·;; ,1L -8 11:56

Vol<u>M96</u> Page_

20132

BEFORILTIE HEARINGS OFFICER KLANATH COUNTY, OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF CUP 19-96 FOR STEPHEN JOBSON TO LOCATE A RES DENCE ON PROPERTY ZONED FORESTRY **ORDER**

1. NATURE OF THE REQUIST:

The applicant wishes to establish a single family residence on 30 acres west of Springtrail Ct., 1/4 mile south of Twir. River Dr., east of Chiloquin. This request was heard by the Hearings Officer JULY 5, 1996 pursuant to ORDINANCE 44.39 which has been adopted in response to HB 3661, effective November 4, 1993. The request was reviewed for conformance with Land Development Code Article 55.

2. NAMES OF THOSE WHO PARTICIFATED:

The Hearings Officer in review of this app i ation was MICHAEL L. BRANT. The applicant appeared and offered testimony in support of the application. The Planning Department was represented by Kim Lundal II, Senior Planne.

3. LOCATION:

The subject property is located west of Spin agtrail Ct., 1/4 mile south of Twin River Dr. east of Chiloquin, described as a p or. of the W 1/2, SW 1/4 Sec. 35, T 34S R 7E T.A. 3407-35-700.

4. RELEVANT FACTS:

- A. ACCESS: The property is accessed via Springtrail Ct., a user maintained graded road improved to all-weather specification.
- B. FIRE PROTECTION: The projectly is within the area covered by the Chiloquin/Agency Lake RFD. The applicant has proposed fuel breaks around the residence to reduce the potential of a structural fire spreading to adjacent resource lands.
 - C. LAND USE: The property is 10 acres of undeveloped land.

This is a "TEMPLATE" Application and elocumentation submitted demonstrates there are more than THREE homes es ablished as of January 1, 1995 on more than SEVEN lots (Sec. 55.090 C 2).

- D. SEWERAGE: 'The applicant in I cates the property HAS BEEN evaluated for sentic suitability.
- E. SLOPE: Available topographic rapping and site inspection indicates slopes of 0-15% predominate the site.
 - F. SOILS: The property is located on soils with a LCC of Class VI.
 - G. WATER: Proposed well
- H. PLAN/ZONING: The plan/zon: designation of the project site and properties is Forestry.

5. RELEVANT CRITERIA:

The standards and criteria relevant to this application are found in the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan (Goal 4) and amendments to the Klamath County Land Development Code, Ord 44.39 pertaining to Article 55.

6 FINDINGS:

All evidence submitted as the staff report, ethibits b-d, and offered testimony were considered in this Order.

- 6.1 With regard to the State wide Plannin; Goals and the Klamath County Comprehensive Plan, the Hearings Officer makes the following findings:
- A. The goal of the Ferest Lands Element is to conserve forest lands for the production of wood fiber and other forest uses, protect forest lands from incompatible uses, and to ensure a continued yield of forest products and values.
- B. Forest Uses and defined by Star wide Planning Goal 4 and the Comprehensive plan to include:
 - 1. The production of trees and for st products;
 - 2. watershed protection and wildlife and fisheries he bitat;
 - 3. soil protection from wind and water;
 - 4. grazing of livesto: 4;
 - 5. maintenance of clean air and va ter;
 - 6. outdoor recression il activities
 - 7. open space, biffers from noise, and visual separation of conflicting us:

FINDING: The Hearings Officer finds that dwellings are not included in the list of forest uses. The Land Dr velopment C de does, however, permit residences subject to conditional use findings set out in Sections 33.050 and General Review Criteria set out in Section 55.060.

C. Policy 4 of the Klaunath County Forest Lands Goel states "The County shall regulate development of uses in forest areas"

The "rationale" for such policy is "to protect the health, safety and welfare of County Citizens "and" to reduce fine danger to many ade structures and forest resources."

FINDING: The Hearings Officer finds that active resource management HAS NOT occurred on the subject property. The proposed residence is within a structural fire protection district, and, with the provision of required fuelbreals, and structural fire protection provided by the Keno RFD, and the readily available wildland fire protection provided by the ODF, along with access provided, there is an insignificant risk of fire and risk to the adjacent uses.

- 6.2 With regard to the Klariath County Land Development Code, the Hearings Officer makes the following findings:
- A. Goal 4, Policy #1 states: The following lands shall be designated forestry and subject to the regulations of the Forestry and Forestry/Range zones contained in the Land Development Code:
 - 1. Public or private industry forest lands located contiguously in large blocks, i.e. Forest Service, BLM, Weyerhaeuser, Crown Pacific;

2. Significant wil llife and fis 1 ry habitat areas;

- 3. Land having a predominant timber site productivity rating of I-VI;
- 4. Isolated pockers of land within forest areas which do not meet the above criteria;
- 5. Lands needed or watershed protection or recreation;
- 6. Other lands no ided to protext farm or forest uses on surrounding designated agricultural or forest lands.

Rationale: To preserve the maximum area of productive forest land.

FINDING: The site is found not devoted to commercial resource use.

FINDING: The subject property is site indicated 67 for timber productivity and the site chosen for the homesite is not in forest production. There are few trees on the property.

does have secondary forest greath and is rai at fair for this purpose. There is property adjacent to the site which is presently in a price forestry use. With the signing of a restrictive covenant will prohibit the permit holder from interfering with accepted resource management practices on nearby lands.

Goal 4, Policy #4 states: "The County shall regulate development of nonforest uses in forested areas".

Rationale: To protect the health, safety, and welfare of county citizens. And to reduce the fire danger to man-made structures and forest resources.

FINDING: The proposed readence is within an established structural fire protection district. Access to the property to fight fire is excellent, being off of an all-weather road. Further, the applicant has properted fuelbreaks around the house to prevent the spread of fire to the adjacent properties.

The threat of fire spreading to resource properties is found to be mitigated.

B. As the property is not under farm deferral status Klamath County Land Development Code Article 55.2 refers the application to Article 55 for review criteria:

The uses conditionally permitted shall be subject to review in accordance with the following criteria as set out in section 55.0:0:

1. The location, size, design and operating the acteristics of the proposed use will not force a significant change in, or significantly increase the cost of, accepted resource uses on nearby resource lands;

FINDING: Rural-residential and noncommercial resource use clominates in all compass

FINDING: The adjacent lands are found denoted to the permitted uses as set out in state and local goals/zoning regulations. The location of a non-resource home will not conflict with management practices on those lands. The permit holder will be required to file a restrictive covenant which will prohibit the permit holder and successors in interest from filing complaints concerning valid resource management practices on adjacent lands.

FINDING: The subject parcel was legally created per local ordinance. The location of a forest residence on the property will not destabilize the existing land use pattern of the area as use similar to that proposed has been established in the immediate vicinity.

PINDING: The proposal is to atted on ger tally unsuitable hand for the production of forest products and livestock, corridering the terrain, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, location at d size of the tract;

FINDING: The project is on a parcel, 30 t cres m/l, with a fair rating for this purpose. The site is found to be poorly to cated for for st management activities as it has a soil rating which would result in minimal value for resource use.

FINDING: Site productivity for noncommercial forest uses is found to be minimal considering the size and aspect of the parcel. No loss of productive resource lands will result. The Hearings Officer finds the commercial Forestry land base of the County will not be compromised by the permitting of a home on this 30 acres.

2. The proposed use will not significantly inch ase fire hazards or significantly increase fire suppression costs or significantly increase risks to fire suppression personnel.

FINDING: Structural fire protection is provided by the C/AL RFD. The owner shall adhere to the requirements outlined in At icle 69, Run I/Wildland Fire Safety Standards. Other rural services will be minimally impacted by the addition of another residence.

3. The proposed use is in conformance with all standards and criteria of Article 57 of the Land Development Code.

FINDING: Article 57 is found no applicable 11 the ODFW considers this a "Lot of Record" per their definition.

d. A written statement will be 1eco ded with the deed which recognizes the rights of adjacent and nearby land owners to concuct forest opera i ans consistent with the Forest Practices Act, ORS 30.090 and uses allowed by this Code.

I'INDING: A document setting out agreement with the above shall be filed with the County Clerk as a condition of this approva.

C. Also required is consideration of the review criteria and conditions set out in proposed Section 55.060 A-H.

a. The tract on which the pn) posed dwelling will be sited does not contain a dwelling and no other dwelling has been approved for the t act.

FINDING: No other dwelling exists or is approved for the property under review.

- 5. Approval of the dwe ling will not axceed the facilities and service capabilities of the area. The proposed dwelling site:
 - 1. shall obtain ap troval for connection to the or-site sewage disposal.

FINDING: The normal permitting procedure for a residence requires approval/permit from the Environmental Health Services Division prior to Building Permit clearance.

2. will be adequately served by road access.

FINDING: The existing roadnes is a well developed/maintained road accessing the property under review.

3. shall be developed pursuant o Article 69, Rural/Wildland Fire Safety Standards.

FINDING: A condition of approval requiring compliance is set out as a condition of approval.

4. must be served by an approvid water system other than from a Class II stream.

FINDING: The applicant paper es an on site well which must be approved by the Watermaster.

c. Approval of the dwelling will not materially after the stability of the overall land use pattern of the area.

FINDING: The adjacent lands are found devoted to the permitted uses as set out in state and local goal/zoning regulations. The location of another home will not conflict with management practices on nearby esource properties. The permit holder is required to record a restrictive covenant which will protect resource management activities from interference.

d & e. Approval of the dv elling, in conformance with all required standards and criteria, will not create conditions or circumstances the County determines would be contrary to the purposes or intent of its ad nowledged \circ imprehensive plan or land use regulations.

FINDING: The Hearing Officer inds that adherence to the various Code requirements discussed in this Order will result in a land use not conflicting with the purposes/intent of the acknowledged plan/regulations.

f. Conformance with Naticial Wetland: Inventory Maps/Policy.

FINDING: The Hearings Cifics of finds the property under review is not within a designated wetlands area.

g. The lot or parcel upon which the dv elling will be placed was legally created.

FINDING: The Hearings Cificer finds the information submitted with the application demonstrates the property under eview is a legal parcel per the definition set out in Article 11 of the Code.

h. Siting Requirements: 13

FINDING: The Hearings Office finds a site plan, prepared per Article 41, and reviewed by the Planning Director, will satisfy the criteria.

D. This is a "TEMPLATE" application.

FINDING: The Hearings Officer, upon review of the submitted documentation finds conformance with the required officer in that 1 fore than three dwellings existed as of January 1, 1993 on more than seven lots within the art licable 160 acre rectangle.

7. ORDER:

Therefore, it is ordered the request of JOBSON for approval of CUP 49-96 is approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicants shall file a restrictive covener t with the County Clerk prohibiting the permit grantee and successors in interest from dividing the property or filing complaint concerning accepted resource management purctices that may occur on nearby lands devoted to commercial resource use.
- 2. The applicant must comply with the fire safety and other siting standards of the land use code as set out in Article 69.
- 3. The applicant shall notify the County Assessor this property is to excluded from Farm or Forest Deferral programs, if piese thy included. Any penalties due shall be paid and proof of payment presented prior to development permit approval.
- 4. A letter of intent to provide structural fire protection from the appropriate fire protection entity must be on file prior to any development permit.

5. The applicant must provid: proof of clearance from the Environmental Health Services Division and Building Dept. vithin two years i ollowing the date of this order, or obtain an extension of time, or this approved will become null and void.

DATED this 5th day of JULY, 1995

Michael L. Brant, Hearings Officer

NOTICE OF APPEAL LIGHTS

You are hereby notified that this decision may be appealed to the Klamath County Board of Commissioners by filing with the Planning Department a NOTICE OF APPEAL as set out in Article 33 of the Code, together with the required fee within SEVEN DAYS of the date of mailing of this decision.

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KL/ MATH: SS.

STATE OF OREGON: COUNTY OF KL / MALE: 35.	8th	da
Filed for record at request of 11:16 at 11:16 o'clock A M., and duly recorded in	Vol. <u>M96</u>	
of Deeds Bernetha G. Letsch, C	County Clerk	
FEE NONE RETURN: Comm. ssicners Journal By Comment		