## 49517

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 ||

10

13

15

16

18

BEFORE THE MEARINGS OFFICER KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

Vol M85 Page -83

In the Hatter of Request for ) Variance No. 9-85 for H. L. and Leslie Lucas 2

A hearing was held on this matter on May 16, 1985, pursuant to notice given in conformity with Ordinance No. 45.2, Klamath County, before the Klamath County Hearings Officer, Bradford J. Aspell. The applicant was present. The Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Jonathan Chudnoff. The Hearings Reporter was Judy Whitaker.

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and on behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property owners 11 12 present.

The following exhibits were offered, received, and made a 14 part of the record:

Klamath County Exhibit A, Staff Report

Klamath County Exhibit B, Plot Plan 17

Klamath County Exhibit C, Assessor's Map

Klamath County Exhibit D, Photograph 19

Klamath County Exhibit E, City Planner's Comments

20 The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following 21 22 Conclusions of Law:

23 24

CONCLUSIONS OF LAN:

1. A literal enforcement of the Klamath County Land Develop-25 ment Code would result in an unnecessary hardship for the applicant, that the lot is long 138 feet and narrow 71 feet, and the 26 application of the rear yard setback would make development of 27 the garage the size and configuration requested by applicant 28

ന H ŝ JUN ŝ

G

impossible. 1

27

28

2. The condition causing the above-mentioned hardship was 2 not created by the applicant. 3 4 The granting of this variance would not be detrimental 3. to the public health, safety and welfare or to the use and enjoy-5 ment of adjacent properties, and will not be contrary to the intent 6 of the Code, and the granting of this variance is consistent with 7 the goals of the Land Conservation and Development Commission. 8 9 10 The requested variance has been granted based upon the following findings of fact: 11 12 This request is to reduce the required rear yard setback 1. from 20 feet to 2 feet in order to build a 32 foot by 24 foot 13 14 garage. 15 The property in question is designated urban in the 2. Comprehensive Land Use Plan and is zoned Medium Density Residentia 16 17 The property in question consists of a rectangular lot 71 feet by 135 feet of approximately 9,585 square feet located at 18 the corner of Frieda Street and Etna Street, shown in Exhibit B. 19 The applicants did not previously partition or subdivide the 20 property, although they installed the mobile home presently on 21 22 3. The applicant proposes a 24 foot by 32 foot garage within 23 2 feet of the rear property line. The required rear yard setback 24 25 for new construction is 20 feet from the rear property line and the side yard setback is 5 feet. This lot being a corner lot could have been improved with the front yard facing either Frieda Street or Etna Street. If the mobile home on the subject property

8371

1 fronted Frieda Street, the requested variance here would be not 18
2 feet, but instead 3 feet as the rear yard would then become a side
3 yard.

4 There is no other place on applicant's property where 4. such a garage could be built without substantially affecting or 5 limiting applicant's view from their mobile home without the 6 requirement of a variance. That the size and construction of the 7 proposed garage is not unreasonable given applicant's uses. 8 The proposed garage is to be built adjacent to a neighbors garage, and 9 it would not have adverse affects on the use and enjoyment of 10 11 other properties.

12 5. No one testified in opposition to the granting of this
13 variance, and no evidence was presented that there would be any
14 detrimental effect to the public health, safety or welfare, or
15 any detrimental effect to abutting property owners.

16 The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of17 Fact, accordingly orders as follows:

That real property described as:

"being generally located at 1405 Etna Street, and more particularly described as the south half of Lot 11, Empire Tracts,"

21 is hereby granted a Variance in accordance with the terms of the
22 Klamath County Zoning Ordinance No. 45.2, and, henceforth, will
23 be allowed a reduction in rear yard setback from 20 feet to 2 feet
24 in the Medium Density Residential (RM) zone.
25 Enterod at When the Feet

Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this <u>5</u>Day of June, 1985 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION

bv:

Variance 9-85 Page 3

18

19

20

26

27 28

Bradfor Aspell, Hearings Officer

EVELYN BIEHN, COUNTY CLERK

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH:ss I hereby certify that the within instrument was received and filed for record on the <u>6th</u> day of <u>Juen June A.D.</u>, <u>19</u><u>85</u>at<u>9:15</u><u>0'clock A</u><u>M</u>, and duly recorded in Vol\_M85\_\_\_\_, of <u>Deeds</u><u>on page\_3370</u>.

Return: Commissioners Journal

, Deputy