51176 BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER MED Page 11315 In the Matter of Request for KLAMATH CONTY, OREGON Comprehensive Land Use Plan and 2 Zone Change No. 4-85 for Walter Petersen, Applicant 3 4 5 A hearing was held on this matter on June 20, 1985, pursuant to notice given in conformity with Ordinance No. 45.2, Klamath 6 County, before the Klamath County Hearings Officer, Brad Aspell. 7 The applicant was present. The Klamath County Planning Department 8 was represented by Jonathan Chudnoff. The Hearings Reporter was 9 1 10 Karen Burg. 5 11 Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and on behalf of the applicant. There were adjacent property owners 12 Hid Li Tan who expressed opposition to the granting of the proposed plan 13 14 and zone change. 15 The following exhibits were offered, received, and made a S 16 part of the record: 17 Klamath County Exhibit A, Staff Report 18 Klamath County Exhibit B, Plot Plan 19 Klamath County Exhibit C, Assessor's Map 20 Klamath County Exhibit D, Photo 21 Klamath County Exhibit E, Letter from Pelican Tractor 22 Klamath County Exhibit F, City Planner's Comments 23 Klamath County Exhibit G, Letter from Norman and Alice Wells 24 Klamath County Exhibit H, Petition from owners on Miller Ave. 25 The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following 26 Findings of Fact: 27 28 11111



Exhibit C from the hearing, together with testimony from 6. 11318 the applicant and adjoining neighbors reveal that the block on 2 which the subject property situate is bordered to the south by 3 the Klamath Falls-Lakeview Highway also known as State Highway ۵ Route 39 or South Sixth Street Thirty-two of 37 separate tax lots 5 on the block are presently designated Urban on the Comprehensive 6 Land Use Plan and General Commercial in the zoning ordinance including 7 ll of 14 lots fronting on Miller Avenue being designated urban 8 on the Comprehensive Land Use Plan and General Commercial in the 9 zoning ordiance including 11 of 14 lots fronting on Miller Avenue 10 being designated urban and general commercial. The three other 11 12 lots are owned by Mary Woodard, Bill Ransom and Chuck Swafford. None of these individuals expressed an opinion at the time of 13 14 the hearing. Ten of the subject lots were changed from residential to commercial in the CLUP and Zone Change 17-82. The property 15 16 remains in use for single-family, residential dwellings. 17 primarily to the direct east of subject property is either utilized 18 by Les Schwab Tires or is undeveloped. Miller Avenue is a paved 19 County Street maintained to current road standards. 20 7. Applicant testified that adjacent property owners had 21 shown interest in the subject property and produced Exhibit E of Pelican Tractor Company in support thereof. Notice was provided to the South Suburban Area Committee, however, no reports were received from the County. The notice was given to adjacent property owners, and objections were duly noted by Norman and Alice Wells (Exhibit G) whose primary concern was that commercial development in the area would reduce property values. ally, Mr. Ed Hampton expressed his concerns at the hearing and Addition-CLUP & ZC 4-85

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 generally felt that with adequate restrictions including fencing 2 and no through access of traffic, the adverse effect, if any, 3 would be substantially minimized. Finally, Mr. Joseph T. Riker, 4 III, on behalf of the City of Klamath Falls, objected based upon: 5 a) failure of the applicant to provide the intended use of the 6 property to justify zone change; and b) upon the ground that 7 the proposed zone change would split an existing suburban residential 8 area causing a spot zone within the residential use area. The 9 Hearings Officer finds from the evidence produced that Mr. Riker 10 is incorrect in asserting that the land use change would constitute spot planning in that while other properties fronting Miller 11 12 Avenue have not been developed for commercial uses, the owners 13 are legally in their rights to do so. Justification for the change 14 does, however, concern your Hearings Officer. 8. Section 48.003 of the Community Development Ordinance 15 16 provides the review criteria for a Comprehensive Land Use Change. 17 "A proposed Change of Comprehensive Plan Designation shall be approved 18 if the reviewing authority finds that: A) the proposed change 19 is in compliance with the Statewide Planning Goals; B) the proposed

11319

20 change is in conformance with all policies of the Klamath County 21 Comprehensive Plan; and C) the proposed changes supported by 22 specific studies or other factual information documents the public 23 need for the change."

9. Section 47.003 of the Land Develoment Code provides the
review criteria for a Zone Change. It states that "A proposed
change of zone shall be approved if the reviewing authority shall
find that: A) The change is in conformance with the Comprehensive
Plan, and all other provisions of the Land Development Code; and
CLUP & ZC 4-85
Page 4

1 | B) The property affected by the change of zone is adequate in size and shape to facilitate those uses which are normally allowed 2 in conjunction with such zoning; C) The property affected by the proposed change of zone is properly related to streets to 4 adequately serve the type of traffic generated by such uses that 5 may be permitted; D) The proposed change of zone will have no adverse effect on the appropriate use and development of abutting 7 8 properties. 9 10

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AS TO COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN CHANGE: 1. Goal 1, Citizen Involvement, has been met as notice has been published and the adjacent property owners and agencies have 11 been notified and comments have been noted above. 12 13 2. Goals 3, 4, and 5 are not applicable to this application. 14 15

3. Goal 5 is applicable in that the Hearings Officer concludes that air, water and land resources quality. would not be affected adversely from the current permitted zone designation. 16 17 4. Goals 7 and 8 are specifically found to be inapplicable. 18 5. The Hearings Officer finds that Goal 9, County Economy, would be enhanced by allowing for comercial growth and new development 19 even though the exact nature is presently incapable of ascertain-20 21 ment. 22 23

6. While demolition of the existing house would reduce available housing, the Hearings Officer takes judicial note of the 24 surplus of available housing within the community and Goal 10 25 has been met. 26 7. Goal 11 has been met. The findings above demonstrate 27 28

that all reasonably necessary Public facilities and services are available. C.UP & ZC 4-85 Page 5

8. As to Goal 12, Transportation, the Hearings Officer con-1 cludes regular and through access from the subject property onto 2 Miller Avenue would adversely affect traffic. For this reason, 3 the Hearings Officer concludes that the property shall be appropri-4 ately fenced and gated along Miller Avenue and that access shall not be gained to the subject site from Miller Avenue. 6 9. Goal 13, Energy Conservation, can marginally be served 7 by increasing the density of commercial develoment in an area. 8 10. Goal 14, Urbanization, has been met. The real property 9 10 is located within Klamath Falls Urban Growth Boundary. 11. Goal 2 provides the most difficult of review criteria provided. The zone change must comply with the criteria of Land 12 13 Development Code Section 47.003. It must be shown that the property 14 as adequate for the use as allowed by the proposed zone, the streets 15 are adequate to carry the type of traffic generated by commercial 16 uses, the proposed change would have no adverse effects on the 17 use and development of neighboring properties.

ZONE CHANGE:

1.

19

The dimensions of the property and its layout are adequate 20 in size and shape and uses allowed by the proposed zone. 2. Miller Avenue is adequate in size to carry the type of traffic generated by generally accepted commercial uses. 22 23 the Hearings Officer concludes that as a condition to approval However, 24 of the Comprehensive Land Use and Zone Change, that the applicant 25 shall be required to fence the subject property so that through 26 traffic will not be permitted from South Sixth Street onto Miller Avenue. Appropriate gates shall remain in use and operation. 28 3. That with the conditions stated above, the Hearings CLUP & ZC 4-85 Page 6

25 26

27

28

1 officer concludes that the proposed change would have no adverse effects upon the use and development of abutting properties keep-11322 ing in mind the fact that the majority of abutting properties 3 on Miller Avenue presently are designated General Commercial in A the Comprehensive Land Use Plan and Zoning Ordinance. 5 pfficer finds continued use and development of the property on 6 the south side of Miller Avenue will be in commercial nature. 7 The Hearings 8 The most significant issue is the documentation of public heed for the change. The Hearings Officer concludes from the 9 testimony that the interest and expansion of Les Schwab and Pioneer 10 11 Fractor Co. within this area and the immediate need for adjoining 12 br abutting lands shows a sufficient public need to justify the intended change. Adjacent lands will be utilized first in the expansion of commercial activities where practical. 14 15 CONCLUSION OF LAW AND DECISION: 16 1. The contents of the Land Use Plan and Zone Change satisfy all applicable Klamath County Development criteria and policies, 17 18 subject to inclusion of the following conditions: 19 a) The applicant shall fence a portion of the property on Miller Avenue and install a gate providing the same remains 20 21 closed at all times. 22 b) That development of the subject lot shall be limited to commercial use indicent to one or more of the adjoining properties 23 fronting on South Sixth Street, the primary access to the property 24 shall be gained through such other property as fronts on South sixth street. 2. The CLUP and Zone Change is in conformity with the Klamath County Comprehensive Land Use Plan as adopted. CLUP & ZC 4-85

11323 1 The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, accordingly orders as follows: 2 3 That real property described as: 4 "being genrally located at 5636 Miller Avenue, and more particularly described as Lot 22, Pleasant Home Tracts, No. 2, Klamath County, Oregon," 5 is hereby granted a Comprehensive Land Use Plan and Zone Change 6 with conditions in accordance with the terms of the Klamath County 7 Zoning Ordinance, and, henceforth, will be allowed a plan change 8 from Urban to General Commercial and a Zone Change from Suburban 9 10 Residential to General Commercial. 11 Entered at Klamath Falls, Oregon, this <u>16</u> Day of <u>Ja</u> 12 1985. 13 14 KLAMATH COUNTY HEARINGS DIVISION 15 16 17 ngs Officer 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 CLUP & ZC 4-85 Page 7 STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH: SS. Filed for record at request of of July A.D., 19 85 the 17ch \_ at \_2:19 o'clock P\_M., and duly recorded in Vol. M85 day Deeds of \_ on Page \_\_\_\_11316 Evelyn Biehn FEE NONE County Clerk By Am

Return: Commissioners Journal