87407

09-06-4 PO2:09 RCVD

Vol<u>m94_</u>Page_27769

BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER KLAMATIC COUNTY, OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF CUP 34-94 FOR HOWARD ANDERSEN TO HETABLISH A RESIDENCE NOT DI CONJUNCTION WITH FINEN USE

ORDER

1. NATURE OF THE REQUEST.

The applicant wishes to establish a residence as a use NOT in conjunction with farm use on 10 arrest one mile forth of the Sprague River Hwy, on the south bank of the Sprague River, just east of the intersection of the Sprague and Williamson River Hwys.

This request was heard by the Heatings Officer SEPTEMBER 2, 1994 pursuant to Ordinances 44 and 45. The request was reviewed for conformity with Land Development Code Sections 54.060 and 0.R.S. 215.243.

2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED:

The Hearings Officer in review of this application was MICHAEL L. BRANT. The applicants representative appeared and offered testimony in support of the application. The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner. The recording secretative was Karen Burg.

3. LOCATION:

The property under consideration is ideated in a portion of the SW 1/4 NE 1/4 Sec. 21, T 34S R 8E. F.A. 3408-21A-100.

4. RELEVANT FACTS:

The property is within the Agricultural plan designation and has an implementing zone of EFU-CG. The property is located on the south bank of the Sprague River, just east of the intersection of the Sprague and Williamson River Hwys. It is 10 acres in size and is NOT under farm deferral. The Land Use Capability Classification of the property is Class VIe.

1

The property is within a structural fire protection district (C/AL RFD). There is an existing well and septic system. 5. FINDENCS:

All evidence submitted as the staff report, exhibits b-d, and offered testimony show that the approval criteria as set out in Code section 54.060 and 0.R.S. 215.243 have been satisfied. The Hearings (fficer finds this application;

1. Is compatible with farm use because

The project site will be legally and ownership divided from adjacent properties. The project site is found not to be devoted to commercial agricultural use and the conversion to such would be impract al because of the parcel size, aspect and poor soils.

The Hearings Officer finds that the use of the remnant parcel as a homesite compatible with potential agricultural use because the applicant has demonstrated that no conflict will result from the conversion of an existing 10 acre property to residential use. The Hearings Office finds a history of nonfarm homes has been established in the mmediate area with six approved in the last four years.

2. Does not interfere seriously with accepted farming practices or adjacent lands devoted to farm use because:

The properties in all compass directions are found to be engaged in trural/farm uses. The property in question is found to be of little resource value due to its location, topography, soils limitation: and size which is far below the minimum lot size (80 acres) that ght to represent a viable agricultural property (HB 3661).

The permit holder has volunteered as a condition of this approval to file a restrictive covenant which will prohibit the permit holder and successors in

11

interest from filing complaint concerning reasonable farming practices on adjacent lands. 3. Does not alter the stability of the overall land use pattern of the area

27771

The overall land use of the area is long established to rural lifestyle and will not be compromised by the conversion of an existing remnant parcel to a non-farm use. The land use pattern of the area will not be modified and will be perpetuated by this permit. 4. Is situated upon generally unsuitable land for the production of farm crops and livestock, considering the termin, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding vegetation, location, and size of the tract

The existing parcel is 10 arres in size. The Hearings Officer finds this farcel size unsuitable for contercial agritultural use due to its size, poor soils, micro-climate and topography. The impact of removing this marginal value land from the County farmland base is found to be insignificant. 5. Complies with other conditions felt necessary, because; The property is within a structural fire protection district (C/AL RFD). The potential exists that an existing resider tial use could cause a structural fire to spread to adjacent lards. Accordingly, the Hearings Officer finds the requirements set out in L.D.C. in concert with the wildland fire protection provided by the ODF, will protect the resource land base that could result from any possible fire hazard posed by the non-farm residence.

Therefore, it is ordered the request of EDWARD ANDERSEN for approval of CUP 84-94 is approved subject to the following conditions:

3

1. The applicant shall file a restrictive covenant with the County Clerk prohibiting the permit holder and treir successors in interest from filing complaint concerning accepted resource management practices that may occur on nearby lands. 27772

2. The Conditional Use Permit shall not be fined nor shall a building permit for a non-farm dwelling be issued under this order until the applicant provides the Planning Department with evidence that the lot or parcel upon which the dwelling is proposed to be located has been disqualified for valuation at true cash value for farm use and that any additional tax penalty imposed by the County Assesser has been paid.

3. The non-farm home will be located as far south as practicable to avoid the

Sprague River floodplain.

3. This permit will expire in two years unless the approved residence is established or a request for an extension of time is filed with the Planning

Department.

DATED this 2nd day of SEPTEMEUR, 1994

Wichael X. Brant Hearings Office :

NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

You are hereby notified that this application may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Commissioners by filing with the Klamath County Planning Department a Notice of Appeal as set out in Article 33 of the Klamath County Land Development Code, together with the fee required within seven days following the mailing date of this order.

STATE	OF OREGON: CO	OUNTY OF KLA MATH: ss.				
				the	6th	day
Filed	for record at reques	at ofA.D., 194t2:09 Deeds	o'clockP_M., ind duly on Page _ 27769	•	1 <u>M94</u>	`
		of	 In the Real of	County Clerk		
			By Douline	Thuil	indre	
FEE	none	_				
	Tommissioners	Journal				