i I		4
	1	82967 BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
	2	Klamath County, Oregon
	3 4	IN THE MATTER OF VARIANCE) 8-87 FOR EDWARD J. SHIPSEY,)
Т.	5	I. <u>NATURE OF APPLICATION</u>
PH 3 38	6	This is a request for a variance for cul-de-sac length of
	7	approximately 1,400 feet, as shown on the preliminary plat of
	8	Subdivision 1234, over the standard of 1,000 feet set by Klamath
	9	Development Code Section 71.014, said variance being processed pursuant
	10	to the provisions of Article 43.003.
*87 DEC 29	11	A hearing was held in the matter before the Planning Commission on
	12	October 27, 1987, pursuant to notice thereof given in conformity with
	13	Ordinance Nos. 44 and 45. The matter came before the Board of
	14	Commissioners pursuant to the appeal of Edward J. Shipsey of the
	15	Planning Commission's denial of the request, and was heard on November
	16	24, 1987, pursuant to notice given in conformity with Ordinance Nos. 44
	17	and 45.
	18	The Planning Commission Order erroneously included as the basis
	19	for its denial subdivisions A and B of Article 43.003. The Motion for
	20	the Order, and discussion on the record, make it clear that the basis
	21	for that denial was based solely on sub-paragraph C of said Article.
	22	II. <u>NAMES OF THOSE INVOLVED</u>
	23	The applicant, Edward [®] J. Shipsey, through Steven A. Zamsky, his
	24	attorney, was present at the hearing and presented argument before this
	25	Board. Bill Hunt testified opposing the application and appeal on
	26	
		ORDER Page -l-

behalf of the Oregon Department of Forestry. The Planning Department 1 was present represented by Senior Planner, Kim Lundahl, as well as Acting Director, Carl Shuck. Administrative Secretary, Karen Burg, was 2 3 the recording secretary. Michael Spencer, Legal Counsel, was also 4 present.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION III.

6 The property is located approximately one-half mile southwest of 7 the Keno-Worden Road and approximately 3.5 miles south of Keno. The 8 legal description is: the NE 1/4 SW 1/4 of Section 20, Township 40 9 South Range 8EWM, Tax Lot 7400, and is the preliminary tract designated 10 as Tract No. 1234, known as Deer Knoll.

RELEVANT FACTS IV.

Before the Board of Commissioners was the record of the testimony 13 presented at the hearing before the Planning Commission on October 27, 14 1987, the form of the transcript and Exhibits "A - L". 15

The property is 20 acres in size and rectangular. The topography 16 is generally flat. The vegetation is sagebrush, scattered pine and 17 native grass. The area is not being used for agricultural purposes. 18 The SCS Soil Class is V. The Timber Site Productivity Rating is VI. 19 The surrounding lands in the immediate area are subdivided into lots 20 ranging in size from 1.5 to 20 acres as the property is located in and 21 completely surrounded by Cedar Trails Subdivision. The property abuts 128 22 a county road, Overland Drive, on one corner. Other than that corner, 23 the property is landlocked.

The property is zoned and approved for one acre lots. The 25 property is within a loop of the road system in Cedar Trails 26

<u>.</u>

ORDER Page -2-

5

11

12

24

23111

Subdivision. The proposed cul-de-sac is necessary in order to develop
 lots at or near a one acre size and is necessary due to the shape of
 the lot and its location due to the existing roadways.

4 The establishment of the cul-de-sac would create a firebreak and 5 give access to the entire parcel from County Roads.

6 The Klamath County Public Works Department has no objection to the
7 cul-de-sac length variance. The agency with direct responsibility for
8 fire protection of dwellings is the Keno Rural Fire Protection District
9 which also has no objection to the variance.

10 The State Department of Forestry has as its primary obligation the 11 control of wildfires. They have expressed concern about the cul-de-12 sac length in terms of the ability of the people living at the end of 13 the cul-de-sac being able to escape in the event of fire. They have no 14 direct testimony that the difference between the 1,000 foot length 15 allowed by the code and the requested 1,400 length creates materially 16 different problems.

The tract maps submitted as a part of Exhibit "K" make it clear that cul-de-sac variances equal to or in excess of this variance have been granted in virtually all subdivisions west of Klamath Falls and in or near the Keno area and along Highway 66 toward Klamath Falls.

V. RELEVANT APPROVAL CRITERIA

This request is considered pursuant to the provisions of Section

 23
 ////

 24
 ////

 25
 ////

 26
 ////

17

18

19

20

21

22

ORDER Page -3-

23112

43.003, and in this case relevant criteria is subsection C which 1 2 provides:

> That the granting of the variance will not be detrimental С. to the public health, safety and welfare, or to the use and enjoyment of adjacent property and will not be contrary to the intent of this code.

VI. FINDINGS

The only portion of the criteria which is in question here is 1. that portion of sub-paragraph C which concerns public health, safety, and welfare.

Because of the location of the loop of existing roads 2. 10 surrounding this property, residents of the property would, in the event of a large fire, be able to escape from the property to the loop.

3. The establishment of this cul-de-sac would permit fire 13 suppression vehicles to access virtually all of the total parcel from a 14 public road, which would not be possible if the cul-de-sac were only 15 1,000 feet long. 16

The extension of the cul-de-sac length by 400 feet over the permitted 1,000 feet does not create any additional hazard to the public health, safety and welfare.

Strict standards of the cul-de-sac length have not been 5. enforced by the County but the County has consistently granted variances to that standard throughout this area.

6. Possible escape of fire from this property to other properties is not affected by this cul-de-sac. The possibility of such an escape would be reduced by this variance because of the additional access it provides and the fire break created by the road.

ORDER Page -4-

3

4

5

6

7

8

.9

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

52 23113 1 Applicant has satisfied the criteria in Section 43.003 for 2 approval of the variance. 3 4 Therefore, it is hereby ordered that the request for variance for 5 cul-de-sac length is approved. 6 7 Dated this ____ day of December, 1987. 8 9 KLAMATH COUNTY BOARD OF 10 COMMISSIONERS 11 12 13 14 15 APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT: 16 17 LEGAL COUNSE 18 19 217 BELCHER STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH: ss. Filed for record at request of of December 19 or ______ A.D., 19 <u>87</u> at <u>3:38</u> o'clock <u>P_M., and duly recorded in Vol.</u> ______ of <u>Deeds</u> of ____ FEE None evelyn Biefin By ______ County Clerk 29th 14 day M87 25 that 26 ORDER Page -5-