BEFORE THE HEARINGS OFFICER KLAHATH COUNTH, OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF CUP 41-96 FOR LARRY CURTIS TO LOCAT'S A RESIDENCE ON PROPERTY ZONED FOLESTRY ORDER

1. NATURE OF THE REQUIST:

The applicant wishes to establish a single fair ily residence on 12.12 acres south of Hwy 140E, five miles east of Beatty. This request was heard by the Hearings Officer JULY 5, 1996 pursuant to ORIJINANCE 44.39 which has been adopted in response to HB 3661, effective November 4, 193. The request was reviewed for conformance with Land Development Code Article 55.

2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED:

The Hearings Officer in review of this application was MICHAEL L. BRANT. The applicant appeared and offered testimony in support of the application. The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundalil, Senior Planne.

3. LOCATION:

The subject property is located east of Beauty, on the south side of Hwy 140E described as a por. of the NW 1/4, Sec. 21 136S R 13E, T.A. 3613-00-6100.

4. RELEVANT FACTS:

- A. ACCESS: The property is accessed via Hwy 140E, a State maintained graded road improved to all-weather specification.
- B. FIRE PROTECTION: The projecty is within the area covered by the Bly RFD. The applicant has proposed the breaks are und the residence to reduce the potential of a structural fire spreading to ac jacent resource lands.
 - C. LAND USE: The property is 12.12 acres of undeveloped land.

This is a "LOT OF RECORD" Application and is exempt from the "TEMPLATE" review. However, as a plus, documentation submitted demonstrates there are more than THREE homes established as of landary 1, 1993 on more than SEVEN lots (Sec. 55.090 C 2).

- D. SEWERAGE: The applicant indicates the property HAS NOT BEEN evaluated for septic suitability.
- E. SLOPE: Available pographic 11 upping and site ir spection indicates slopes of 0-15% predominate the site.
 - F. SOILS: The propert / is located 31 soils with a LCC of Class VI.
 - G. WATER: Proposed well
- FI. PLAN/ZONING: 'I he plan/zone designation of the project site and properties is Forestry.

5. RELEVANT CRITERIA:

The standards and criteria relevant to this application are found in the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan (Goal 4) and amendments to the Klamath County Land Development Code, Ord 44.39 pertaining to 4 rticle 55.

6. FINDINGS:

All evidence submitted as the suff report, exhibits b-d, and of ered testimony were considered in this Order.

- 6.1 With regard to the Saltewide Planning Goals and the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan, the Hearings Officer makes the following findings:
- A. The goal of the Bonest Lands Element is to conserve forest lands for the production of wood fiber and other forest uses, protect forest lands from incompatible uses, and to ensure a continued yield of forest products and values.
- B. Forest Uses are defined by State aide Planning Goel 4 and the Comprehensive plan to include:
 - 1. The production (if tres and forest products;
 - 2. watershed protection and wildlife and fisheries habitat;
 - 3. soil protection from wind and water;
 - 4. grazing of livestick;
 - 5. maintenance of clear air and wate;
 - 6. outdoor recreational activities
 - open space, buffers from noise, 1 id visual separation of conflicting uses.

FINDING: The Hearings Officer finds that dwellings are not included in the list of forest uses. The Land Development Colle does, however, permit residences subject to conditional use findings set out in Sections 55.050 and General Review Criteria set out in Section 55.060.

C. Policy 4 of the Klamath County I orest Lands Goal states "The County shall regulate development of usen in forest areas".

The "rationale" for such policy is "to protect the health, safety and welfare of County Citizens "and" to reduce fire danger to maninade structures and forest resources."

FINDING: The Hearings Officer finds that active resource management HAS NOT occurred on the subject property. The proposed residence is within a structural fire protection district, and, with the provision of required fuelbreaks, and structural fire protection provided by the Bly RFD, and the readily available wildland fire protection provided by the ODF, along with access provided, there is an insignificant risk of fire and risk to the adjacent uses.

- 6.2 With regard to the Klainail County Land Development Code, the Hearings Officer makes the following findings:
- A. Goal 4, Policy #1 states: The following lands shall be designated forestry and subject to the regulations of the Forestry and Forestry/Range zones contained in the Land Development Code:
 - 1. Public of private industry forest lands located contiguously in large blocks, i.e. Forest Service, BI M, Weyerhaeuser, Crown Pacific;
 - 2. Significant weldlife and fishery habitat areas;
 - 3. Land having a predominant timber site productivity rating of I-VI;
 - 4. Isolated pockets of land within forest areas which do not meet the above criteria:
 - 5. Lands needed for watershed protection or recreation;
 - 6. Other lands needed to protect farm or forest uses on surrounding designated agricultural or forest lands.

Rationale: To preserve the maximum area of productive fores: land.

FINDING: The site is found tot devoted to commercial resource use.

FINDING: The subject property is site in lexed 67 for timber productivity and the site chosen for the homesite is not in forest production. There are few trees on the property.

FINDING: The small site is not large enough for legitimate commercial forestry use, but does have secondary forest growth and is rated fair for this purpose. There is property adjacent to the site which is presently in a pure forestry use. With the signing of a restrictive covenant will prohibit the permit holder from interfering with accepted resource management practices on nearby lands.

Goal 4, Policy #4 states: "The County shall regulate development of nonforest uses in forested areas".

Rationale: To protect the health, safety, and welfare of county citizens. And to reduce the fire danger to man-made structures and forest resources.

FINDING: The proposed residence is will in an established structural fire protection district. Access to the property to fight fire is excellent, being off of an all-weather road. Further, the applicant has properties around the house to prevent the spread of fire to the adjacent properties.

The threat of fire spreading to resource properties is found to be mitigated.

B. As the property in no under farm leferral status Klamath County Land Development Code Article (i5.2 refers the application to Article 55 for review criteria:

The uses conditionally permitted shall be subject to review in accordance with the following criteria as set out in section 55, 350:

1. The location, size, design and operating characteristics of the proposed use will not force a significant change in, or significantly increase the cost of, accepted resource uses on nearby resource lands;

FINDING: Rural-residential and noncommercial resource use dominates in all compass directions.

FINDING: The adjacent lands are found devoted to the permitted uses as set out in state and local goals/zoning regulations. The localion of a non-resource home will not conflict with management practices on those lands. The permit holder will be required to file a restrictive coverant which will prohibit it to permit holder will be required to file a restrictive coverant which will prohibit it to permit holder and successors in interest from filing complaints concerning valid no source management practices on adjacent lands.

FINDING: The subject parcel was legally created per local ordinance. The location of a forest residence on the property will not detablize the existing land use pattern of the area as use similar to that proposed has been established in the immediate vicinity.

PINDING: The proposal is located on generally unsuitable land for the production of forest products and livestock, considering the terrain adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, location and size of the i act;

FINDING: The project is on a parcel, 12.12 acres m/l, with a poor rating for this purpose. The site is found to be poorly to ated for forest management activities as it has a soil rating which would result in minimal value for resource use.

FINDING: Site productivity for noncommercial forest uses is found to be minimal considering the size and aspect of the parcel. No loss of productive resource lands will result. The Hearings Officer finds the commercial Forestry land base of the County will not be comprenised by the permitting of a home of this 12.12 acres.

2. The proposed use will not significantly in rease fire hazards or significantly increase fire suppression costs or significantly increase risks to fire suppression personnel.

FINDING: Structural fire protection is previded by the Bly RFD. The owner shall adhere to the requirements outlined in Article 69, Lural/Wildland Fire Safety Standards. Other rural services will be minimally impacted by the addition of another residence.

3. The proposed use is in conformance with all standards and criteria of Article 57 of the Land Development Code.

FINDING: Article 57 is found not applied ble as the ODFW considers this a "Lot of Record" per their definition.

4. A written statement v/lll be recorded v/ the deed which recognizes the rights of adjacent and nearby land owners to conduct forest operations consistent with the Forest Practices Act, ORS 30.090 and uses allowed by this Cot e.

FINIDING: A document set ing out agreement with the above shall be filed with the County Clerk as a condition of this approval.

- C. Also required is consideration of the review criteria and conditions set out in proposed Section 55.06) A. H.
- a. The tract on which the proposed dwelling will be sited does not contain a dwelling and no other dwelling has been approved for the tract.

FINDING: No other that ling exists or is approved for the property under review.

- b. Approval of the divelling will not a ceed the facilities and service capabilities of the area. The proposed dwelling site:
 - 1. shall obtain approval for cer nection to the on-site sewage disposal.

FINDING: The normal pennitting procedure for a residence requires approval/permit from the Environmental Health Services Division prior to Building Permit clearance.

2. will be adequately served by road access.

FINDING: The existing roadne: is a well developed/maintained road accessing the property under review.

3. shall be developed pursuant o Article 69, Rural/Wildland Fire Safety Standards.

FINDING: A condition of approval requiring compliance is set out as a condition of approval.

4. must be served by an approxed water system other than from a Class II stream.

FINDING: The applicant proposes an on site well which must be approved by the Watermaster.

c. Approval of the divelling will not it aterially alter the stability of the overall land use pattern of the arca.

FINDING: The adjacent lands are found do need to the permitted uses as set out in state and local goal/zoning regulations. The location of another home will not conflict with management practices on nearby resource properties. The permit holder is required to record a restrictive coverant which will protect resource management activities from interference.

d & e. Approval of the dwelling, in conformance with all required standards and criteria, will not create conditions or circumst unces the County determines would be contrary to the purposes or intent of its at knowledged comprehensive plan or land use regulations.

FINDING: The Hearing Officer finds that adherence to the various Code requirements discussed in this Order will result in a land us; not conflicting with the purposes/intent of the acknowledged plan/regulations.

f. Conformance with National Wetland: Inventory Maps/Policy.

FINDING: The Hearings Office: finds the pit perty under review is not within a designated wetlands area.

g. The lot or parcel upor which the dielling will be placed was legally created.

FINDING: The Hearings Officer finds the information submitted with the application demonstrates the property under review is a legal parcel per the definition set out in Article 11 of the Code.

h. Siting Requirements; 1-3

FINDING: The Hearings Officer finds a site plan, prepared per Article 41, and reviewed by the Planning Director, will satisfy the criteria.

D. This is a "LOT OF RECORD" application. As such, it is exempt from "TEMPLATE" review.

FINDING: However, as a plas, The Hear ags Officer, upon review of the submitted documentation finds conformance with the a quired criteria in that more than three dwellings existed as of January 1, 1913 on more than even lots within the applicable 160 acre rectangle.

7. ORDER:

Therefore, it is ordered the respuest of CUR IIS for approval of CUP 46-96 is approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicants shall file a restrictive covenant with the County Clerk prohibiting the permit grantæ and successors in interest from dividing the property or filing complaint concerning accepted resource management practices that may occur on nearby lands devoted to commercial resource use.
- 2. The applicant must comply with the fire safety and other siting standards of the land use code as set out in Article 69.
- 3. A letter of intent to provice structural f re protection by the BLY RFD must be submitted prior to any development permit.
- 4. The record owner, Gary Higgins, shall sign and submit proof this application was processed with his authorization prior to any development permit.
- 5. The applicant shall netify the County I ssessor this property is to excluded from Farm or Forest Deferral programs, if presently included. Any penalties due shall be paid and proof of payment presented prior to elevelopment permit approval.

July		'	M.D., 1		eda				on Fa	~~	20116			
JI ICLUIU	" reduces		A.D., 1	9	at	11:56	ğ	o'clock .		M., and	duly reco	orded in Vol.	M96	
or record	nt request	of		K								the		da
OF OR	GON: CO	TNUC	Y OF	KJ.AM	ATH:	SS.								
					1.3									
					Á.									
				adila. Sal						1				
					4									
						The		4						
74	w	7												
7		J.									* ** **			
N .					17	7								
			7		je de la companya da sa	اللهر					The			
			OF OREGON: COUNT		77 1	Wi amatila	OF CREGON: COUNTY OF KI AM ATH: ss. Klamath Count	Theretis County	71 motis Count !	Klamath Count;	Kl math Count /	Kl math Count,	Kl math Count; the	Klamath Count; the 8th

6. The applicant must provide p oof of clearance from the Environmental Health Services Division and Building Dept. wit in two years following the date of this order, or obtain an

You are hereby notified that this decision may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Commissioners by filing with the Planning I epartment a NOTICE OF APPEAL as set out in Article 33 of the Code, together with the required fee within SEVEN DAYS of the date of

extension of time, or this approval will become null and void.

NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

DATED this 5 day of JULY, 1996

mailing of this decision.

FE