69562 Vol. M86 Page 23541 BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON In the Matter of the Request for 2 Conditional Use Permit 40-86 for FINDINGS OF FACT, Donald Crane on behalf of Maryetta 3 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW Gentry and the Estate of Mildred AND ORDER 4 Order #87-145 5 THIS MATTER having come on for hearing before the 6 Klamath County Board of Commissioners on November 12, 7 1986 with the applicant represented by attorney, B. J. Ę 8 MATZEN and the opponent PERLA DEVELOPMENT COMPANY 2 9 ž represented by attorney JERRY MOLATORE. 10 KLAMATH DEC 19 COUNTY was represented by County Counsel ROBERT D. 11 BOIVIN, staff was present and represented by CARL 12 SHUCK and ROY HUBERD. The hearing was reported by 13 Karen Burg and was held pursuant to notice given in 14 conformity with the Klamath County Land Development 15 Code and related ordinances. 16 Evidence was presented on behalf of the department, 17 the applicant and the opponent. The following exhibits 18 were offered, received and made a part of the record: 19 Klamath County Exhibit A, Staff Report Klamath County Exhibit B, Assessors Map 20 ഗ Klamath County Exhibit C, Partition Map 2y at 601 -8501 Klamath County Exhibit D, Health Department Letter 21 Klamath County Exhibit E, Soil & Water Conservation Attorne et, Suif 0 ŝ 22 Klamath County Exhibit F, Klamath Circuit Court õ Amended Interim Order in Case No.: 82-1579-1 4 ~ 23 to m Klamath County Exhibit G, Photographs Ó s, s, (50 Klamath County Exhibit H, Henry J. Caldwell, Jr. 24 ту N B. J. MATZEA 601 Main Str Klamath Fall Telephone: 0SB #: 7009: 8 2 3 3 3 Klamath County Exhibit I, Order on C.L.U.P. & Z.C. Klamath County Exhibit J, Assessors Maps submitted by Henry J. Caldwell, Jr. The hearing was then closed and based upon the 28 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - PAGE ONE

1 evidence submitted at the hearing, the Board of 2 Commissioners make the following findings of fact, 23542 3 conclusions of law and decision. In so doing the Board 4 recognizes the facts set out herein often are applicable 5 to more than one finding or conclusion and, therefore, are 6 treated as cumulative and supplementary. 7 8 FINDINGS OF FACT 1. In this application, DONALD CRANE was acting in 9 behalf of Maryetta Gentry and the Estate of Mildred Riddle 10 who own an unidivided portion of Government Lots 27, 28, 29 11 and 30, SW ½ Section 5, Township 355, Range 7E, Williamette 12 Meridian, Klamath County, Oregon, bearing tax account 13 numbers 3507-5-1000-Ul through Ul4, which property is 14 subject to an Amended Interim Order in Klamath County 15 Circuit Court Land Partition Case No.: 82-1579-1 where 16 Gentry and the Riddle Estate were made responsible for 17 applying for the present conditional use permit and minor 18 partitioning to determine whether the Klamath County 19 Board of Comnmissioners would approve the partitioning of 20 21.84 acres from the total parcel. 501 21 8 The parcel is presently designated by the Klamath 22 County Comprehensive Plan as agriculture and carries a 23 zone designation of exclusive farm use-cropland/grazing - 24 - 1 (EFU-CG). It is bounded on the south side and at the northwest corner by a rural (R-1) zone, on the northeast by a forestry (F) zone with the remaining surrounding zoning being EFU-CG and containing parcels ranging in size from 40 acres down to 4 acres with the majority FINDINGS OF FACT, CONLCUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - PAGE TWO

s, se NS

no.

97607 Attorney 2et, Suite 3, 0R 976 (503) 882-Le:025 B. J. MATZ 601 Main S Klamath F_{c} Telephone 0SB \neq : 700 82 $\gtrsim 5$ 1 around 20 acres. Applicant seeks a conditional use permit
2 to allow a non-farm dwelling on each of the partitioned
3 parcels of 21.84 acres and 55.31 acres.

3. Both of the partitioned parcels in the subject
property front on State Highway 62 (a paved, two
lane main arterial with state maintenance) and are
located approximately two miles northwest of State
Highway 97. The State Highway Division has recommended
the road approaches for the two parcels be combined
into one location for safety.

The total parcel is relatively flat with 4. 11 natural runoff drainage and is covered with brush plus 12 some trees and native grasses. It is totally undeveloped, 13 even from an agricultural standpoint and would not be an 14 economical farming unit as evidenced by the testimony 15 of applicant's appraiser who stated a person might be 16 able to run a cow and calf on the whole parcel for only 17 two months a year. He stated this would provide 18 approximately \$118.00 income and the current yearly 19 taxes alone on the parcel are \$358.66. 20

B. J. MATZEN, Attorney at 601 Main Street, Suite 21 Klamath Falls, 0R 97601 Telephone: (503) 882-850 058 #: 70091 058 #: 70091

This is consistent with the findings of the Klamath County Planning Commission in ZC No.583 where they rezoned the 118 acres immediately to the south of the subject property to permit one acre lots (now R-1) and found in so doing " . . there would be approximately a minus \$545.89 when [the 118 acres was] being used for cattle management". 5. The Planning Commission in ZC 5-83 further found the parcel therein " . . . was in an area where lots were FINDINGS OF FROM CONCUMPTION.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - PAGE THREE

for residential use and not an agricultural area". 1 surrounding neighborhood is approximately 40% built-up 2 23544 with improved residential development and trends in the 3 The area are toward residential uses. 4 6. The parcel is within the Chiloquin-Agency Lake 5 Fire District and the Chiloquin School District with local 6 schools and medical facilities being located within ten 7 minutes of the site. Electricity is available at the 8 site from Pacific Popwer and Light Company and telephone 0 from Pacific Northwest Bell Telephone, although neither 10 are installed at the present time. Water would be 11 provided by a private domestic well and sewer by a private 12 septic system which would require approval of the Klamath 13 County Health Department prior to installation. Soils on 14 the parcel are class IV and the timber site productivity 15 rating is not applicable. 16 7. A survey taken in January 1986 shows sales of 17 parcels in the local or competing neighborhoods ranging in 18 size from 5.4 acres to 25 acres and having topography 19 and access similar to the parcel in this case which 20 took place between August of 1984 and November 1985. 21 establishes a need exists for parcels of the size re-22 quested which permit the use requested. This 23 8. Section 44.003 of the Klamath County Develop-24 ment Code requires and the Board finds the proposed conditional uses satisfy the criteria of section 44.003 and all other criteria and standards of the Code and other Kla 0SB 52 applicable county codes and ordinances. This finding FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - PAGE FOUR

Attorn, Suit , 40 30 30 e t 20 20 ee S;], σ 001 Main Tamath Tephone: #: 7005 S

28

аw

G

 $\tilde{\infty}$

882. 5

do en ey 60

4

5 в. 601

includes, but is not limited to, the following:]

That the uses are conditionally permitted in Α. 2 the zone in which they are proposed to be located. 3

в. That the location, size, design, and operating 4 characteristics of the proposed uses are in conformance with 5 the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan. In this regard the 6 Board finds: 7

(1) Goal 1 (Citizen Involvement) has been 8 met as notice has been given to adjacent property owners and 9 affected public agencies by mailing of notice and publica-10 tion in the Hearld and News. A public hearing has been 11 called, held and a decision rendered. The Board 12 specifically finds that ample opportunity for public input 13 and consideration of this application has been afforded 14 all potentially interested parties. 15

(2) Goal 2 (Land Use Planning) has been met. 16 Public hearings have been held and policies and procedures 17 of the Klamath County Land Development Code have been 18 applied as set forth hereinabove. 19

(3) Goal 3 (Agricultural Lands) has been 20 met for although the site has class IV soils it is not an 21 economic agricultural farming unit, is undeveloped for 22 agricultural purposes and is surrounded by land already 23 in residential use or zoned to permit it. Also, the 24 8 25 parcels will remain in the agriculture EFU-CG zone and subject to its restrictions. 26

(4) The following goals either do not <u>م</u> 27 directly apply or are not applicable to this request: 28 FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - PAGE FIVE

Law ي 8 882. o o ŝ 0 10 . ephone #: 70 t t ama Klai Telt

ωŪ

Goal 4 (Forest Lands); Goal 8 (Recreational Needs); Goal 9 23546 ٦ (Economy of the State); Goal 13 (Energy Conservation); and 2 Goal 14 (Urbanization). 3 (5) Goal 5 (Open Spaces, Scenic, Historic 4 and Natural Resource Areas) has been met by limiting the 5 density of development to two dewelling units on 77 acres, 6 a level significantly less than allowed in adjoining zones. 7 (6) Goal 6 (Air, Water and Land Resource 8 Quality) has been met because septic tank systems installed 9 on the subject parcels will be subject to County Health 10 Department approval and the density level authorized is 11 much lower than on surrounding land so that the possibility 12 of pollution is greatly lessened. 13 (7) Goal 7 (Natural Disaster and Hazard 14 Areas) has been met. The site is within the Rural Fire 15 Protection District, has only a 0% to 2% slope with 16 adequate drainage and is not located in a flood hazard 17 area. 18 (8) Goal 10 (Housing) has been met for non-19 farm dwellings. Approving these two permits will promote a 20 housing type for which a need has been shown. 21 (9) Goal 11 (Public Facilities and 22 Services) has been met in that no additional utilities, 23 services or extensions are required for this application 24 nor will approval of this application significantly affect adjoining development or the need or demand for additional facilities or services.

(10) Goal 12 (Transportation) has been met. FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - PAGE SIX

850 50 in σ 0 25 lamath Fa elephone: SB #: 700 .. 26 Kle Tel OSB 52

B

Highway 62 is adequate in size and shape to facilitate the 1 uses normally associated with two dwelling units and 2 combining road approaches into one access will 3 23547 optimize safety needs. 4 5 C. That the location, size, design and operating characteristics of the proposed developments 6 will be compatible with and will not have significant 7 adverse effects on the appropriate development and use of 8 abutting properties and the surrounding neighborhood. Con-9 sideration has been given to harmony in scale, bulk, 10 coverage, and density; to the availability of civic 11 facilities and utilities; to harmful effects, if any, 12 upon desirable neighborhood characteristics and liability; 13 to the generation of traffic and the capacity of surround-].4 ing streets; and to any other relevant impact of the 15 developments. 16 17 the Land Development Code requires and the Board finds In like manner Section 51.018 D of 18 that each dwelling applied for: Law 19 ဖ at 21(20 8501 defined in that Code and consistent with the agricultural Attorney '_R ^{Suite} : 882-_{Rr} 21 land use policy adopted by the legislative assembly in 22 ORS 215.243, et, 23 503) Falls Ū 24 ت ش with accepted farming practices on adjacent lands : e 600 25 does not interfere seriously B. J. MAT 601 J. MAT Klamath F 761 ephone 058 #: 70 8 2 3 9 devoted to farm use, stability of the overall land use pattern of the area. 28 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - PAGE SEVEN

1 unsuitable land for the production of farm crops З D. is situated upon generally and livestock, considering the terrain, adverse soil 23548 3 or land conditions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, 4 location and size of the tract, and 5 6 as the Board considers necessary. E. complies with such other conditions 7 8 9 1. The proposed uses are conditionally permitted in 10 the zone in which they are proposed to be located. 11 12 2. The location, size, design, and operating characteristics of the proposed uses are in conformance with 13 the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan. 14 15 3. The location, size, design and operating 16 17 || compatible with and will not have significant 18 adverse effects on the appropriate development and use 19 of abutting properties and the surrounding neighborhood. ā ŝ 4. The proposed uses are supported by specific studies and other factual information which document 2] the public need for the uses. 22 li 503 23 5. Each proposed non-farm dwelling use is U compatible with farm use as defined in the Klamath 24 Fall 600 County Land Development Code and consistent with the ²phone: ₹: 7009 5 agricultural land use policy adopted by the Ma .. 26 ama Klama Telep OSB # legislative assembly in ORS 215.243. в. 601 6. Each proposed non-farm dwelling use 28 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - PAGE EIGHT

l does not interfere seriously with accepted farming practices on adjacent lands devoted to farm use. 3 7. Each proposed non-farm dwelling use does not materially alter the stability of the overall land use 4 pattern of the area. 5 6 Each proposed non-farm dwelling use is 8. situated upon generally unsuitable land for the 7 production of farm crops and livestock, considering 8 the terrain, adverse soil or land conditions, 9 drainage and flooding, vegetation, location and size 10 of the tract. 11 9. Each proposed non-farm dwelling use complies 12 with the condition imposed herein that the road 13 approaches for the two parcels have one access point 14 onto Highway 62. 15 16 DECISION Based upon the above-made Findings of Fact and 17 Conclusions of Law and subject to the condition the 18 road approaches for the two parcels have a common access 19 location onto Highway 62, it is ordered this request for 20 Conditional Use Permits on the subject parcels herein 21 described is hereby granted. 22 DATED this 17th day of December 1986. 23 24 :0 25 KLAMATH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ephor #: 7 .. 26 Les 27 Mairman of بة م 28 the FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - PAGE NINE

23549

а¥

ŝ

ama

