1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

> 14 15

> > 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER

KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

In the Matter of Violation 53-86) Paul B. McConnell, Jr.

Klamath County Planning Findings of Fact and Order

A hearing was held on this matter on January 8, 1987, pursuant to notice given in conformity with Ordinance No. 45.2, Klamath County, before the Klamath County Hearings Officer, Bradford J. Aspell. The respondent present. The Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl. The Hearings Reporter was Janet Libercajt.

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Department and on behalf of the respondent. There were adjacent property owners present.

The following exhibits were offered, received, and made a part of the record:

Klamath County Exhibit A, Staff Report

Klamath County Exhibit B, Assessor's Map

Klamath County Exhibit C, Initial Contact Letter (8-19-86)

Klamath County Exhibit D, Initial Contact Letter (11-12-86)

Klamath County Exhibit E, Letter to McConnell (11-13-86)

Klamath County Exhibit F, Warning No. 1

Klamath County Exhibit G, Warning No. 2

Klamath County Exhibit H, Citation

Klamath County Exhibit I, Pictures

Klamath County Exhibit J, Comments from Environmental Health

Klamath County Exhibit K, Planning Department Copy, Goldenrod

Klamath County Exhibit L, Building Department Copy, Pink (11-13-86)

Klamath County Exhibit M, Application Package
Klamath County Exhibit N, Notification from Commissioners
The hearing was then closed, and based upon the evidence
submitted at the hearing, the hearings officer made the following
findings of fact.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent was duly served with a Uniform Citation of Violation (Exhibit H) on or about the 12th day of December, 1986, alleging that respondent was "maintaining residence in a mobile home without a placement permit" in violation of Klamath County Land Development Code Section 51.003(B)(2).
- 2. The hearings officer finds that under Land Development Section 84.005(A), that a mobile home shall be subject to a site plan, specifically those conditions set forth as the 15 specified requirements under LDC Section 41.005. Under a mobile storage permit, a mobile home shall not be inhabited or connected to any utility service. The hearings officer further finds the term "inhabited" is not defined in the Code. The question thus becomes has the mobile home or its accessory structures been "inhabited" contrary to terms of this Code.
- 3. Respondent is the owner of real property known as Lot 8 Lawanda Hills Subdivision, Klamath County, Oregon, bearing tax account number 3908-23B-1600, which property is located at the southerly end of Grace Drive and is shown on Klamath County Exhibit C. The property is irregular in shape, designated rural under the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan, under a zone

VIOLATION 53-86/MCCONNELL PAGE 2

designation of R-5 (Rural-5 acre minimum) comprises approximately 25.68 acres. The property abuts a number of lots to the south (more than 13), which front on Greensprings Highway. Topography is moderately sloped from the south to the north, with general drainage being surface drainage in the well defined natural swells. Vegetation consists of grass, brush and scattered pines. The soil is classified SCS VI with timbersite productivity rating of Class VII. Unique physical characteristics of surrounding land, include large lot rural residential homesite to the north, east and west with small lot to the south. The property abuts against R-1 zoning immediately to the south.

- 4. In its present condition, the property is generally undeveloped. Water would be available from wells (there are none on the property), sewer from subsurface sewage disposal pursuant to DEQ permit (one has not been obtained), electricity would be available from Pacific Power & Light Company (however no electrical service has been applied for or approved on the subject site). The property lies within the attendance area of the Klamath County School District and is served by Keno Rural Fire Protection District.
- 5. Uncontroverted testimony of the applicant indicates that the applicant purchased a mobile home with expando unit and moved it on to the property in August of 1986, pursuant to a mobile home storage permit. This permit expired on November 11, 1986, at which time a second permit was applied for, (see Klamath County Exhibits K, L and M). Applicant testified that he has not lived

VIOLATION 53-86/MCCONNELL PAGE 3

- 1. Respondent shall not live in, sleep overnight in, or otherwise use the mobile home and appurtenant structures thereon in any manner consistent with residential use or occupancy including camping therein under the terms of any storage permit in effect.
  - 2. That applicant may apply for, but no further storage permit shall be issued beyond April 15, 1987, unless a mobile home placement permit has been applied for on or before that date, or good cause shown to the hearings officer why additional time is needed.

VIOLATION 53-86/MCCONNELL PAGE 4

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- That the mobile home shall not be permitted to remain on the subject property except under the terms of the storage permit 1 above described or during the term that a placement permit has 2 been applied for. 3
- That applicant shall be required to have final sign off of the placement permit not later than September 15, 1987, and on failure thereof shall be required to seek relief from the hearings officer or move the mobile home from the subject real property.
- That during the period the mobile home is under storage 8 permit, or placement permit (which is yet to be approved and final 9 sign off issued), applicant shall not reside, live in, inhabit or 10 otherwise use the mobile home as a dwelling and shall not sleep in camp in or otherwise inhabit the same.
  - That in violation thereof, the Planning Director is directed and empowered to seek enforcement orders, civil relief and penalties, pursuant to Section 14.012 and Section 14.013 of this Land Development Code.

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact the hearings officer issues the following Conclusions of Law. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. That respondent be and are hereby found to be in violation of the provision of the Land Development Code, Section
- The respondent is ordered to discontinue the use of the subject real property as above described.

VIOLATION 53-86/MCCONNELL PAGE 5

5

6

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH: o'clock P M., and duly recorded in Vol. Filed for record at request of February A.D., 19 87 12:47 at on Page Deeds County Clerk of. Evelyn Biehn, NO FEE Return: Commissioners' Journal FEE