

BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER
KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGONIN THE MATTER OF CUP 41-92 FOR
REEVES TO ESTABLISH A RESIDENCE NOT
IN CONJUNCTION WITH FOREST USE

ORDER

1. NATURE OF THE REQUEST:

The applicant wishes to establish a home as a single family residence not in conjunction with forest use on 60 acres m/1 northeast of Gilchrist. The request was heard by the Hearings Officer JUNE 12, 1992 pursuant to Ordinances 44 and 45. The request was reviewed for conformance with Land Development Code Article 55.

2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED:

The Hearings Officer in review of this application was Neil D. Smith. The applicant appeared and offered testimony in support of the application. The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner. The recording secretary was Karen Burg.

3. LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

The subject property is located east of Antelope Meadows, west of Beal Rd. and south of Howard Rd., North County. The parcel is described as a portion of section 15, Township 23S, Range 10E W. M.. T. A. 2310-15-600-900

4. RELEVANT FACTS:

A. ACCESS: The property is accessed by Beal Rd., a county maintained paved road.

B. FIRE PROTECTION: The property is not presently within a structural fire protection district. A condition of approval is contained requiring inclusion in a district or contract for same. The applicant has also proposed fuel breaks around the residence to reduce the potential of a structural fire spreading to the surrounding lands.

C. LAND USE: The property is 60 acres of undeveloped land. The site has been logged but not used for further commercial resource purposes. Within 1/2 mile there are 25 homes used for rural-residential use. To the north and east is farming/grazing/forestry use.

D. SEWERAGE: The applicant indicates a septic system is in place.

E. SLOPE: Available topographic mapping and site inspection indicates slopes of 0-10% predominate the site.

F. WATER: On site well.

G. PLAN/ZONING: The plan/zone designation of the project site and properties in three all compass directions is Forestry and to the west is rural zoning.

5. RELEVANT CRITERIA:

The standards and criteria relevant to this application are found in the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan (Goal 4) and the Klamath County Land Development Code, specifically Article 55.

6. FINDINGS:

All evidence submitted as the staff report, exhibits b-d, and offered testimony were considered in this Order.

6.1 With regard to the Statewide Planning Goals and the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan, the Hearings Officer makes the following findings:

A. The goal of the Forest Lands Element is to conserve forest lands for the production of wood fiber and other forest uses, protect forest lands from incompatible uses, and to ensure a continued yield of forest products and values.

B. Forest Uses are defined by Statewide Planning Goal 4 and the Comprehensive Plan to include:

1. The production of trees and forest products;

2. watershed protection and wildlife and fisheries habitat;
3. soil protection from wind and water;
4. grazing of livestock;
5. maintenance of clean air and water;
6. outdoor recreational activities
7. open space, buffers from noise, and visual separation of conflicting uses.

FINDING: The Hearings Officer finds that dwellings are not included in the list of forest uses. The Land Development Code does, however, permit residences subject to conditional use findings that the dwelling is located on lands generally unsuitable for timber management and not needed for other permitted forest uses and is otherwise consistent with the County's acknowledged criteria.

C. Policy 4 of the Klamath County Forest Lands Goal states "The County shall regulate development of nonforest uses in forest areas". The "rationale" for such policy is "to protect the health, safety and welfare of County Citizens" and "to reduce fire danger to man-made structures and forest resources".

FINDING: The proposed residence is currently not within a structural fire protection district. A condition of approval requiring inclusion or contract is included, and with the provision of required fuelbreaks, the readily available wildland fire protection provided by the Walker Range Patrol and access provided, there is an insignificant risk of fire and risk to the adjacent uses.

6.2 With regard to the Klamath County Land Development Code, the Hearings Officer makes the following findings:

A. Klamath County Land Development Code Section 44.030-Conditional Use Permit Criteria:

A Conditional Use Permit shall be granted only if the reviewing authority shall find that it satisfies the following criteria, as well as other criteria and standards of this Code and other applicable codes and ordinances.

44.030 A: "That the use is conditionally permitted in the zone in which it is proposed to be located."

FINDING: Article 55.080 identifies residential-single family or mobile home as a nonforest conditional use.

44.030 B: "That the location, size, design, and operating characteristics of the proposed use are in conformance with the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan".

Goal 4, Policy #1 states: The following lands shall be designated forestry and subject to the regulations of the Forestry and Forestry/Range zones contained in the Land Development Code:

1. Public or private industry forest lands located contiguously in large blocks, i. e. Forest Service, BLM, Weyerhaeuser, Crown Pacific;
2. Significant wildlife and fishery habitat areas;
3. Land having a predominant timber site productivity rating of I-VI;
4. Isolated pockets of land within forest areas which do not meet the above criteria;
5. Lands needed for watershed protection or recreation;
6. Other lands needed to protect farm or forest uses on surrounding designated agricultural or forest lands.

Rationale: To preserve the maximum area of productive forest land.

FINDING: The area to the west of the property is found devoted to rural use. The remainder is in commercial resource use.

FINDING: The subject property is rated Class V for timber productivity and the site chosen for the homesite is clearly not in forest production. The

only trees on the property are small pines with significant beetle kill apparent.

FINDING: The small site is not large enough for legitimate commercial forestry use and presently has no significant forest growth. However, nearby property is being managed as a forestry resource, and the signing of a restrictive covenant will prohibit the permit holder from interfering with accepted resource management practices on nearby lands.

Goal 4, Policy #4 states: "The County shall regulate development of nonforest uses in forested areas".

Rationale: To protect the health, safety, and welfare of county citizens.

And to reduce the fire danger to man-made structures and forest resources.

FINDING: The proposed residence is not within an established structural fire protection district, however this permit will not be valid until it is included or under contract. Access to the property to fight fire is excellent, being off of an all-weather road. Further, the applicant has proposed fuelbreaks around the house to prevent the spread of fire to the adjacent properties. The threat of fire spreading to resource properties is found to be mitigated.

44.003 C: "That the location, size, design and operating characteristics of the proposed development will be compatible with and will not have significant adverse effects on the appropriate development and use of abutting properties and the surrounding neighborhood. Consideration shall be given to harmony in scale, bulk, coverage, and density; to the availability of civic facilities and utilities; to harmful effects, if any, upon desirable neighborhood characteristics and livability; to the generation of traffic and the capacity of surrounding streets; and to any other relevant impact of the development".

FINDING: Access to the proposal is provided via a county maintained paved

road. The road provides access for the proposal and properties to the north and south.

FINDING: The proposal is 6 miles from the nearest community, LaPine, and will be accessible during the winter months. The residence will not significantly increase the risk of wildfire impacts to nearby forest land or increase the danger to firefighters.

FINDING: The property is located within the Klamath County School District and will have no impact on the school system. The existence of additional residential uses within the district will slightly increase the tax base.

B. Klamath County Land Development Code Section 55.080 - Non Forest Dwellings.

The uses conditionally permitted shall be subject to review in accordance with the following criteria:

1. The proposal is compatible with forest uses;

FINDING: Rural-residential and commercial use dominates in all compass directions.

2. The proposal does not interfere seriously with the accepted forestry practices on adjacent lands, and does not significantly increase the cost of forestry operations on such lands;

FINDING: The adjacent lands are found devoted to the permitted uses as set out in state and local goals/zoning regulations. The location of a non-resource home will not conflict with management practices on those lands. The permit holder will be required to file a restrictive covenant which will prohibit the permit holder and successors in interest from filing complaints concerning valid resource management practices on adjacent lands.

3. The project will not materially alter the stability of the overall land use of the area;

FINDING: The subject parcel was legally created per local ordinance. The

location of a non forest residence on the property will not destabilize the existing land use pattern of the area as use similar to that proposed are established in the immediate vicinity.

4. The proposal is located on generally unsuitable land for the production of forest products and livestock, considering the terrain, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, location and size of the tract;

FINDING: The project is on a parcel, 60 acres, too small to be considered for commercial forest uses.

5. The proposal considers site productivity, minimizes the loss of productive forest lands; and is limited to the area suitable and appropriate to the needs of the proposed use;

FINDING: Site productivity for noncommercial forest uses is found to be minimal considering the size and aspect of the parcel. No loss of productive resource lands will result. The Hearings Officer finds the commercial Forestry land base of the County will not be compromised by the permitting of nonforest home on 60 acres.

6. The proposal meets the standards set forth relating to the availability of fire protection and other rural services and will not tax those services;

FINDING: Structural fire protection is not currently provided, however, is required by the terms of this permit. And, the owner shall adhere to the requirements outlined in Section 55.260 of the Code, SETBACKS FOR FIRE SAFETY AND OTHER STANDARDS. Other rural services will be minimally impacted by the addition of another residence. Access exists from the existing road.

7. ORDER:

Therefore, it is ordered the request of REEVES for approval of C.U.P. 41-92 is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicants shall file a restrictive covenant with the County Clerk prohibiting the permit grantee and successors in interest from dividing the property or filing complaint concerning accepted resource management practices that may occur on nearby lands devoted to commercial resource use.
2. The applicant must comply with the fire safety and other siting standards of the land use code, including inclusion within a rural fire district or proof of contract for same to be submitted prior to the issuance of any construction permit.
3. The applicant must provide proof of clearance from the Environmental Health Services Division, Crescent Fire District and Building Dept. within two years following the date of this order, or obtain an extension of time, or this approval will become null and void.

DATED this 12th day of JUNE, 1992



Neil D. Smith, Hearings Officer

NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

You are hereby notified that this decision may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Commissioners by filing with the Planning Department a NOTICE OF APPEAL as set out in Article 33 of the Code, together with the required fee within SEVEN DAYS of the date of mailing of this decision. Appeals must be received by the Planning Department no later than 5:00 P.M. on the seventh day or next business day if the seventh day falls on a weekend or holiday. Failure to file a NOTICE OF APPEAL within the time provided will result in the loss of your right to appeal this decision.

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH: ss.

Filed for record at request of Klamath County the 15th day
of June A.D., 19 92 at 11:11 o'clock A.M., and duly recorded in Vol. M92,
of Deeds on Page 12965.

FEE none

By Evelyn Biehn County Clerk
Paula M. Muelken

Return: Commissioners Journal