BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF CUP 42-90 AND MNP 25-90 FOR F. & C. GREEK TO ESTABLISH A RESIDENCE NOT IN CONJUNCTION WITH FARM USE

ORDER

1. NATURE OF THE REQUEST:

The applicant wishes to establish a residence <u>not</u> in conjunction with farm use on 2.9 acres in the Keno Area.

Also considered was the request to partition the parent property into two parcels, one of 2.9 and another of 357 acres.

This request was heard by the Hearings Officer August 24, 1990 pursuant to Ordinances 44 and 45. The request was reviewed for conformity with Land Development Code Sections 51.018 D and E 1 c. and with O.R.S. 215.243.

2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED:

The Hearings Officer in review of this application was Neil D. Smith.

The applicant appeared and offered testimony in support of the application

The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner. The recording secretary was Karen Burg.

3. LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

The property under consideration is a large agricultural holding located within sections 16, 17, 20, and 21 T 40S R 8E W.M.

4. RELEVANT FACTS:

The property is within the Agriculture plan designation and has an implementing zone of EFU-CG. The parent property is 359 acres in size and is under farm tax deferral. Land use and lot sizes to the west are similar to that and smaller than that proposed by this application, being with the Klamath River Sportsmans Estates subdivision. Residential land use and similar, lot sizes are also found to the north and west of this project. To

the south is an agricultural property devoted to the production of grass hay last year. Fire protection is provided by the Keno Rural Fire District (approximately 3 miles away with a response time of 5 to 10 minutes). 5. FINDINGS:

All evidence submitted as the staff report, exhibits b-g, and offered testimony show that the approval criteria as set out in Code section 51.017 D and E 1 c has been satisfied. The Hearings Officer finds this application;

1. Is compatible with farm use because:

The analysis of surrounding properties and their use indicates the size of the proposed parcel and the proposed use as large lot rural/residential are compatible with the adjacent land uses as the existing residential density of the area will not be increased. The applicant has demonstrated the predominant use of the area to the west has evolved into large-lot residential and is not a commercial agricultural area.

Does not interfere seriously with accepted farming practices on adjacent lands devoted to farm use because:

The Construction of a non-farm residences will not interfere with the on-going use as sufficient lot area is provided, 2.9 acres, to provide a buffer/setback from agricultural management practices.

The permit holder has proposed as a condition of this approval to file a restrictive covenant which will prohibit the permit holder and successors in interest from filing complaint concerning valid farming practices on adja-The Hearings Officer finds this will mitigate impact to the farm operation.

3. Does not alter the stability of the overall land use pattern of the area

The overall land use of the area to the west is found to be large lot rural

residential and will not be compromised by the conversion of a small prop-The land use pattern of the area will not be modified by the addition of a non-farm residence to the area as large lot erty to a non-farm use. rural residential is the established predominant use West of the Keno Worder

4. Is situated upon generally unsuitable land for the production of farm crops and livestock, considering the terrain, adverse soil or land condi-Rd.. tions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, location, and size of the tract

The proposed parcel is 2.9 acres in size. The Hearings Officer finds this parcel size unsuitable for commercial agricultural use due to its small size, poor soils and lack of irrigation.

Partitions creating parcels less than the 80 acre minimum lot size for non-farm uses are reviewed per the criteria set out in L.D.C. section 51.018

The Hearings Officer finds this partition conforms to these criteria as set E 1 C.

- 1. The parcel created for non-farm use will be 2.9 acres in size and will be developed to residential and accessory building use. The surrounding land to out below: the east of the Keno-Worden Rd. is in agricultural use.
 - 2. Access to the parcel is via a county maintained paved road south from Keno through to Worden. Use of these roads will not interfere with farm practices.

Therefore, it is ordered the request of Frank & Catherine Greek for C.U.P. 6. ORDER: 42-90 and M.N.P. 25-90 is approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant shall file a restrictive covenant with the County Clerk prohibiting the permit holder and their successors in interest from filing complaint concerning accepted resource management practices that may occur on nearby lands.
- 2. The Conditional Use Permit shall not be final nor shall a building permit for a non-farm dwelling be issued under this order until the applicant provides the Planning Department with evidence that the lot or parcel upon which the dwelling is proposed to be located has been disqualified for valuation at true cash value for farm use and that any additional tax penalty imposed by the County Assessor has been paid.
- 3. C.U.P. 42-90 will not be effective until M.N.P. 25-90 is filed in the of fice of the County Clerk.
- 4. M.N.P. 25-90 must comply with Code requirements, Oregon Revised Statutes and agency conditions prior to filing.

DATED this 2144 day of August, 1990

Neil D. Smith, Hearings Officer

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH-

NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

You are hereby notified that this application may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Commissioners by filing with the Klamath County Planning Department a Notice of Appeal as set out in Section 33.004 of the Klamath County Land Development Code, together with the fee required within ten days following the mailing date of this order.

Filed for record at request of	Klamath County	the 27th	
of Aug. A.D., 19 90	at 4:20 o'clock PM., and duly r	ecorded in Vol. m90	day
of	<u>Deeds</u> on Page <u>17190</u>		- Carry
FEE none was the bear described	Evelyn Biehn . C	ounty Clerk	
	By Queline	Trullendare	

Return: Commissioners Journal