# 15865

### BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

ORDER

Vol.<u>mgð</u> Page **11030** 

IN THE MATTER OF CUP 24-90 AND MNP 14-90 FOR G. & M. SPULLER TO ESTABLISH THREE RESIDENCES NOT IN CONJUNCTION WITH FARM USE

## 1. NATURE OF THE REQUEST:

The applicant wishes to establish three residences <u>not</u> in conjunction with farm use on 60 acres in the Agency Lake Area.

Also considered was the request to partition the property into three parcels

of 20 acres each.

This request was heard by the Hearings Officer June 1, 1990 pursuant to Ordinances 44 and 45. The request was reviewed for conformity with Land Development Code Sections 51.018 D and E 1 c. and with O.R.S. 215.243.

# 2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED:

The Hearings Officer in review of this application was Neil D. Smith.

The applicant appeared and offered testimony in support of the application The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner. The recording secretary was Karen Burg.

3. LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

The property under consideration is located within Gov't lots 18, 19, & 24,

Section 6, T 35S R 7E W.M..

### 4. RELEVANT FACTS:

The property is within the Agriculture plan designation and has an implementing zone of EFU-CG. The property is 60 acres in size and is <u>not</u> under farm tax deferral. Land use and lot sizes to the west are similar to that and smaller than that proposed by this application. Residential land use and similar lot sizes are also found to the north of this project. To the east are vacant properties of 10 acres m/l held for eventual residential

-1-

8

use. To the south is a 65 acre property devoted to the production of grass hay last year. Fire protection is provided by the Chiloquin/Agency Lake Rural Fire District (approximately 1 to 1 1/2 miles away with a response time of 5 to 10 minutes).

#### 5. FINDINGS:

All evidence submitted as the staff report, exhibits b-g, and offered testimony show that the approval criteria as set out in Code section 51.017 D and E 1 c has been satisfied. The Hearings Officer finds this application; 1. Is compatible with farm use because:

The analysis of surrounding properties and their use indicates the size of the proposed parcel and the proposed use as large lot rural/residential are compatible with the adjacent land uses as the existing residential density of the area will not be increased. The applicant has demonstrated the predominant use of the area has evolved into large-lot residential and is no longer considered a commercial agricultural area.

2. Does not interfere seriously with accepted farming practices on adjacent lands devoted to farm use because:

A 160 acre property to the north is the only nearby parcel found to be devoted to farm use. The Construction of non-farm residences will not interfere with the on-going use as sufficient lot area is provided, 20 acres, to provide a buffer/setback from agricultural management practices.

The permit holder has proposed as a condition of this approval to file a restrictive covenant which will prohibit the permit holder and successors in interest from filing complaint concerning valid farming practices on adjacent lands. The Hearings Officer finds this will mitigate impact to the farm operation.

-2-

11032

3. Does not alter the stability of the overall land use pattern of the area because:

The overall land use of the area is found to be large lot rural residential and will not be compromised by the conversion of an existing farm residence to a non-farm use. The land use pattern of the area will not be modified and will be perpetuated by the addition of two more non-farm residences to the area as large lot rural residential is the established predominant use of the area. The zoning for this area is clearly erroneous.

4. Is situated upon generally unsuitable land for the production of farm crops and livestock, considering the terrain, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, location, and size of the tract because:

The existing parcel is 60 acres in size. The Hearings Officer finds this parcel size unsuitable for commercial agricultural use due to its small size, poor soils and micro-climate conditions.

Partitions creating parcels less than the 80 acre minimum lot size for non-farm uses are reviewed per the criteria set out in L.D.C. section 51.018 E 1 c.

The Hearings Officer finds this partition conforms to these criteria as set out below:

1. The parcels created for non-farm use will be 20 acres each in size and will be developed to residential and accessory building use. The land is <u>not</u> agricultural use.

2. Access to the parcel is via easement roads east from the Loop Rd. and west from the Crater Lake Hwy. These are maintained paved roads. Use of these roads will not interfere with farm practices.

-3-

11033

#### 6. ORDER:

Therefore, it is ordered the request of Glenn & Margaret Spuller for C.U.P. 24-90 and M.N.P. 14-90 is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall file a restrictive covenant with the County Clerk prohibiting the permit holder and their successors in interest from filing complaint concerning accepted resource management practices that may occur on nearby lands.

2. The Conditional Use Permit shall not be final nor shall a building permit for a non-farm dwelling be issued under this order until the applicant provides the Planning Department with evidence that the lot or parcel upon which the dwelling is proposed to be located has been disqualified for valuation at true cash value for farm use and that any additional tax penalty imposed by the County Assessor has been paid.

3. C.U.P. 24-90 will not be effective until M.N.P. 14-90 is filed in the of fice of the County Clerk.

4. M.N.P. 14-90 must comply with Code requirements, Oregon Revised Statutes and agency conditions prior to filing.

DATED this 5 4 day of June, 1990

Neil D. Smith, Hearings Officer

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

You are hereby notified that this application may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Commissioners by filing with the Klamath County Planning Department a Notice of Appeal as set out in Section 33.004 of the Klamath County Land Development Code, together with the fee required within ten days following the mailing date of this order.

### CUP 24-90/MNP 14-90 SPULLER STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH: ss.

Filed for record at request of	Klamath County	the 7th day
of June A.D., 19	90 at 2:29 o'clock PM.	and duly recorded in Vol. <u>M90</u>
of		11030
	Evelyn Biehn	County Clerk
FEE none	By _Q	ruline Mullindala

Return: Commissioners Journal