# BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS KLAMATH COUNTY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF HEARINGS OFFICER DECISION RE: LIVESTOCK SHOWS, SALES AND PRACTICES WITHIN THE EXCLUSIVE FARM USE ZONE, BY CLYDE COLLINS

#### 1. NATURE OF THE CASIS:

The referenced case, a Classification of Uses (KCLDC Sec. 12.070) requested by the Planning Director, was heard by the Klamath County Hearings Officer on APRIL 5, 1996. An ORDER was entered APRIL 15, 1996. The appellant, Clyde Collins, represented by Attorney Spencer, filed, on APRIL 19, 1996, an appeal of the Hearings Officer Order/Decision. A hearing on this appeal was conducted by the Board of County Commissioners MAY 21, 1996.

The appeal was heard pursuant to the procedure set out in Article 33 of the Klamath County Land Development Code.

#### 2. THOSE WHO PARTICHPATED:

A listing of those who participated at this Hearing is on file with the Planning Department. The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundahl, Senior Planner. Karen Burg, Planning Assistant, was the recording secretary. Members of the Board who heard the appeal were: Dave Henzel and Clifton H. McMillan, III.

#### 3. CASE DESCRIPTION:

The Hearings Officer entered Order April 19, 1996 interpreting the law as it relates to exclusive farm use, attached as Exhibit "A'.

The appellant argued the Hearings Officer "exceeded his authority" in issuing an "interpretation" of the .... Coxle, and he "ir correctly interpreted the Code, Plan and applicable State Law by ignoring the provisions of the Code..."

## 4. FINDINGS:

The Board finds after consideration of the record and argument entered that:

A literal reading of the Code indicates the "Farm Use" and "Stockyard and Animal Sales" definitions in the Code appear to not be consistent with each other when applied to the factual situation of this issue. In order to find consistency between the two sections, the Board has looked to the custom, culture, and past history of Klamath County and the State of Oregon as they apply to agricultural practices in this State. The Board has determined that the Hearings Officer correctly determined that the practices at issue fall under the definition of "Farm Use" and not "Stockyard and Animal Sales."

### 5. ORDER:

The Board of Commissioners, upon review of the record, testimony and argument presented, hereby UPHOLDS the Hearings Officer ORDER entered April 15, 1996 and attached.

nercoy of the
DATED this 3rd day of June, 1996
Dane Hansel
Dave Henzel, Chair
Commission 21
Clifton H. McMillan, III Commission ar
Reginald R. Davis, County Counsel
APPE! L RIGHTS
This decision may be appealed to the Land Use Board of Appeals within of mailing. Please contact the Planning Department for information as to

21 days of the date o this procedure. ight to appeal this Failure to file an appeal within the allowed timeframe may affect your decision.

ATT: EXHIBIT A - Hearings Officer Order April 15, 1996

	2	
Filed for record at request of Klamati of June Of Deads	10:45 o'clock AM., and duly re on Page 16438  Bernetha G.	the5thday corded in VolM96  Letsch, County Clerk
FEE No Fee Return: Commissioner		J