80477 BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF APPEAL OF VARIANCE 12-87) BY NED PUTNAM

NATURE OF REQUEST I.

1

2

3

4

9

11

17

20

24

5

00 Ξ

<u>L</u>

1 130 18,

ORDER NO. Vol.M8r Page 1

RECE

E POZ

UC1

COMMIN SI

A public hearing was held on this application on September 22, 1987, and continued to September 30, 1987, before the Klamath 5 County Board of Commissioners. 6

7 The appeal was in reference to Pages 14, 15, and 16 pertain-8 ing to landscaping, of Hearings Officer order dated August 12, 1987, Variance 12-87.

II. NAMES OF THOSE INVOLVED 10

The applicant, Ned Putnam, and his representative, Forrest 12 Cooper, were present and testified. The Planning Department was 13 represented by Carl Shuck, Interim Planning Director. Karen Burg was the recording secretary. Michael Spencer, legal counsel, was 14 15 also present. Those in opposition were Sam Redkey, Ray Kolb, and 16 Laverne Smith.

Members of the Board of Commissioners who sat at this hear-18 ing were, Jim Rogers and Ted Lindow.

19 III. LEGAL DESCRIPTION

The property is located in a portion of Section 2, Township 21 39, Rnage 9, Tax Lot 300, being Lots 1, 2, and a portion of 3 of 22 Bailey Tracts.

23 IV. FINDINGS

In reviewing evidence and testimony by the applicant, appli-25 cant's representative, Forrest Cooper, Planning staff, and those 26 In opposition, the Board of Commissioners remanded this request 27 back to the Hearings Officer, William Ganong, to address the 28 following issues:

18669

1. The Hearings Officer is to address the landscaping for
 2 the 22 foot wide area, where landscaping is to be, and how much
 3 of that area is to be landscaped (area between Homedale and pro 4 posed building).

5 2. The Hearings Officer is to address the issue of parking
6 trailers and storing pallets in the 22 foot wide area (area between
7 Homedale and proposed building).

8 3. The Hearings Officer is to address the height and type of
9 fencing and whether the fencing is to be concrete retaining wall
10 or some other type of fencing.

11 4. The Hearings Officer is to be specific and clear about
12 those areas of concern in his new order, and once finalized, the
13 Planning Director will review applicant's landscaping plan to
14 make sure it meets the Hearings Officer's new order.

15 V. ORDER

22

23

28

Page 2

16 Therefore, the Board of Commissioners remands this appeal
17 by the applicant, in specific, Pages 14, 15, and 16 of the Hearings
18 Officer order, back to the Hearings Officer to be more specific
19 and to address those issues the Board of Commissioners had concern
20 with, as spelled out in Section IV of this order.
21 Dated this H Day of Mathematical Mathematical Action 1987.

Jim Rogers,

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Chairman of the Board

24 Approved as to Form and content 25 26 Spencei Michael 27 County Legal Counsel

Appeal of Variance 12-87

Ted Lindow, Commissioner

Hamilton. Commissioner Rogez

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH: ss.

TH	- meaning the manuact	A			the	15th	day
	r record at request October		at 8:49		., and duly recorde	d in VolM87	,
······································		of	Deeds	on Page	18668	. 1 .	
				Evelyn	Bienn, County	Clerk mill	
FEE	NONE	missioners'	Toursol	Ву		STAINAS	
	Return: Con	missioners	JOULHAL				