# Vol.mg/ Page 24254

## BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

and the second second

## IN THE MATTER OF CUP 71-91 FOR BAILEY TO LOCATE A RESIDENCE NOT IN CONJUNCTION WITH FOREST USE

ORDER

### 1. NATURE OF THE REQUEST:

37607

 $\sim$ 

2

ú i liuil

The applicant wishes to establish a <u>home</u> as a single family residence <u>not</u> in conjunction with forest use on 31.58 acres m/l north and west of Sprague River. The request was heard by the Hearings Officer NOVEMBER 8, 1991 pursuant to Ordinances 44 and 45. The request was reviewed for conformance with Land Development Code Article 55.2

# 2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED:

The Hearings Officer in review of this application was Neil D. Smith. The applicants representative appeared and offered testimony in support of the application. The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner. The recording secretary was Karen Burg, Administrative Secretary.

#### 3. LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

The subject property is located east of the intersection of the Lone Pine Rd. and Sprague River Hwy between Chiloquin and Sprague River. The parcel is described as a portion of the NW 1/4 section 11, Township 35S, Range 9E, east W. M.. T. A. 3509-11-400.

#### 4. RELEVANT FACTS:

A. <u>ACCESS</u>: The property is accessed by the Sprague River Hwy., a county maintained paved road running east/west between Chiloguin and Sprague River.

B. <u>FIRE PROTECTION</u>: The property is <u>not</u> within a structural fire protection district. The applicant has proposed fuel breaks around the residence to reduce the potential of a structural fire spreading to the surrounding lands.

# C.

LAND USE: The property is 31.5 acres of undeveloped land. The site has been logged and used for limited commercial resource purposes. Within has 1/2 mile there are nine homes used for rural-residential use. To the east and north is farming/grazing/forestry use. D.

24255

SEWERAGE: The applicant indicates the existing septic system site will be evaluated subsequent to this action. There is no reason to believe approval will not be obtained. Ε.

SLOPE: Available topographic mapping and site inspection indicates slopes of 0-15% predominate the site. F.

SOILS: The Soil Conservation Service mapping of the site indicates the property is located on the Maset soil series, This soil series and its properties are set out in the publication SOIL SURVEY OF KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON on file in the Planning Department.

G. WATER: Existing well

PLAN/ZONING: The plan/zone designation of the project site and properties to the, south, east and west is Forestry Range.Forestry zoning is found to the north. Four miles to the southeast is Sprague River, a rural service center offering a variety of public and private services.

5. RELEVANT CRITERIA:

The standards and criteria relevant to this application are found in the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan (Goal 4) and the Klamath County Land Development Code, specifically Article 55.2. 6. FINDINGS:

Н.

All evidence submitted as the staff report, exhibits b-, and offered testi mony were considered in this Order.

-2-

6.1 With regard to the Statewide Planning Goals and the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan, the Hearings Officer makes the following findings: A. The goal of the Forest Lands Element is to conserve forest lands for the

CUP 71-91 BAILEY

production of wood fiber and other forest uses, protect forest lands from incompatible uses, and to ensure a continued yield of forest products and values.

B. Forest Uses are defined by Statewide Planning Goal 4 and the Comprehensive Plan to include:

1. The production of trees and forest products;

2. watershed protection and wildlife and fisheries habitat;

3. soil protection from wind and water;

4. grazing of livestock;

5. maintenance of clean air and water;

6. outdoor recreational activities

7. open space, buffers from noise, and visual separation of conflicting uses.

FINDING: The Hearings Officer finds that dwellings are not included in the list of forest uses. The Land Development Code does, however, permit residences subject to conditional use findings that the dwelling is located on lands generally unsuitable for timber management and not needed for other permitted forest uses and is otherwise consistent with the County's acknowledged criteria.

C. Policy 4 of the Klamath County Forest Lands Goal states "The County shall regulate development of nonforest uses in forest areas". The "rationale" for such policy is "to protect the health, safety and welfare of County Citizens" and "to reduce fire danger to man-made structures and forest resources".

FINDING: The proposed residence is not within a structural fire protection district, however, with the provision of required fuelbreaks, the readily available wildland fire protection provided by the Dept. of Forestry and access provided by the Sprague River Hwy, there is an insignificant risk of

-3-

fire and risk to the adjacent uses.

6.2 With regard to the Klamath County Land Development Code, the Hearings Officer makes the following findings:

A. Klamath County Land Development Code Section 44.030-Conditional Use Permit Criteria:

A Conditional Use Permit shall be granted only if the reviewing authority shall find that it satisfies the following criteria, as well as other criteria and standards of this Code and other applicable codes and ordinances. 44.030 A: "That the use is conditionally permitted in the zone in which it is proposed to be located."

Article 55.2 identifies residential-single family or mobile home as a nonforest conditional use.

44.030 B: "That the location, size, design, and operating characteristics of the proposed use are in conformance with the Klamath County Comprehensive

Goal 4, Policy #1 states: The following lands shall be designated forestry and subject to the regulations of the Forestry and Forestry/Range zones contained in the Land Development Code:

Public or private industry forest lands located contiguously in large blocks, i. e. Forest Service, BLM, Weyerhaeuser, Gilchrist Timber;

2. Significant wildlife and fishery habitat areas;

3. Land having a predominant timber site productivity rating of I-VI; 4. Isolated pockets of land within forest areas which do not meet the above criteria;

5. Lands needed for watershed protection or recreation;

6. Other lands needed to protect farm or forest uses on surrounding designated agricultural or forest lands.

Rationale: To preserve the maximum area of productive forest land.

CUP 71-91 BAILEY

1.

FINDING:

The area, with the exception of north of the property, is found not devoted to commercial resource use. FINDING:

The subject property is rated Class V for timber productivity and the site chosen for the homesite is clearly not in forest production. The only trees on the property are small pines, juniper, mahogany, and

The small site is not large enough for legitimate commercial forestry use and presently has no significant forest growth. There is no property adjacent to the site which is presently in a pure forestry use. No nearby property is being managed as a forestry resource, and the signing of a restrictive covenant will prohibit the permit holder from interfering with accepted resource management practices on nearby lands.

Goal 4, Policy #4 states: "The County shall regulate development of nonforest uses in forested areas". Rationale:

To protect the health, safety, and welfare of county citizens. And to reduce the fire danger to man-made structures and forest resources. The proposed residence is not within an established structural FINDING: fire protection district. However, access to the property to fight fire is excellent, being off of a paved all-weather road. Further, the applicant has proposed fuelbreaks around the house to prevent the spread of fire to the adjacent properties. The threat of fire spreading to resource properties is found to be miggated.

44.003 C: "That the location, size, design and operating characteristics of the proposed development will be compatible with and will not have significant adverse effects on the appropriate development and use of abutting properties and the surrounding neighborhood. Consideration shall be given to harmony in scale, bulk, coverage, and density; to the availability of civic facilities and utilities; to harmful effects, if any, upon desirable

-5-

CUP 71-91 BAILEY

FINDING:

FINDING:

24259

.

neighborhood characteristics and livability; to the generation of traffic and the capacity of surrounding streets; and to any other relevant impact of the development".

FINDING: Access to the proposal is provided via a county maintained paved road. The road provides access for the proposal and properties to the east and west.

FINDING: The proposal is four miles from the nearest community, Sprague River, will be accessible during the winter months. The residence will not significantly increase the risk of wildfire impacts to nearby forest land or increase the danger to firefighters.

FINDING: The property is located within the Klamath County School District and will have no impact on the school system. The existence of additional residential uses within the district will slightly increase the tax base.

B. Klamath County Land Development Code Section 55.2 - Non Forest Conditional Use Permit Criteria.

The uses conditionally permitted shall be subject to review in accordance with the following criteria:

1. The proposal is compatible with forest uses;

FINDING: Rural-residential and non-commercial resource use dominates in all compass directions.

2. The proposal does not interfere seriously with the accepted forestry practices on adjacent lands, and does not significantly increase the cost of forestry operations on such lands;

FINDING: The adjacent lands are found devoted to the permitted uses as set out in state and local goals/zoning regulations. The location of a non-resource home will not conflict with management practices on those lands. The permit holder will be required to file a restrictive covenant which will prohibit the permit holder and successors in interest from filing

CUP 71-91 BAILEY

complaints concerning valid resource management practices on adjacent lands. 3. The project will not materially alter the stability of the overall land use of the area;

FINDING: The subject parcel was legally created per local ordinance. The location of a non forest residence on the property will not destabilize the existing land use pattern of the area as use similar to that proposed was established on the property from 1968 to 1980 and has been established in the immediate vicinity.

4. The proposal is located on generally unsuitable land for the production of forest products and livestock, considering the terrain, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, location and size of the tract;

FINDING: The project is on a parcel, 31 acres, too small to be considered for commercial forest uses. The site is found to be poorly located for forest management activities as it has a very poor soil rating which would result in minimal value for grazing and/or field crop production.

5. The proposal considers site productivity, minimizes the loss of productive forest lands; and is limited to the area suitable and appropriate to the needs of the proposed use;

FINDING: Site productivity for noncommercial forest uses is found to be minimal considering the size and aspect of the parcel. No loss of productive resource lands will result. The Hearings Officer finds the commercial Forestry land base of the County will not be compromised by the permitting of nonforest home on 31 acres.

6. The proposal meets the standards set forth relating to the availability of fire protection and other rural services and will not tax those services; FINDING: Structural fire protection is not provided. Accordingly, the owner shall adhere to the requirements outlined in Section 55.260 of the Code,

-7-

<u>SETBACKS FOR FIRE SAFETY AND OTHER STANDARDS</u>. Other rural services will be minimally impacted by the addition of another residence. Access exists from the existing road.

24261

7. ORDER:

Therefore, it is ordered the request of Donald Bailey for approval of C.U.P. 71-91 is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicants shall file a restrictive covenant with the County Clerk prohibiting the permit grantee and successors in interest from <u>dividing the</u> <u>property</u> or filing complaint concerning accepted resource management practices that may occur on nearby lands devoted to commercial resource use.

2. The applicant must comply with the fire safety and other siting standards of the land use code.

3. The applicant must provide proof of clearance from the Environmental Health Services Division and Building Dept. within two years following the date of this order, or obtain an extension of time, or this approval will become null and void.

DATED this Aday of November, 1991

Neil D. Smith, Hearings Officer

# NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

You are hereby notified that this decision may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Commissioners by filing with the Planning Department a NO-TICE OF APPEAL as set out in Article 33 of the Code, together with the required fee within SEVEN DAYS of the date of mailing of this decision. Appeals must be received by the Planning Department no later than 5:00 P.M. on the seventh day or next business day if the seventh day falls on a weekend or holiday. Failure to file a NOTICE OF APPEAL within the time provided will result in the loss of your right to appeal this decision.

#### CUP 71-91 BAILEY

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH: ss.

Filed for record at request of			Klamath County			)∉h dav
of	Nov.	A.D., 19	at2:41	o'clockP_M., and duly r	ecorded in Vol.	M91
		of	Deeds	on Page24254		······································
				Evelyn Biehn - C	ounty Clerk	
FEE	none			By Qailing)	Dickendow	

-8-

Return: Commissioners Journal