1.	
	85691 Vol. <u>M88</u> Page <u>4334</u>
	BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
	IN THE MATTER OF PROUPER HOR
4	AND ZONE CHANCE Z OR THEN )
6	
7	
8 9	Commission and Board of County Commissioners. The hearing was
10	hearing was held on March 1, 1988.
11	II. NAMES OF THOSE INVOLVED
12 13 14	represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planning Department was Secretary, Karen Burg, was the Recording Secretary. Michael L. Spencer, Legal Counsel was present.
15	Members of the Board of County Commissioners who sat at this hearing were: Roger Hamilton, Ted Lindow and Jim Rogers.
16 17	Members of the Planning Commission who sat at this hearing were: John Monfore, Ned Livingston, Paul Zech, Suzan Crismon, Fram Gorham, John Kite and Jim Liston.
18	Written data was submitted as follows:
19	Exhibit A - Klamath Country of com
20	Exhibit C - Exceptions and
21	Exhibit $F = D I C D I$
22	Exhibit H - LUBA Orden 62 616
23	Exhibit I - D.L.C.D. Letter $9-18-87$ Exhibit J - Schoonover Letter $9-22-87$
24	Exhibit K - Schoonover Letter 9-22-87 Exhibit L - Schoonover Letter 2-15-88
25	
26	ORDERPAGE ONE
27	

Si C 

05

**ខក្នុ** 

v C 1711 89.

III. LEGAL DESCRIPTION 4335 2 Tract 1214, as recorded in the records of Klamath County, Oregon being 80 acres M/L in Section 5, Township 25S, Range 8E, 3 4 IV. RELEVANT FACTS 5 The property has a forestry plan designation with an implementing zone of F (Forestry). 6 The property is 80 acres in area and rectangular in 7 configuration. 8 The S.C.S. soil capability rating is Class VI. 9 The timber site productivity rating is Class V. 10 Notice of this section and "Exceptions Statement" pursuant to OAR 660, Division 4 was forwarded to the Department of Land 11 Conservation and Development on August 7, 1987. 12 Responses dated 8-26-87 and 9-18-87 were received and forwarded. 13 RECITALS: 14 This matter comes before this Board upon the application and request of the applicant to rezone tract 1214 to (1)15 the original zoning of R5 and land use plan of rural 5 acres as approved by the Planning Commission and the Board of County 16 17 (2) Tract 1214 is located adjacent to the Little Deschutes River, four miles north of Highway 58 in a portion of Section 5, 18 Township 25S, Range 8E. It is currently zoned Forestry (F) and is designated as Forestry in the Klamath County Land Use Plan. 19 20 Previous action of this property included approval of the preliminary map and approval of all variances required. 21 There was no opposition offered by surrounding property owners or any affected departments. 22 111 111 111 ORDER ... PAGE TWO

23

24

25

26

## NOW, THEREFORE,

The Board of County Commissioners of Klamath County finds, concluded and recommends as follows:

Findings. Section 1.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

The Klamath County Board of Commissioners hereby 1.1 incorporates the staff report, the past record by reference, and adopts the statments therein as its own findings of fact.

The Commissioners find that the original zone 1.2 designation of R5 was down zoned to Forestry (F) by direction of LCDC over the objections of the County and the applicant as a prerequisite condition of approval by LCDC of the County Comprehensive Plan.

The Commissioners find that an exception to Goal 4 1.3 should not now be required as the exceptions had previously been 10 submitted and accepted, the subdivision completed in all aspects and approved, however, in order to complete the record we add 11 the exceptions as they currently exist. The Commissioners find that the land is irrevocably committed to a subdivision use. 12 The Commissioners find that the improvements to said property, at a cost of \$180,000.00, were made in compliance with all 13 Klamath County Land Use laws as the land was properly zoned for subdivision at the time of the filing of the preliminary plat. 14 The Commissioners further find that the subdivision at the time Improvements to the of development was legal in all respects. property include roads, culverts, and drainage to County 15 The characteristics of the land described in the Staff Report, were characteristics which existed in 1981 and 16 1982, at the time of the development of the property and, thus 17 were not in violation of the state wide planning Goal 4.

18 The Commissioners find that the characteristics of this subdivision, the characteristics of the adjacent land, and 19 thee relationship between the subdivision and the lands adjacent to it clearly show that the area in question is a rural 20 residential recreational area and not one for which the forestry designation and zoning is appropriate. 21

The Commissioners find that forest uses are impractical and has considered the seven forest use designations 1.5 22 set forth in Goal 4. Specifically, the County finds that there is no commercially usable timber on the Tract itself nor will 23 the Tract itself interfere with the processing of forest 24

111 25

26 ORDER...PAGE THREE

4337 products by interfering with any other commercial forest 1 The Commissioners find that Tract 1214 is not 2 appropriate for use as an open space, a buffer from noise, or visual separation of conflicting uses because of the 3 development in th e area which has occurred. find that this is not appropriately designated as a watershed protection for wildlife and fisheries habitat and that the Fish 4 and Wildlife Commission and the Forest Service have both approved the use of this area as a subdivision. 5 Commissioners find that soil protection from wind would be better served by the use of this area as a subdivision because 6 of the nature of the soil and because, in its previous natural 7 state, the area did not lend itself to maintain soil protection. The Commissioners find that the area, in its undeveloped state 8 did not attribute to clean air and water and this is not an outdoor recreational activities and related support services and 9 wilderness values were not enhanced by this land, in its undeveloped state and, in fact, these activities will be 10 enhanced by the further development of this area as a rural 11 The Commissioners find that the land had no value as a grazingg area for livestock and would be inappropriate to designate a forest use for this purpose. 12 summary, the Commissioners find that this land could not be put to use under the 7 areas defined under Goal 4 in its undeveloped 13 state and that the current level of development and future development are appropriate. 14 15 The comprehensive plan change will convert the 1.6 property to rural residential in accordance with Section 51.003 of the comprehensive plan, the property is outside of an urban 16 area, or an urbanizable area in accordance with the zoning maps and Atlas of the comprehensive plan, therefore the Commissioners 17 18 The requested zone change complies with Section 48.003 1.7 and Section 97.001 (2) and all other relevant policies of the 19 Klamath County Comprehensive Plan. The zone change will increase the availability of recreational land and opportunities 20 21 Section 2. Decision. 22 2.1 Based upon the record of the public hearing, the Staff Report, and the record as a whole, the Klamath County Board of 23 Commissioners conclude that the circumstances presented are /// ORDER...PAGE FOUR

24 25

26

4338 exceptional, that the change in the Comprehensive Plan from Forestry to Rural should be granted and that further zoning from 1 2 Forestry (F) to Rural, 5 acre (R-5) should also be granted. DATED this 23 3 day of 1988. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 5 6 Out of Office Today 7 ROGER HAMILTON, CHAIRMAN 8 9 TED LINDOW, COMMISSIONER 10 11 ES 12 JIM ROGERS, COMMISSIONER 13 APPROVED AS TO GORM: 14 15 SPER COUNTY COUNSEL 16 17 18 19 20 STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH: SS. Filed for record at request of \_\_\_\_\_Klamath County Planning Department of \_\_\_\_\_March A.D., 19 88 at 3:30 o'clock P. M., and duly recorded in Vol. M88 \_ the \_ day Deeds of \_\_\_\_ \_ on Page \_ 4334 Evelyn Biehn, County Clerk FEE NONE By 🛓 m Return: Commissioners' Journal 2

26 ORDER...PAGE FIVE