In the matter of the request of NORM PETERS

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CUP NO. 46-92

This matter came before Neil D. Smith, Hearings Officer for Klamath County, Oregon on 24 July 1992 in the County Commissioners' Meeting Room in Klamath Falls Oregon. The Klamath County Planning Department was represented by Mr. Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner, the recording secretary was Ms. Karen Burg. The Klamath County Planning Department file and all the exhibits and other contents therein is incorporated by this reference into this matter.

The Hearing was held pursuant to notice given in conformity with the Klamath County Land Development Code and related Statutes and Ordinances. The applicant for the above referenced Conditional Use Permit, was present and testified. Witnesses information was received and found to be in favor of the permit.

There were no witnesses in opposition.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Those property descriptions and application information contained in exhibit A are hereby made a part of the of fact.
- 2. The Applicant's requested Conditional Use Permit is permitted in this zone.
- 3. The creation of this proposed enterprise will conform to all requirements consistent with Section 51.330 F of the LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE in all its respects.
- 4. There was opposition to this application from residents living in the subdivision into which the applicant wishes to place the building. Their opposition is summarized below.
  - Mr. Phil Leonard: Concerned regarding the consumption of water in this facility.
  - Mr. Donald Smith: (member of board of fire protection) no plans to add any fire protection "at this time".
  - 3. Ms. Madelane Halnan: Concerned about water

Mr. John Rollins: Believes that the construcmr. John Rollins: Delieves that the Constitution of the Club House Will attract and increase crime in the vicinity

Ms. Carol swope: Described the area as that of ms. Carur swope: Described the area as facility retired persons and felt that this Would create hardship on those living there would create naruship on those living there through the creation of dust on the subdivi-

It appears to this Hearings Officer that the gist of the com-It appears to this Hearings Utilicer that the gist of the complete plaints expressed is that the people attending do not plaints expressed is that the people attending to the complete plaints expressed is that the people attending to the complete plaints expressed is that the people attending to the complete plaints expressed is that the people attending to the complete plaints are complete plaints. the subdivision to be fully developed. This Hearings Officer must notice that the subdivision was in existence when these must notice that the supplivision was in existence when these persons purchased the land upon which they live and that the potential for its full utilization was always apparent. Those in favor of the application, other than the applicant made the following, in substance comments:

- Mr. David Harris believes the building will enhance the economic value of the area.
- Mr. Miller Anderson: Attested to the reputation of the applicant to improve conditions as the applicant stated. 2.

There seemed to be some confusion of most of the persons expressing opinions that this was an application for a subdivi-The application is for the construction of a non residential

The application is for the construction of a non residential building on the location described in the application and staff report start report. LDC Section D1.330 r unequivocally allows the construction and use of community assembly buildings, while G construction and use of community assembly buildings, while G allows churches. (The plane submitted do contain a space for allows churches. allows churches. (The plans submitted do contain a space for a chapel.) LCDC Article 11.030 defines a Community Assembly a chaper. Judge Article 11.030 defines a Community Assembly (bldg.) as "Recreational, Social, fraternal multipurpose facilities of buildings owned and operated by a government." (DIDG.) as "Recreational, Social, Traternal multipurpose facilities or buildings owned and operated by a governmental collities or buildings owned and operation " operation of the composition of the comp agency or nonprofit community organization. " operation proagency of nonprofit community organization. " operation proposed being in conformance with the above referenced section
of the rand paralegment code the conditional lies parmit posed pering in conformance with the above referenced section of the Land Development Code the Conditional Use Permit formance with the Section quoted above. I.E. as a non profit building.

Based upon the findings herein the Conditional Use Permit Based upon the findings herein the conditional the finding number NO. 46-92. Is granted under the condition that the building is in fact operated as a Community Assembly building upder a population of the community of the condition of the condi under a nonprofit community organization.

CONTINUATION OF CUP 46-92

DATED this 24th day of July 1992

Neil D. Smith, Hearings Officer

KLAMATH COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE SECTION 24.007 PROVIDES:

" An Order of the Hearings Officer shall be final unless appealed within seven (7) days of its mailing by a party having standing in accordance with the procedures set forth in Chapter 3, Article 33 of this Code"

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH	; ss.	7th day
Filed for record at request of A D. 19 92 at	Klamath County  P. M. and duly recorded in Vol.	
FEE none	Ву Востава	

Return: Commissioners Journal