ORDINANCE NO.

### A SPECIAL ORDINANCE VACATING LOTS 7 & 8 BLOCK ONE, SHIPPINGTON FIRST ADDITION

WHEREAS, the Owners of the real property hereinafter described, desire to vacate lots 7 and 8, Block 1, Shippington

WHEREAS, after notice having been duly given, the City Planning Commission held a public hearing on August 9, 1994 on said request; and

WHEREAS, the City Council hearing notice having been duly given, did hold a public hearing on September 19, 1994, on the record of the Planaing Commission on the request of the property

WHEREAS, pursuant to such record and hearing, the City Council adopts the findings of the Planning Commission, attached hereto as Exhibit "A", determining the screet vacation to be in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan and Community Development Ordinance;

# THE CITY OF KLAMATH PALLS ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

All of the real property located in the limits of the City of Klamath Falls, Klamath County, Oregon, as all being shown on Lots 7 and 8, Block 1, Shippington First Addition, as shown in Exhibit "B", attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, is hereby vacated.

Presented to the vayor (Mayor Protem), approved and signed

Sth day of October , 1994. this 5th day of

1000

ATTEST:

Recorder (Deputy Recorder)

STATE OF OREGON COUNTY OF KLAMATH CITY OF KLAMATH FALLS

I, Traci R. Bra:e

for the City of Klamati Falls, Ore jon, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of an Ordinance duly adopted by the Council of the City of Klamath Falls, Oregon, at the meeting held on the 3rd day of October , 1994 and thereafter approved and signed by the Mayor (Mayor Pro-tem) and attested by the City Receider (Dept ty Recorder).

naux Diace Recorder (Deputy Recorder)

AFTER RECORDING RETURN TO: City Recorder P. O. Box 237 Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Ordinance No. 94-29, Page 1

## BEFOR! THE KLAPATH FALLS CITY COUNCIL

In the Matter of a
Plat Vacation

Lots 7 and 8, Block One
Shippington First Addition
located on Bismarl: Street

Adv-94

DECISION, CONDITIONS AND

THIS MATTER came on for hearing before the Klamath Falls City Council on September 19, 1991. Following the required public notice, the City Planning Commission had conducted a public hearing on this matter at its August 9, 1994 meeting. The Planning Commission recommended approval with conditions and findings. The record before Planning Commission had been provided to Council in advance of its September 19, 1994, hearing, and required public notice of the Council hearing has been given.

#### DECISION

BASED UPON THE CONDITIONS AND FINDINGS SET FORTH BELOW, the vacation of lots 7 and 8, block 1, Shippington First Addition as described herein, in hereby approved.

#### COND ITIONS

1) The ordinance to rezone Lots 7 and 8 to PUD and the proposal to change the Harbor Isles PUD Development Plan to allow of the vacation ordinance.

#### FIND INGS

The following address the criteria specified in Section 13.035 of the City's Community Development Ordinance. In applying the facts to the criteria, specific cross references may have been made; however, the facts separately set forth are generally applicable to more than one criteria and, therefore, should be treated as cumulative and supplementary.

1. <u>Criteria</u>. Whether the consent of the owners of the requisite area has been obtained. [CDO Section 13.035(1)]

Facts. State aw requires that if the vacation involves a plat or portion of a plat, the consent of the owners of two thirds in area of the property included within the plat to be vacated, or portion thereof, shall be sufficient.

area to be vacated. Trendwest owns all of the property within the

2. Criteria. Whether notice has been duly given. [CDO

Facts. Notice of the proposed vacation was mailed to 82 property owners located within 500 feet of the area to be vacated and published in the Herald & News on June 22, 1994.

Finding. Notice has beer duly given.

Ordinance No. 94. 29, Page 2

by the vacation of such plat or street or parts thereof. [CDO

construct single family and two family residential condominiums on the amended to allow multiple units on one parcel.

Finding No evidence has been found that shows that the vacation will prejud ce the public interest.

conformance with the Comprehensive Plan, all applicable provisions of Chapters 10 to 1.1, and any applicable street plans. [CD0]

recessary. A condominium plat is proposed after dwellings have been constructed. The street serving some of these lots has best interests of the city to have these lots vacated. best interests of the city to have these lots vacated.

Finding. This criteria is satisfied.

