

## 07-11-94 03:09 RCVD

Vol.m94 Pere 21392

ORDER

## BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER

## IN THE MATTER OF CUP 70-34 FOR Robert Bakken to Establish a Residence not in Conjunction with FAIM USE

1. NATURE OF THE REQUEST

The applicant wishes to establish a residence as a use NOT in conjunction with farm use on 5.4 acres west of Hwy 60, 3/8 mile horth of the Hwy 97 junction, south of Chiloquin.

This request was heard by the Hearings Officer JULY 2, 1994 pursuant to Ordinances 44 and 45. The request was reviewed for Conformity with Land Development Code Sections 54.050 and D.R.S. 215.243.

2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PLRT CIPATED.

The Hearings Officer in review of this application was MICHAEL L. BRANT. The applicant appeared and offered testimony in support of the application. The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner. The recording secretary was Karen Burg.

3. LOCATION:

The property under consideration is located in a portion of Gov't Lot 24, <del>Sec.</del>-16, T 35S R 7E. T.A. 3507-16-1201

4. RELEVANT FACTS:

The property is within the Agricultural blan designation and has an implementing zone of EFU-CG. The property is located west of Hwy 62, 3/8 mile north of the Hwy 97 junction, south of Chiloguin. The property is 5.4 acres in size and is NOT under farm tex deferral. The Land Use Capability Classification of the property is Class IV: LOBERT 47A. The properties adjacent to this property in all compass directions are found devoted to low intensity rural/agricultural use. A comme tial hub is located 1/2 mile to the

south at the Hw ( 37/62 intersection.Surrounding residential use includes 3 homes within a one quarter mile radius. The property is within a structural fire protection district (C/AL R\*D) and the proposed dwelling will be within a response time of 15 minutes. 5. FINDINGS:

All evidence submitted as the stiff report, exhibits b-d, and offered testimony show that the approval criteria as set out in Code section 54.060 and O.R.S. 215,243 have been satisfied. The Hearings Officer finds this application.

1. Is compatible with farm use becaused

The project site will the legally and ownership divided from adjacent properties. The project site of found not to be devoted to commercial agricultural use and the conversion to such yould be impractical because of the parcel size and surrounding land uses.

The Hearings Officer finds that the use of the remnant parcel as a homesite compatible with potertial agriculturia use because the applicant has demonstrated that no conflict fill result from the conversion of an existing 5.4 acre property to residen lal use.

2. Does not interfere seriously with accepted farming practices on adjacent lands devoted to farm use because:

The properties to the north in all dompass directions are found to be engaged in rural use. The property in question is found to be of little resource value due to its location, topography, soils limitations and size which is far below the minimum lot (12: [80 acres] thought to represent a viable agricultural property (HB 3661).

The permit holder has volunteered as a condition of this approval to file a restrictive covenant which will prohibit the permit holder and successors in

21393

interest from filling complain concerning trasonable farming practices on adjacent lands 3. Does not alter the stability of the overall land use pattern of the area

21394

The overall land use of the area is long established to rural

lifestyle and will not be concornised by the conversion of an existing remnant parcel to a non-farm use? The land use pattern of the area will not be modified and will be perpetuated by this permit. 4. Is situated us on generally insuitable land for the production of farm

crops and livestoci, considering the ternain, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, veletation, location, and size of the tract

The existing parcel is 5.4 acres in size. The Hearings Officer finds this parcel size unsuitable for commerci il agricultural use due to its size, poor solls, micro-climate and topography. The impact of removing this marginal value land from the county farmlant base is found to be insignificant. 5. Complies with other conditions fel necessary, because,

The property is within a structural fire protection district. The potential exists that an existing residential use could cause a structural fire to spread to adjacent lands. According , the Hearings Officer finds the requirements set out in ILD.c. in concert with the structural fire protection provided by C/AL RFD, will protect the resource lane base that could result from any possible fire hizard posed by the non-farm residence.

## 6. ORDER.

2

Therefore, it is ordered the request of BAKKEN for CUP 70-94 is approved subject to the ollowing conditions. 1.

21395

The applicant shall file a testrictive covenant with the County prohibiting the permit holder and their successors in interest from filing complaint concerning accepted resource management practices that may occur on nearby lands.

The Conditional Use Permit shall not be final nor shall a building permit for a non-faim dwelling be issued under this order until the applicant provides the Plaining Department with evidence that the lot of parcel upon which the dwelling is proposed to be located has been disqualified for valuation at true (ast value for farm use and that any additional tax penalty imposed by the County Assessor has been paid.

3. This permit will excire in two years unless the approved residence is established or a request for an extension of time is filed with the Planning

4. Must shain approval in access from 0. 7. 5 T with DATED this Eth ay of JULY, 194

Michael L. Brant, Herrings Officer

NOTICE OF APPEAL NIGHTS

You are hereby notified that this application may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Complissioners by filing with the Klamath County Flanning Department a Notice of Appeal as set out in Article 33 of the Klamath County Land Development Code, ogether with the fee required within seven days following the mailing date of this order

			H: ss.	

	김 영화가 이야지 가슴질 가슴다.
Filed for record at request of <u>Klama transformer Klama transforme</u>	
A.D., 19 94 at 3:09 the the of Deeds of PiM, and duly recorded in Vol.	11th day
FEB none on Page 21392	M94
金融 "我们们就是这些你,你就是我们就能给你的你的,你就是我们就是我们就能能给你们的人上。你这些你的, <b>你不是我们,我们的你</b> 你	
Commissioners Journal By Deculered Multice	
	are the second