| · ~ | | | |
|----------|--|---|---|
| | | M. M. M. Poge 18876 | > |
| | 1 | 42922 BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER | - |
| 1 | 2 | KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON | - |
| 1994 - L | 3 | In the Matter of Request) | |
| | 4 | for Variance No. 10-84 for) William T. McGaughey | |
| | 5 | Pindinan a | |
| | 6 | Applicant.) Findings of Fact and Order | |
| | 7 | | |
| | 8 | A hearing was held on this matter on October 18, 1984, | |
| | 9 | a second to notice given in conformity with Ordinance N | |
| | 0 | Sounty, before the Klamath County Hearings Office | |
| 1 | | principal of the applicant was present and was represent in | |
| 1 | | The Klamath County Planning Dependent | |
| 1 | | Logicsented by Jonathan Chudnoff. The Hearings Bonaut | |
| - 14 | | and and and taker. | |
| 15 | | Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and | |
| 16 | | there was testimony by an adjacent. | İ |
| 17 | 1 | | |
| 18 | | The following exhibits were offered, received, and made a part of the record. | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | Klamath County Exhibit A, Staff Report | |
| 21 22 | | Bounty Exhibit B, Assessor's Sheet | |
| 22 | | Klamath County Exhibit C, Plot Plan | |
| 23 | 1.1.2.M. 3.4.2.2 | Klamath County Exhibit D, Engineer's Plan, Country Green | |
| 25 | | Klamath County Exhibit E, Memo from Henley Land Company Director's Meeting |] |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | alte e d'a. | Klamath County Exhibit F, Letter from Country Green Assoc. | |
| 28 | and a street | Klamath County Exhibit G, Letter from Pacific Northwest Bell | |
| . | | Bell | |
| | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | | |
| | 1 | | |

r A

A second sec second sec

The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following Conclusions of Law:

18877

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

1 2

3

4

5

6

s.7

8

11

12

13

14

17

27

1. A literal enforcement of the Klamath County Land Development Code would result in an unnecessary hardship for the applicant in that the garage in question has already been constructed and is presently in place and being used.

9 2. The condition causing the above-mentioned hardship 10 was not created by the applicant.

The granting of this variance would not be detrimental 3. to the public health, safety and welfare, nor to the use and enjoyment of adjacent properties and will not be contrary to the intent of this code.

15 4. The granting of this variance is consistent with the 16 goals of the L.C.D.C.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

18 This variance has been granted based on the following 19 findings of fact:

20 1. The applicant is seeking a variance to approve a 21 garage constructed two feet instead of five feet from the north 22 property line. The garage was built where it is based on a 23 mistaken assumption by the builder that the wall along Keller 24 Road was on the inside of the eight-foot fence easement shown 25 on the engineer's plan for Country Green (see Exhibit D). After 26 two neighbors called to complain that the garage was too close to the property line, the Planning Department made some measure-28 ments and located some of the property markers. The

VAR 10-84 Page 2

investigation by the Planning Department showed that the wall 1 was on the outside of the easement and that the garage was two 2 feet from the property line. By this time, the garage was complete. When the owner and builder were notified of this error, the variance was applied for. The eight-foot easement along the north side of this property is not designated for any specific purpose on the official plat of Country Green. The underground utilities are all installed elsewhere.

18878

2. The property in question is in the Country Green 9 subdivision and is part of Turner Court in this subdivision. 10 3. Neither the owner nor the builder had any idea that 11 the garage was not being constructed in accordance with the 12 required setbacks. The builder, in fact, checked with the 13 Klamath County Planning Department prior to construction to 14 make sure he was complying with all of the requirements. 15 Therefore, the construction of this garage in its present 16 location was by mistake and not as an attempt to avoid the 17 setback requirement. 18

The garage is two feet from the property line, which 19 4. is also the south right-of-way line of Keller Road. The garage 20 does not impede traffic or reduce visibility on Keller Road 21 and Turner Court. 22

5. There was objection to the granting of this variance 23 by other residents of the Country Green subdivision; these 24 were objections that the law had not been followed. No 25 evidence was presented showing that there would be any detrimen-26 tal effect to the public health, safety or welfare, or any 27 detrimental effect to abutting property owners. 28

VAR 10-84 Page 3

3

4

5

6

7

8

The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of 1 Fact, accordingly orders as follows: 2 The real property described as 3 ÷ą, "Being generally located at 7136 Turner Court, 198 4 and more particularly described as being located in Lot 30, Block 1, Country Green, 5 is hereby granted a variance in accordance with the terms of 6 the Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 45.2 to allow a reduced 7 setback from five feet to two feet on the north side of the 8 9 property for an existing garage. 10 Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this day of 11 November, 1984. 12 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION 13 ESpinde 14 Jim Spindor, Hearings Officer 15 16 Return: Commisioners Journal 17 18 19 STATE OF OREGON,) County of Klamath) 20 Filed for record at request of 21 on this 6th day of November D. 19 84 22 at____3:07 ____ M, and duly o'clock _ 23 _of _Deeds recorded in Vol. M84 18876 24 Page___ EVELYN BIEHN, County Clerk 25 By A this Amill Deputy None 26 27 28 VAR 10-84 Page 4