Vol.<u>m94</u> Page <u>38078</u>

## 92577

### 12-19-94A11:42 RCVD

#### BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON

ORDER

IN THE MATTER OF CUP 113-94 AND LP 70-94 FOR RANDY SCOTT TO ESTABLISH A HOME NOT IN CONJUNCTION WITH FARM USE AND DIVIDE THE PROPERTY

1. NATURE OF THE REQUEST:

The applicant wishes to establish an existing home and establish a new home as uses not in conjunction with farm use on property west of Homedale Rd., 1/2 mile south of Henley Rd..

Also considered was the request to partition the parent 3.6 acre property into parcels of 1.99, and 1.60 acres each.

This request was heard by the Hearings Officer DECEMBER 16, 1994 pursuant to Ordinances 44 and 45. The request was reviewed for conformity with Land Development Code Article 54 and with O.R.S. 215.243.

2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED:

The Hearings Officer in review of this application was Michael L. Brant. The applicant appeared and offered testimony in support of the application. Opposition was entered by the City of Klamath Falls and Raymond and Kikki Parker. The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner. The recording secretary was Karen Burg, Administrative Secretary. 3. LOCATION:

The property under consideration is located west of Homedale Rd., 1/2 mile south of Henley Rd. and is described as portions of the SE 1/4 Sec. 26, T 39S R 9E. T.A. 3909-26-1200.

4. RELEVANT FACTS:

The property is within the Agriculture plan designation and has an implementing zone of EFU-C. The parent property is 3.6 acres in size and is NOT

1

under farm tax deferral. Land use and lot sizes in the area are similar to that proposed by this application. Residential land use and similar lot sizes are also found within one mile of this project. Fire protection is provided by the KCFD #1, 4.0 miles away with a response time of 10 minutes.

#### 5. FINDINGS:

All evidence submitted as the staff report, exhibits b-h, and offered testimony show that the approval criteria as set out in Code Article 54 and 45 has been satisfied. The Hearings Officer findsthis application;

1. Is compatible with farm use because:

The analysis of surrounding properties and their use indicates the size of the proposed parcels and the proposed use as large lot rural-residential are compatible with the predominant adjacent land uses as the existing residential density of the area will not be markedly increased. Adjacent property to the north is being used to train horses, no crop production. An additional home will not impact this most affected land use. The applicant has demonstrated the small parcels under consideration here are not considered a commercial agricultural parcels size due to its small size. 2. Does not interfere seriously with accepted farming practices on adjacent lands devoted to farm use because:

The surrounding parcels are found to be developed to rural residential and low intensity farm use. The proposed non-farm residences will not interfere with the on-going use as sufficient lot area and geographic boundaries such as the existing drainage canals provide a buffer/setback from agricultural management practices and the small private pasturage may be used to support limited large animal use for the parcel.

2

The permit holder has proposed as a condition of this approval to file a restrictive covenant which will prohibit the permit holder and successors in interest from filing complaint concerning valid farming practices on nearby lands.

The Hearings Officer finds this will mitigate impact to the limited farm operations in the immediate area.

3. Does not alter the stability of the overall land use pattern of the area because:

The overall land use of part of this area is found to be large lot rural residential and commercial farming. The land use pattern of the area will not be modified as the residential intensity will be marginally increased with the addition of one more residence in an are already impacted.

4. Is situated upon generally unsuitable land for the production of farm crops and livestock, considering the terrain, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, location, and size of the tract because:

The proposed non farm parcels are substantially smaller than the 80 acressize required by HB 3661 and are therefore thought not appropriate for commercial farm use. Hearings Officer finds this non farm parcel size unsuitable for commercial agricultural use due to its small size, location adjacent to developed residential use and the testimony of the applicant stating a farm income suitable to support a family cannot be generated on this property. Partitions creating parcels for non-farm uses are reviewed per the criteria set out in L.D.C. Article 45 and section 54.070.

The Hearings Officer finds this partition conforms to these criteria as set out below:

з

1. The parcels created for non-farm use will be devoted to large lot rural residential and accessory building use. The land is not viable for commercial agriculture since it is less than 80 acres.

2. Access to the parcel is from Homedale Rd., a county maintained paved road. Use of the road will not interfere with farm practices.

6. ORDER:

Therefore, it is ordered the request of SCOTT for C.U.P. 113-94 and L.P. 70-94 is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall file a restrictive covenant with the County Clerk prohibiting the permit holder and their successors in interest from filing complaint concerning accepted resource management practices that may occur on nearby lands.

2. L.P. 70-94 shall not be filed nor shall a building permit for a non-farm dwelling be issued under this order until the applicant provides the Plan ning Department with evidence that the property has been disqualified for valuation at true cash value for farm use and that any additional tax penalty imposed by the County Assessor has been paid.

3. C.U.P. 113-94 will not be effective until L.P. 70-94 is filed in the office of the County Clerk.

4. L.P. 70-94 must comply with Code requirements, Oregon Revised Statutes and agency conditions prior to filing.

5. L.P. 70-94 will expire in one year from the date below unless the map is recorded or an extension of time is filed. DATED this  $/6^{-T_{h}}$  day of DECEMBER, 1994

Michael I. Brant

Michael L. Brant, Hearings Officer

ද ප

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

You are hereby notified this application may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Commissioners by filing with the Klamath County Planning Department a Notice of Appeal as set out in Section 33.004 of the Klamath County Land Development Code, together with the fee required within seven days following the mailing date of this order.

5

# REQUIREMENTS: FOR FINAL APPROVAL

artition No. 20-70-94/Scott

NOTE THIS APPLICATION WILL EXPIRE ONE YEAR FROM THE PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE IE A TIME EXTENSION APPLICATION IS NOT MADE PRIOR TO THAT DATE CRAME C 1,49

FINAL PLAT REQUIREMENTS (Per Section 45.110 of the Land Development Code)

 FINAL\* PLAT\* REQUIREMENTS\* (Per: Section 45.10 of the hand bevelopment code,
A. Original Drawing The original drawing of a partition plat shall be made in permanent black India type inkyon 7 mill mylar, 18 inches by 24 inches in size with an additional 3 inch binding edge on the left side. The plat shall be of such a scale as required by the County Surveyor. The lettering of the approvals, the affidavit of, a size or type as will be of such a size or type as will be approved. f the surveyor and all other information shall be of such a size cr type as will be clearly flegible but no party shall come nearer any edge of the sheet than 1 inch. The original drawing shall be recorded in the County Clerk's office.

COpy = One exact copy of the original plat made in permanent black India type ink or silver halide permanent photocopy on minimum 4 mil mylar, 18 inches by 24 inches in size shall, be submitted to the Planning Director along with the original plat. Information shown on Plat:

1. The partition number.

2. The name of the person for whom the partition was made. 3. Signature Blocks for the following:

and Planning Director; 

b. County Surveyor;

C. County Surveyor; C. County Public Works Director (if applicable); C. County Clerk, Mark Director (if applicable);

de County Clerk; County Clerk; Countrand contract purchaser of the subject property (if applicable); Allirequirements; of ORS 92:050;192:070(1); and ORS 209:250 where applicable; 42 All requirements of ORS 192.050, 52.07017, 55 Street names adjacent to the partition. 62 Water rights recording number. If a water right is not appurtenant to the proprty a statement signed by the owner indicating such shall 1 3 shown on the plat 

Supplemental Information to be filed with the Final Plat:

States of the

Alpreliminary title report or partition guarantee issued by a title company in the name of the owner of the land and prepared within 30 days prior to submittal of the final plat showing all parties having any record title interest in the premises and what interest they have. Arcompleted water rights statement if a water right is appurtenant to the property. 

OTHERUREQUIREMENTS:

Applicant is required to pay all advalorem taxes, any additional taxes, special 1. 5 assessments, fees, interest and penalties prior to partition being recorded. /1% and i //20 must be surveyed and monumented in accordance with ORS Chapter 92 Parcel **Market** 

A suitable water supply for fire protection must be established per UFC 10.401 and 10.402 steek KCPD Letter of 11-9-94

establish a residence or other use where domestic sewage wastes will be generated ongthis parcel; applicant needs to comply with OAR Chapter 340 for on-site sewage disposal of the sewage disposal

## STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KLAMATH:

Filed fo	r record	at request of Klamath County the
of		Dec A.D. 19 94 at 11:42 o'clock A.M., and duly recorded in Vol. M94
		of Deeds on Page 38078
FEE	none	Evelyn Biehn - County Clerk
		Commissioners Journal By Rauline Multinslate

SS.