

BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER
KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGONIN THE MATTER OF CUP 40-90 FOR
BRAZIL TO LOCATE A RESIDENCE NOT
IN CONJUNCTION WITH FOREST USE

ORDER

1. NATURE OF THE REQUEST:

The applicant wishes to establish a single family residence not in conjunction with forest use on 6.56 acres west of Hwy 97 and north of Gilchrist, northern Klamath County.

The request was heard by the Hearings Officer August 17, 1990 pursuant to Ordinances 44 and 45. The request was reviewed for conformance with Land Development Code Section 51.020 D 4.

2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED:

The Hearings Officer in review of this application was Neil D. Smith. The applicant appeared and offered testimony in support of the application. The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner. The recording secretary was Karen Burg. No opposition was offered this application.

3. LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

The subject property is a 6.56 acre rectangle located in the NE 1/4 Section 8, T 24S R 9E W.M.. Generally located two miles north of Gilchrist, west of Hwy 97. T.A. 2409-8-700.

4. RELEVANT FACTS:

A. ACCESS: The property is 1/4 mile west of Hwy 97, a state maintained arterial route. An easement road extends west from the Hwy and provides all-weather access to the subject property.

B. FIRE PROTECTION: The property is not within a structural fire

protection district. The Walker Range Patrol, the agency providing wildland fire protection, has requested a fuelbreak and independent power source for a water supply be required as conditions of approval. The applicant has agreed to fuel breaks (required by L.D.C. sec 51.020 G) around the residence to reduce the potential of a structural fire spreading to the lands to the north, south, east and west.

C. LAND USE: The property is a parcel of approximately 6.56 acres of undeveloped land and is bisected by the Little Deschutes River. The adjacent lands to the north, south, and east are found not devoted to forestry uses. Rural residential use has been established on contiguous properties in two compass directions from the applicants property. A U.S.F.S. quarry site is 1/4 mile to the north.

D. SEWERAGE: The applicant has selected a septic installation location in the south central portion of the property. Site specific site evaluation has not been accomplished for this property. However, soil conditions and the existence of systems in the area indicates feasibility.

E. SLOPE: Available topographic mapping and site inspection indicates slopes of 0-10% predominate the site.

F. SOILS: Available mapping of the site indicates a land capability classification of VI and a timber site rating of VI.

G. WATER: Proposed well

H. PLAN/ZONING: The plan/zone designation of the project site and properties to the north, south, east and west is Forestry/Forestry. The designation of the properties 1/2 mile to the south is Rural/R-1 (Roberts River Acres).

5. RELEVANT CRITERIA:

The standards and criteria relevant to this application are found in the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan (Goal 4) and the Klamath County Land Development Code, specifically Section 44.003, Section 51.020 and Article 69.

6. FINDINGS:

All evidence submitted as the staff report, exhibits b-d, and offered testimony were considered in this Order.

6.1 Goal Findings: With regard to the Statewide Planning Goals and the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan, the Hearings Officer makes the following findings:

- A. The goal of the Forest Lands Element is to conserve forest lands for the production of wood fiber and other forest uses, protect forest lands from incompatible uses, and to ensure a continued yield of forest products and values.
- B. Forest Uses are defined by Statewide Planning Goal 4 and the Comprehensive Plan to include:
 1. The production of trees and forest products;
 2. Watershed protection and wildlife and fisheries habitat;
 3. Soil protection from wind and water;
 4. Grazing of livestock;
 5. Maintenance of clean air and water;
 6. Outdoor recreational activities
 7. Open space, buffers from noise, and visual separation of conflicting uses.

FINDING: The Hearings Officer finds that dwellings are not included in the list of forest uses. The Land Development Code does, however, permit residences subject to conditional use findings that the dwelling is located

on lands generally unsuitable for timber management and not needed for other permitted forest uses and is otherwise consistent with the County's acknowledged criteria.

C. Policy 4 of the Klamath County Forest Lands Goal states "The County shall regulate development of nonforest uses in forest areas". The "rationale" for such policy is "to protect the health, safety and welfare of County Citizens" and "to reduce fire danger to man-made structures and forest resources".

FINDING: The Hearings Officer finds that active forest management has not occurred on properties directly adjacent to the property. Specifically, there is residential development in all directions and a subdivision to the south. This proposed residence would be adjacent to twelve other dwellings in the area, and with the proposed fuelbreaks and an independent water source on the property, there is a reduced chance of fire spreading thru the properties protected by the Walker Range Patrol.

6.2 Land Development Code Findings: With regard to the Klamath County Land Development Code, the Hearings Officer makes the following findings:

A. Klamath County Land Development Code Section 44.003-Conditional Use Permit Criteria:

A Conditional Use Permit shall be granted only if the reviewing authority shall find that it satisfies the following criteria, as well as other criteria and standards of this Code and other applicable codes and ordinances

44.003 A: "That the use is conditionally permitted in the zone in which it is proposed to be located."

FINDING: Section 51.020 D 4 identifies residential-single family or mobile home as a nonforest conditional use.

44.003 B: "That the location, size, design, and operating characteristics of the proposed use are in conformance with the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan".

Goal 4, Policy #1 states: The following lands shall be designated forestry and subject to the regulations of the Forestry and Forestry/Range zones contained in the Land Development Code:

1. Public or private industry forest lands located contiguously in large blocks, i. e. Forest Service, BLM, Weyerhaeuser, Gilchrist Timber;
2. Significant wildlife and fishery habitat areas;
3. Land having a predominant timber site productivity rating of I-VI;
4. Isolated pockets of land within forest areas which do not meet the above criteria;
5. Lands needed for watershed protection or recreation;
6. Other lands needed to protect farm or forest uses on surrounding designated agricultural or forest lands.

Rationale: To preserve the maximum area of productive forest land.

FINDING: The area surrounding the subject property is not in forestry use and the site but does contain riparian habitat. The Hearings Officer finds this habitat will not be compromised by this application.

FINDING: The subject property has a Timber Site Class Rating of VI, thereby meeting the definition of forest land. However, the site chosen for the homesite is clearly not in forest production.

FINDING: The site is not large enough for legitimate forestry use and presently has no significant second growth. There is no property adjacent to the site north, south, east and west which is presently in forestry use. The signing of a restrictive covenant will prohibit the permit holder from

interfering with accepted resource management practices if they do occur on nearby lands.

Goal 4, Policy #4 states: "The County shall regulate development of nonforest uses in forested areas".

Rationale: To protect the health, safety, and welfare of county citizens. And to reduce the fire danger to man-made structures and forest resources.

FINDING: The proposed residence is not within an established fire protection district. Wildland fire protection is provided by the Walker Range Patrol. Access to the property to fight fire is excellent, being only 1/4 mile west of Hwy 97. Further, the applicant has agreed to required fuelbreaks around the house to prevent the spread of fire and will be required to establish an independent power source on the property.

44.003 C: "That the location, size, design and operating characteristics of the proposed development will be compatible with and will not have significant adverse effects on the appropriate development and use of abutting properties and the surrounding neighborhood. Consideration shall be given to harmony in scale, bulk, coverage, and density; to the availability of civic facilities and utilities; to harmful effects, if any, upon desirable neighborhood characteristics and livability; to the generation of traffic and the capacity of surrounding streets; and to any other relevant impact of the development".

FINDING: Access to the proposal is provided via an easement road extending west from the state highway. The road provides access for the proposal and to similar properties in the area and is not utilized by commercial timber operators or for other forestry uses.

FINDING: The property is located within the Klamath County School District

and will have no impact on the school system. The existence of a new residential use within the district will slightly increase the tax base.

B. Klamath County Land Development Code Section 51.020 E - Non Forest Conditional Use Permit Criteria:

The uses conditionally permitted shall be subject to review in accordance with the following criteria:

1. The proposal is compatible with forest uses;

FINDING: Large lot rural-residential use predominate in two compass directions.

2. The proposal does not interfere seriously with the accepted forestry practices on adjacent lands devoted to forestry use, and does not significantly increase the cost of forestry operations on such lands;

FINDING: The adjacent lands to the north, south, east and west are found not devoted to forestry uses as set out in state and local goals. The Hearings Officer finds the predominant land use to be large-lot residential along with a U.S.F.S. quarry to the north. The closest forestry use lands are to the west. The location of a non-resource home will not conflict with management practices on those lands. The permit holder will be required to file a restrictive covenant which will prohibit the permit holder and successors in interest from filing complaints concerning valid resource management practices on adjacent lands.

3. The project will not materially alter the stability of the overall land use of the area;

FINDING: The subject parcel was created years ago as a result of parcelization prior to the current Land Development Code and determined to constitute legal parcels. The placement of a residence on the property will

not destabilize the existing land use pattern of the area as residential uses similar to that proposed has been established in the immediate vicinity within section 8.

4. The proposal is located on generally unsuitable land for the production of forest products and livestock, considering the terrain, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, location and size of the tract;

FINDING: The project is on a parcel, 6.56 acres, too small to be considered for commercial forest uses. The site is found to be poorly located for forest management activities as it is located between developed properties to the north and east. Forest practices may conflict with the residential/recreation uses long established in the area.

5. The proposal considers site productivity, minimizes the loss of productive forest lands; and is limited to the area suitable and appropriate to the needs of the proposed use;

FINDING: Site productivity for noncommercial forest uses may actually be increased due to the presence of an interested landowner. No loss of productive resource lands will result, rather the resident will enhance the noncommercial resource uses of the property through intensive management practices. The Hearings Officer finds the commercial Forestry land base of the County will not be compromised by the permitting of a nonforest home on 6.56 acres.

6. The proposal meets the standards set forth relating to the availability of fire protection and other rural services and will not tax those services;

FINDING: Structural fire protection is not available. The owner shall adhere to the requirements outlined in Section 51.020 G of the Code, RESIDEN-


TIAL SITING STANDARDS and provide an alternative independent power source on the property so that on-site water is available to prevent the spread of fire. Impact to other rural services will be minimally impacted by the addition of another residence.

7. ORDER:

Therefore, it is ordered the request of Doug Brazil for approval of C.U.P. 40-90 is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall file a restrictive covenant with the County Clerk prohibiting the permit grantee and successors in interest from filing complaint concerning accepted resource management practices that may occur on nearby lands devoted to commercial resource use.
2. The applicant must comply with the fire safety and other siting standards of the land use code and provide an alternative independent power source on the property.

DATED this 3/5th day of August, 1990



Neil D. Smith, Hearings Officer

NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

You are hereby notified that this decision may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Commissioners by filing with the Planning Department a NOTICE OF APPEAL as set out in Section 33.004 of the Code, together with the required fee within TEN DAYS of the date of mailing of this decision. Appeals must be received by the Planning Department no later than 5:00 P.M. on the tenth day or next business day if the tenth day falls on a weekend or holiday. Failure to file a NOTICE OF APPEAL within the time provided will result in the loss of your right to appeal this decision.

CUP 40-90

-9-

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH: ss.

Filed for record at request of Klamath County the 4th day of Sept. A.D., 19 90 at 1:02 o'clock P.M., and duly recorded in Vol. M90, of Deeds on Page 17665.

FEE none

Evelyn Biehn County Clerk
By Doreen Mulendare

Return: Commissioners Journal