence:	
14	Staff Exhibits: "A", "B", and "C". Applicant's Exhibit: #1.	
15		
16	9. The structures on the applicant's property were	
17	built prior to the applicant purchasing the property and were	
18	constructed so that the applicant, in order to build a carport	
19	on his property, must build according to the plot plan submitted	
20	herein. Everything that is currently built on the property	
21	now is on the property line. The other dwellings within the	
22	same vicinity are not built on the property line and those	
23	individuals would have the ability to built a carport without	
24	obtaining a variance. The neighbor who resides on the side of	
25	the proposed property nearest to the proposed carport has his	
26	dwelling situated so that it is a considerable distance from	
	FINDINGS OF FACT DECISION AND OPPORT	

FINDINGS OF FACT, DECISION AND ORDER - Page Two.

1	the area in which the carport would be constructed.	
2	KLAMATH COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE CRITERIA:	
3	1. Klamath County Code Section 43 sets forth the	
4	general review criteria for consideration of a variance. These	
5	criteria are as follows:	
6	A. That a hardship peculiar to the property and	
7	not created by any act of the owner exists. In this context,	
8	personal, family or financial difficulties, loss of prospective	
9	profits and neighboring violations are not hardships justifying	
10	a variance. Further, a previous variance can never have set a	
11	precedent, for each case must be considered only on its indivi-	1
12	dual merits.	
13	B. That exceptional or extraordinary circumstances	
14	apply to the property which do not apply generally to other	
15	properties in the same zone or vicinity and result from size or	
16	shape, legally existing prior to the effective date of this	
17	Code, topography, or other circumstances over which the applicant	
18	has no control.	
19	C. That the granting of the variance will not	
20	be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or	
21	welfare or will not impair an adequate supply of light and air	
22	to the adjacent property.	
23	2. The Klamath County Code Section 43.001 sets forth	
24	the general purpose of Article 43. It states that the purpose	
25	of a variance is to permit justifiable departures from the	
26	requirements of this Code where their literal application would	
	FINDINGS OF FACT, DECISION AND ORDER - Page Three.	

12172 impose an undue or unnecessary hardship on the citizens 1 of Klamath County or the owners of property within the County, 2 except that no variance shall be granted for a parcel of property 3 which either authorizes a use or activity not permitted by the 4 land use zone regulations governing the parcel of property. 5 3. ORS 197.175 requires that this Land Use action be 6 in conformity with State-wide Planning Goals. 7 KLAMATH COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE FINDINGS: 8 9 1. See Findings of Fact 1-9. 2. A hardship peculiar to this property exists and 10 was not created by any act of the owner. 11 12 3. Exceptional and/or extraordinary circumstances exist that apply to this property which do not apply generally 13 to the other properties in the same vicinity or zone that result 14 from size and shape and construction of the buildings thereon. 15 16 The granting of this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare nor will it 17 impair adequate supply of light or air to the adjacent properties. 18 STATE-WIDE PLANNING GOALS AND CRITERIA: 19 See Exhibit "AA", Pages 1-6, attached hereto and 20 incorporated by this reference. 21 CONCLUSION OF LAW AND DECISION: 22 23 This request for variance satisfies all applicable Α. Klamath County Development Code Criteria and policies governing 24 variances. 25 26 This request for variance is in conformity with the в. FINDINGS OF FACT, DECISION AND ORDER - Page Four.

12173 Klamath County Comprehensive Land Use Plan. 1 2 c. This request for variance is consistent and complies with the applicable State-wide Planning Goals. 3 4 D. This request for variance is consistent and complies with all requirements of State law. 5 There is substantial evidence in the record to support 6 this request for variance and no evidence was submitted in 7 opposition thereto. 8 Therefore, it is hereby ordered that this variance be 9 10 granted. DATED this 10 day of September, 1982. 11 12 13 14 15 JAM S R. UERL 16 Assistant Hearings Off: 17 18 19 STATE OF OREGCN; COUNTY OF KLAMATH; ss. 20 Filed for record 21 10:42 this 14 day of sept A. D. 19 82 at o'clock AN, and 22 duly recorded in Vol. <u>M. 82</u>, of <u>Deeds</u> on Pase 12169 23 EVELYN BIEHN, County Clark No Fee 24 m. Commissioners Journal 25 26 FINDINGS OF FACT, DECISION AND ORDER - Page Five.