

BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER
KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF CUP 35-90 AND MJP 21-90 FOR
DAVID PITZER TO ESTABLISH THREE RESIDENCES
NOT IN CONJUNCTION WITH FARM USE

ORDER

1. NATURE OF THE REQUEST:

The applicant wishes to establish three residences not in conjunction with farm use on 6.32 acres in the Agency Lake Area.

Also considered was the request to partition the property into three parcels of 4.0, 1.1 and 1.0 acres.

This request was heard by the Hearings Officer July 27, 1990 pursuant to Ordinances 44 and 45. The request was reviewed for conformity with Land Development Code Sections 51.018 D and E 1 c. and with O.R.S. 215.243.

2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED:

The Hearings Officer in review of this application was Neil D. Smith.

The applicant appeared and offered testimony in support of the application. The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner. The recording secretary was Karen Burg.

3. LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

The property under consideration is located within Gov't lots 11 & 14, Section 18, T 35S R 7E W.M..

4. RELEVANT FACTS:

The property is within the Agriculture plan designation and has an implementing zone of EFU-CG. The property is 6.32 acres in size and is not under farm tax deferral. Land use and lot sizes to the west are similar to that and smaller than that proposed by this application. Residential land use and similar lot sizes are also found to the east of this project. To the north are rural properties of 10 acres m/l. Fire protection is provided by

'90 JUL 30 PM 3 42

the Chiloquin/Agency Lake Rural Fire District (approximately 1 to 1 1/2 miles away with a response time of 5 to 10 minutes).

5. FINDINGS:

All evidence submitted as the staff report, exhibits b-f, and offered testimony show that the approval criteria as set out in Code section 51.017 D and E 1 c has been satisfied. The Hearings Officer finds this application;

1. Is compatible with farm use because:

The analysis of surrounding properties and their use indicates the size of the proposed parcel and the proposed use as large lot rural/residential are compatible with the adjacent land uses as the existing residential density of the area will not be increased. The applicant has demonstrated the predominant use of the area has evolved into large-lot residential and is no longer considered a commercial agricultural area.

2. Does not interfere seriously with accepted farming practices on adjacent lands devoted to farm use because:

A 160 acre property 1/2 mile to the north is the only nearby parcel found to be devoted to farm use. The Construction of non-farm residences will not interfere with the on-going agricultural use.

The permit holder has proposed as a condition of this approval to file a restrictive covenant which will prohibit the permit holder and successors in interest from filing complaint concerning valid farming practices on adjacent lands. The Hearings Officer finds this will mitigate impact to the farm operation.

3. Does not alter the stability of the overall land use pattern of the area because:

The overall land use of the area is found to be large lot rural residential

and will not be compromised by the conversion.. The land use pattern of the area will not be modified and will be perpetuated by the addition of three more non-farm residences to the area as large lot rural residential is the established predominant use of the area. The zoning for this area is clearly erroneous.

4. Is situated upon generally unsuitable land for the production of farm crops and livestock, considering the terrain, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, location, and size of the tract because:

The existing parcel is 6.32 acres in size. The Hearings Officer finds this parcel size unsuitable for commercial agricultural use due to its small size, poor soils and micro-climate conditions.

Partitions creating parcels less than the 80 acre minimum lot size for non-farm uses are reviewed per the criteria set out in L.D.C. section 51.018 E 1 c.

The Hearings Officer finds this partition conforms to these criteria as set out below:

1. The parcels created for non-farm use will be 4.0, 1.0 and 1.10 acres each in size and will be developed to residential and accessory building use. The land is not in agricultural use.

2. Access to the parcel is via Modoc Point Rd.. This is a County-maintained paved road. Use of these roads will not interfere with farm practices.

6. ORDER:

Therefore, it is ordered the request of David Spitzer for C.U.P. 35-90 and M.J.P. 21-90 is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall file a restrictive covenant with the County Clerk


prohibiting the permit holder and their successors in interest from filing complaint concerning accepted resource management practices that may occur on nearby lands.

2. The Conditional Use Permit shall not be final nor shall a building permit for a non-farm dwelling be issued under this order until the applicant provides the Planning Department with evidence that the lot or parcel upon which the dwelling is proposed to be located has been disqualified for valuation at true cash value for farm use and that any additional tax penalty imposed by the County Assessor has been paid.

3. C.U.P. 35-90 will not be effective until MJP 21-90 is filed in the office of the County Clerk.

4. M.J.P. 21-90 must comply with Code requirements, Oregon Revised Statutes and agency conditions prior to filing.

DATED this 27th day of July, 1990


Neil D. Smith, Hearings Officer

NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

You are hereby notified that this application may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Commissioners by filing with the Klamath County Planning Department a Notice of Appeal as set out in Section 33.004 of the Klamath County Land Development Code, together with the fee required within ten days following the mailing date of this order.

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH: ss.

Filed for record at request of Klamath County the 30th day
of July A.D., 19 90 at 3:42 o'clock P M., and duly recorded in Vol. M90,
of Deeds on Page 15182.

Evelyn Biehn, County Clerk
By Dorene Mulendore

FEE none

Return: Commissioners Journal