## 63481

3

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON Vol. 7986 Page

In the Matter of Request for Conditional Use Permit No. 29-86) for Earl Allen, Applicant

Klamath County Planning Findings of Fact and Order

4 A hearing was held on this matter on June 19, 1986, pursuant to notice given in conformity with Ordinance No. 45.2, Klamath County, before the Klamath County Hearings Officer, Jim Spindor. The applicant was present. The Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl. The Hearings Reporter was Janet

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and on 11 behalf of the applicant. There were no adjacent property owners

The following exhibits: were offered, received, and made a part of the record:

Klamath County Exhibit A, Staff Report

Klamath County Exhibit B, Plot Plan

Klamath County Exhibit C, Assessor's Map

Klamath County Exhibit D, Memo from Environmental Health Dept. The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence submitted at the hearing, the Hearings Officer made the following Conclusions of Law:

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 1. The proposed use is conditionally permitted in the zone within which it is proposed to be located.
- The location, size, design and operating characteristics of the proposed use are in conformance with the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan.
  - 3. The location, size, design and operating characteristics

Ø5 C)

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

of the proposed use will be compatible with and will not have a significant adverse affect on the appropriate development and use of abutting property and the surrounding neighborhood.

2

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

24

25

26

27

28

- 4. The granting of this Conditional Use Permit is consistent with the goals of the LCDC.
- 6 5. This Conditional Use Permit has been granted subject to the following conditions: CONDITIONS:
- 9 a. The applicant shall comply with the requirements of the Klamath County Department of Health Services with regard to sewage
- b. This use shall be reviewed within one year to assure it has been compatible with the area.
- The applicant shall have water available on the site sufficient to deal with any fire danger.
- d. The hours of operation shall be limited from 3:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Monday through Saturday.
- 18 6. The requirements of Section 51.020(E) with regard to non-forest conditional uses have been met in that: (a) the pro-19 20 posed use is compatible with forest uses; (b) the proposed use does 21 not interfere seriously with accepted forestry practices on adjucent lands devoted to forest use; (c) the proposed use does not 22 23 materially affect the stability of the overall land use pattern of the area; (d) the proposed use is situated on generally unsuitable land for the production of forest crops and livestock; (e) the proposed use considers forest site productivity and minimizes the loss of productive forest lands; and (f) the proposed use meets the standard relating to the availability of fire protection

as set forth in Article 69 of this Code and other rural services, 2 3 FINDINGS OF FACT: The requested use has been granted with conditions based on 4 the following findings of fact: 5

1. This request is for a Conditional Use Permit to allow 6 the processing of firewood for sale. The property is located 7 south of Crescent on the west side of Gilchrist Railroad right 8 of way; the property is 26 acres and is rectangular in shape.

10 2. The use of this property for firewood processing implements forest management practcies in that controlled cutting of Lodgepole Pine is required for pine beetle control. 12

3. The use of the property as a firewood processing yard does not irretrievably commit the property to industrial use.

15 4. Notice of this hearing was sent to surrounding property owerns, to concerned public agencies, and published in the Herald 16 17 and News, the Klamath Falls newspaper. 18 19

5. The property is in the Crescent Fire District, which is a high fire hazard area; this District did not respond to this request.

6. No one testified in opposition to the granting of this permit, and no evidence was presented that there would be any adverse affect to the abutting property or surrounding area by

The Hearings Officer, based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, accordingly orders as follows: That real property described as

"being generally located south of Crescent on the west C.U.P. 29-86 Page 3

26 27

28

20

21

23

24 25

13

			•	•	
STATE	4 accordar 5 No. 45.2 6 of fores 7 Ente 8 1986. 9 10 11	conditional ace with the terms, and, hencefor products, fired at Klamath	<u> 82850</u>	itional Use Perith County Zoning	mit in  Fordinance  processing
STATE ( Filed for of	of _	10 86	o'clock AM., and o		day
	Commissioner  19 20 21		EVELYN BIRTH By Skane TK	County Clerk	

C.U.P. 29-86 Page 4