	1	
•		30962
	÷.	Vol. <u>M83</u> Page 20200
		BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER
		FOR KLAMATH COUNTY OFFCON
	3	NO 21 02
	4	, REQUEST FOR WARTANGE
	5	/ FINDINGS OF FROM
	6	
	7	
	8	
0H		THIS MATTER came on for a hearing before the Klamath County Assistant Hearings Office
\sim	9	
A.	10	November 17, 1983, at 1.30 p -
e	11	November 17, 1983, at 1:30 p.m. in the Klamath County Commission- ers' Hearing Room. The hearing was in the state of the s
	12	
21 21	13	
3	14	
		The IOLOwing the
	15	- acide and made a part
	16	Exhibits "A" through "D".
	17	FINDINGS OF PACT:
	18	
3	19	1) The applicant is the owner of the subject property which is located in Section 36 marries
	20	which is located in Section 36, Township 39, Range 8 East, Midland subdivision Lots 20 22
	1	
2	- 1	The second
2	2	of Third and Main Street, Midland, Oregon.
23	5	2) The part of the

• 1

~~ ~~;

10H E8.

24

25

26

•

The parcel size is 145' × 160'.

3) The applicant is requesting the variance to reduce the side yard setback on the East side from 15 feet to 2 feet. The variance is needed to add a garage to the existing FINDINGS OF FACT, DECISION AND ORDER

house which is already setback only 2 feet from the property 1 2 3 4) The plan designation is rural community residential, the zone designation is RCR and the adjacent and surround-4 5 ing zoning is RCR. 6 5) The square footage of the property is 23,200 7 feet, the vegetation is lawn, garden and trees, and the topography is level. Access to the property is off of Main and 8 9 Third Streets, both gravel public roads. 10 The new garage would accomodate two (2) cars 6) 11 and would be attached to Mr. Rigo's house. While only 2: 12 from the property line, the garage would be over 20' from 13 the portion of Third Street actually used for traffic, and would not obstruct traffic visibility or flow. 14 15 7) It appears that the actual right of way for 16 Third Street in front of the applicant's residence is 60'. However, the actual travel portion of the road is considerably 17 less and all of the residences in the area, including the 18 applicant's, have extended their residential use to within 19 a 60' wide easement. In the case of the applicant, his actual fence line adjacent to Third Street is within the 60' right of way. 8) The granting of the variance for the construction of the garage will allow for the removal of the applicant's cars from being parked on the right of way and can be parked upon the applicant's property. The applicant needs to construct FINDINGS OF FACT DECISION AND ORDER

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

			20202
	1	the garage 2' from the property a	
	2	the garage 2' from the property line in order that: matches the line of the cuive.	(1) it
	3		
	4		1
	5		
	. 1		
	6		particular
	7		the con-
	8	KLAMATH COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE CRITERIA:	
	9	See Exhibit Harry	
1	.0	See Exhibit "AA" attached hereto and incorpo	rated
1	1		
1:	2	KLAMATH COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE FINDINGS:	
		1. See Findings of Fact 1-8 above.	
13		2. A literal enforcement c	
14	- j		1
15	a	in a practical difficulty or an unnecessary hardship to applicant. This difficulty arises from personal circum of the applicant which would not be	the
16	o	of the applicant which a set of the applicant which a set of the applicant which a set of the set o	stances
17			
18		cenerit of strict enforcement of the	
19	- 14 - L	Causing the store	s code.
	Cr	reated by the applicant.	ot
20		4. The granting of this year	
21	de	4. The granting of this variance will not be a strimental to the public health	naterially
22	use		
23	<u>11</u>	e and enjoyment of adjacent properties and will not be ary to the intent of this Code.	con-
24	1		
25		ATE-WIDE PLANNING GOALS AND CRITERIA:	
26	1	See Exhibit "BB" attached hereto and incorporate this reference.	
	1200		-a 199
- 			
~~		DINGS OF FACE	

and a subsection of the subsec

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DECISION:

A. This request for variance satisfies all applicable Klamath County Development Code criteria and policies governing

This request for variance is in conformity with в. the Klamath County Comprehensive Land Use Plan. С.

This request for variance is consistent with and complies with the applicable State-wide Planning Goals and all requirements of State law.

THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that this variance be granted.

DATED this \overleftrightarrow day of November, 1983.

JAMES R. UERLINGS

FINDINGS OF FACT DECISION AND OPDER

KLAMATH COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE CRITERIA:

20204

SECTION 43.003 - REVIEW CRITERIA

EXHIBIT "AA"

A Variance shall be granted only upon finding by the review authority that it satisfies the following criteria:

A. That a literal enforcement of this Code would result in practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship. The difficulty or hardship may arise from the property's size, shape or topography, from the location of lawfully existing buildings and improvements, or from personal circumstances which would result in greater private expense than public benefit of strict enforcement.

B. That the condition causing the difficulty was not created by the applicant.

C. That the granting of the Variance will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare or to the use and enjoyment of adjacent properties and will not be contrary to the intent of this Code.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN POLICIES AND STATE LAND USE GOALS 1 - 14	20205
GOAL NO. 1 - Citizen Involvement	· · · ·
X Complies Does not Comply	
Complies with conditions	
Not applicable	-
Relevant Policies:	
1. "The County shall provide for continued citizen involvement opportunities."	
involvement	
State Goal Issues:	
A public hearing on this matter has been set for November 17, 1983 property owners, the Midland America has been sent to surrey him	
before the Hearing on this matter has been set for November 17, 1983 property owners, the Midland Area Committee, and other concerned agencies, and published in the <u>Herald and News</u> .	
GOAL NO. 2 = Land Use Planning	••• ·
[x] Complies Does not comply Section Major/Minor Partition	
A - Complies Comply N.A.	
Relevant Policies:	
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{\Box} \\ \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{\Box} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{\Box} \\ \mathbf{D} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C} \end{array}$	
State Goal Issues: $F - \Box \qquad \Box \qquad \Box$	
The area is zero. $\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$	
garage would use. The proposed -	
be closer to the east property \Box	
of compliance. A determination	-
(Variance Review Criteria) must be made by the Hearings Officer.	
Exhibit "BB", Page 1.	
, Page 1.	

A.

. GOAL, N	0.3-	Agricul	tural	Lande
	olies [Does	not c	Comply
	lies wi	th cond	lition	- <u>-</u> 2
X Not	applica	ble	• 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	

20205

Relevant Policies:

State Goal Issues: The area is zoned, subdivided and developed for residential use. There are no agricultural operations nearby which would be affected by the variance.

()

GOAL NO. 4 - Forest Lands

Complies Does not Comply

Complies with conditions

X Not applicable

Relevant Policies:

State Goal Issues:

Area soils have no timber productivity rating. The area is zoned, subdivided and developed for residential use. There are no nearby timber operations which could be affected by this variance.

Exhibit "BR"

_____Paqe

GOAL NO. 5 - Open Space, Scenic and Historic areas, and Natural Complies Does not Comply Complies with conditions 20207 X Not applicable Relevant Policies: State Goal Issues: There are no inventoried Goal 5 resources on or around the property GOAL NO. 6 - Air Water and Land Resource Quality Complies Does not Comply Complies with Conditions X Not applicable Relevant Policies: State Goal Issues: The reduced building setback would not cause added waste discharge Exhibit "BB", Page 3

GOAL NO. 7 - Natural Disaster	and Hazard	is Art 🦻		20208
Complies Does Not Comp	ly			
Complies with conditions				
X Not applicable			•	
Relevant Policies:				
State Goal Issues:				
State Goal Issues. There are no inventoried na	tural haza:	rds affecti	ng the area.	
	đe			
GOAL NO. 8 - Recreation New				
Complies Does Not Co	mply			
Complies with conditions	5			
X Not applicable				

Relevant Policies:

State Goal Issues:

The reduced setback for the proposed garage would not affect the need for and availability of recreation in Midland.

Exhibit "BB" Page 4.

GOAL NO. 9 - County Economy

Complies Does not Comply

Complies with conditions

X Not applicable

Relevant Policies:

State Goal Issues:

The reduced setback would not affect the Klamath County economy or employment.

20209

GOAL NO. 10 - Housing

X Complies Does not Comply

Complies with conditions

Not applicable

Relevant Policies:

State Goal Issues:

The proposed variance would allow for a garage as an accessory use to a house.

Page GOAL NO. 11 - Public Facilities and Services Complies Does not Comply Complies with conditions 20210 X Not applicable Relevant Policies: State Goal Issues: The reduced setback would not require additional public facilities - --- . GOAL NO. 12 - Transportation X Complies Does not Comply Complies with conditions Not applicable Relevant Policies: State Goal Issues: The reduced setback would not affect traffic flow or visibility along The reduced Seconds would not direct trained from or visibility all Third Street. The proposed garage would be over 20 feet from the travelled portion of the street. Exhibit "BB", Page 6.

	Page -11-
	GOAL NO. 13 - Energy Conservation
	Complies with conditions
	Relevant Policies:
	State Goal Issues:
	The reduced setback would not set
	The reduced setback would not affect energy use or conservation.
	GOAL NO. 14 - Urbanization
	Complies Does not Comply
	Complies with conditions
	X Not applicable
	Relevant Policies:
	State Goal Issues:
7	
n in the second s	The property is within a rural community. The variance would not require an urban level of services or facilities.
	Ret: Commissioners Journal
STATE	Exhibit "BB", Page 7. Teby certify that the within is s
1 her recor	The by certify that the with in instrument was received and filed for uly recorded in Vol M83, of Deeds at 2:40 O' clock PM
and d	
FEE \$	FUETION
	by Am In the deputy