BEFORE THE KLAMATH COUNTY BOARD OF 77826 COMMISSIONERS KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON VOL 197 Page 14113 1 2 IN THE MATTER OF ACTION TAKEN BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS TO 3 COMPLY WITH CIVIL CASE NO. 82-6259KF-PA, AMENDED JUDGEMENT U.S. DISTRICT COURT, REPLAN AND) REZONE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ORDER 5 6 ||I. NATURE OF APPLICATION 7 A public hearing was held on this matter on July 14, 1987, pursuant to notice given in conformity with Ordinances No. 44 and 8 9 45, the Comprehensive Plan for Klamath County. This hearing was 10 held before the Board of Commissioners and Planning Commission. 20 9 11 The nature of the action was in response to the above titled 12 Federal case (see Exhibit "A"). Exhibit "B" is a map of the area. The Board of Commissioners in attendance were: Chairman, 15 James Rogers, Roger Hamilton, and Ted Lindow. The Planning 16 commission members present were: James Liston, Steve Sherman, 17 Paul Zech, John Monfore, and John Kite. The Planning Department 18 was represented by Roy Huberd, Director. County Counsel, Mike 19 Spencer, was also present. The recording secretary was Karen Burg 20 Testimony in favor was presented by Mr. John Schoonover. There 22 III. LEGAL DESCRIPTION The property is located in Sections 9, 10, and 19, Township 24 25S, Range 8EWM, in the northern portion of Klamath County. 26 Unnumbered: NW1/4 of NE1/4, NE1/4 of NE1/4 of NW1/4, NE1/2 27 28 111

c~-

155 10

of NW1/4 of NE1/4 of NW1/4, E1/2 of SE1/4 of NE1/4 of NW1/4, 14114 1 2 N1/2 of SW1/4 of NE1/4, SE1/4 of SW1/4 of NE1/4, Section 19, 3 T25S, R8E, W.M. 4 No. 1-77: SW1/4 of SW1/4, NW1/4 of SW1/4, SW1/4 of NW1/4, 5 W1/2 of NW1/4 of NW1/4, SW1/4 of SE1/4 of NW1/4, Section 19, 6 T25S, R8E, W.M. 7 No. 2-72: SW1/4 of SW1/4, Section 10, T25S, R8E, W.M. 8 No. 3-72: NE1/4 of SW1/4, Section 10, T25S, R&E, W.M. 9 No. 5-72: NW1/4 of SW1/4, Section 10, T25S, R8E, W.M. 10 No. 6-72: N1/2 of NW1/4, Section 9, T25S, R8E, W.M. 11 No. 11-72: W1/2 of NE1/4 of NW1/4, and E1/2 of NW1/4 of NE1/4, 12 Section 9, T25S, R8E, W.M. 13 No. 12-72: El/2 of NE1/4, NW1/4, W1/2 of NW1/4, NE1/4, 14 Section 9, T25S, R8E, W.M. 15 No. 13-72: NE1/4, NE1/4, Section 9, T25S, R8E, W.M. 16 IV. RELEVANT FACTS 17 These properties (before this action) were planned and 18 zoned Forestry. 19 The non-resource lands document(part of the Comprehensive 20 Plan, Ord. 44) states, on page 3, "only legally created and 21 recognized lots or parcels have been included in this inventory." 22 23 The lots and parcel maps have been signed by the Chairman of 24 the Board of Commissioners. The total number of lots or parcels 25 created are 32 five-acre lots in Section 9, 24 five-acre lots in 26 Section 10, and 48 five-acre lots in Section 19. This being 27 done, due to the decision of Judge Panner, in the above refer-28 enced case. Page 2

Since these are now legal lots or parcels, they can now 1 be planned and zoned for the proper use, residential. 2 3 The topography is generally flat to rolling, with standing timber. 4 5 The timber site class for the property in Section 9 is VI. The timber site class for the property in Section 10 is VI. 6 The timber site class for the property in Section 19 is VI. 7 The total acreage is 420, including property in all three 8 sections. Section 9 totals 160 acres, Section 10 totals 120 9 acres and Section 19 totals 140 acres. 10 11 There are a few structures and mobile homes on this 12 property. 13 Roads exist on the ground in each section, however the Board of Commissioners do not guarantee legal access to any of these 14 15 16 State Highway 58 runs between the unnumbered and the 1-77 17 areas in Section 19. 18 The property in Sections 9 and 10 are generally served by U.S. Forest Service roads off of State Highways 58 and 97. 19 20 Each lot or parcel will be served by individual wells. 21 Each lot or parcel will be served by subsurface sewage 22 systems (when approved by the County Health Department). 23 Section 19 is located in the Chemult Rural Fire District. 24 Both Sections 9 and 10 are outside of a rural fire district. 25 The subject property is located within the Midstate Electric 26 service boundary. 27 The subject property is located within the Gilchrist School 28 District.

Exhibits presented by the Klamath County Planning Department

Page 3

staff included: 1 2 Α. Agenda 14116 3 Amended judgement for Civil Case No. 8206259KF-PA в. 4 Planning Commission hearing minutes, pages 22 and 23, с. 5 6 Document entitled "Order," Board of County Commissioners, D. 7 Klamath County, Oregon, dated and signed July 21, 1982, 8 County Commissioners. 9 v. RELEVANT APPROVAL CRITERIA As discussed under Section IV, once the property had legal 10 status it was assumed that the logical plan and zone designation 11 should be Rural Residential (R-5). 12 13 If these lots would have been legal when the Plan was draft-14 d, the County would have presented the LCDC with Rural Plan and 15 zone designations. 16 It was also assumed, even though not specifically or clearly 17 spelled out in the amended judgement of March 24, 1987, that this was the appropriate action for Klamath County. 19 VI. FINDINGS 20 All testimony and exhibits and discussion presented, and 21 the amended judgement, Civil Case No. 82-6259KF-PA of March 24, **22 ||**1987. 23 VII. CONCLUSIONS 24 That the County Planning Commission and Board of Commissioners 25 | had no choice but to comply with the above mentioned judgement. 26 27 Therefore, it is hereby ordered that the subject property as.described under Section III be planned as a Rural (R) designa-28

x ... 4. tion and zoned Rural-5 (R-5), subject to the following condition: A. That the Board of County Commissioners do not guarantee legal access to any of the lots or parcels. l access to any of the Local Dated this 29th BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS Cha Com nert Commi Approved as to form and content: Michael Spencer County Legal Counsel Page 5



14119

with the conditions set forth in the Klamath County Board of Commissioner's minutes from the meeting July 7, 1981.

Defendants shall approve the nine parcels of land in 4. accordance with the Klamath County Board of Commissioner's order dated July 21, 1982, subject only to proof of compliance as required in paragraph 3.

The Klamath County Board of Commissioner's order of 5. July 21, 1982 (Trial Exh. #1) concerning nine land parcels of John Schoonover was a valid order. The Klamath County Board of Commissioner's memorandum of July 30, 1982 (Trial Exh. #2) did not rescind or suspend any part of the Commissioner's order of July 21, 1982. The failure of the defendants to implement the July 21, 1982 order denied plaintiff due process of law.

Defendants shall pay to plaintiff \$45,000.00, plus 5.77% 6. interest from November 25, 1986.

Each party is released from any claims by the other of 7. any nature, except to the extent that the court has retained jurisdiction of this action.

Each party shall bear their own attorney's fees and 8. costs.

The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter, and 9. will impose attorney's fees and costs, if either party unreasonably fails to comply with the terms of this Judgment.

DATED this 74 day of March, 1987.

Owen M. Panner United States District Judge

AMENDED JUDGMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26





