STATE OF OREGON VOL 196 Page 31133 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND BUSINESS SERVICES WORKERS COMPENSATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLIANCE OF Charles Larry Pittman, Employer,	
STATE OF OREGON, Acting by and through Kerry Barnett, Director, Department of Consumer and Business Services Plaintiff v. Charles Larry Pittman Defendant,)))))AFFIDAVIT SUPPORTING ENTRY) OF ORS 656.735 and 205.125) MONEY JUDGMENT AND LIEN
STATE OF OREGON County of Klamath)))
I, John Carter, being first duly guarre	

I, John Carter, being first duly sworn, say: I am the Collection Manager for the Business Administration Division for the plaintiff and custodian of plaintiff's Workers' Compensation Division Accounts Receivable records. Plaintiff's records show that "Proposed and Final Order Declaring Noncompliance and Assessing a Civil Penalty", Number 22053-AB (Amended) was issued to defendant. A

More than 20 days have elapsed since the defendant received the Order and the defendant has not requested a hearing to contest any matter therein. The penalty proposed in the Order became final on June 1, 1995 by operation of ORS 656.740(1).

Pursuant to ORS 656.735(5) and 82.010, there is now due and owing to the plaintiff by the defendant a civil penalty in the amount of \$1,000.00 plus interest thereon at the rate of nine percent per annum from June 11, 1995, until paid, plus the costs of recording the Order.

MONEY JUDGMENT

- 1) Judgment Creditor: State of Oregon, acting by and through Kerry Barnett, Director, Department of Consumer and Business Services.
 - 2) Judgment Creditor's Attorney: None.
 - 3) Judgment Debtor: Charles Larry Pittman.
 - 4) Principal Amount of Judgment: \$1,000.00.
 - 5) Prejudgment Simple Interest: None.
 - 6) Attorney Fees: None.
 - 7) Costs: \$25.00.
- 8) Postjudgment Simple Interest at the rate of 9% per annum on the principal amount of the judgment which consists of Item 4 from June 11, 1995.

John Carter

Collection Manager

Business Administration Division

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 154h day of September, 1996.

NOTARY PUBLIC FOR OREGON My Commission Expires:

OFFICIAL SEAL DEBORAH L NELSON NOTARY PUBLIC-CREGON

RETURN TO: DCBS, Business Admin.- NCE, 350 Winter St. NE, Room 500, 521em, OR 97310

pittman.SAM

3R

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND BUSINESS SERVICES WORKERS' COMPENSATION DIVISION EMPLOYER COMPLIANCE PROGRAM LABOR & INDUSTRIES BUILDING SALEM, OREGON 97310 (503) 945-7888

In the Matter of the Noncompliance of

CHARLES LARRY PITTMAN

PROPOSED AND FINAL ORDER

DECLARING NONCOMPLIANCE AND

ASSESSING A CIVIL PENALTY

Order No. 22053-AB (AMENDED)

To: CHARLES LARRY PITTMAN

BASIS FOR ORDER

Every employer of one or more subject workers in Oregon is a subject employer (ORS 656.023).

Every subject employer must provide workers' compensation coverage for its subject workers, as required by ORS 656.017 (ORS 656.052(1)).

Every subject employer must maintain assurance with the Department of Consumer and Business Services that it has provided coverage for its subject workers (ORS 656.017(1)). In order to do this, it must qualify as a self-insured employer or cause a guaranty contract from its insurer to be filed with the Department (ORS 656.407(1)).

If the Department has reason to believe an employer has failed to provide workers' compensation coverage for its subject workers, the Department of Consumer and Business Services shall serve the employer with an order declaring the employer to be noncomplying and assessing a civil penalty (ORS 656.052(2)).

A civil penalty of \$1,000 shall be assessed against any subject employer who does not provide workers' compensation coverage for its subject workers and maintain assurance of the coverage with the Department by qualifying as a self-insured employer or by causing a guaranty contract from its insurer to be filed with the Department (ORS 656.735(1), OAR 436-80-040(1)).

If there is a compensable claim arising from an injury to a subject employee of a noncomplying employer, the noncomplying employer is liable for payment of all costs related to the claim and for an additional civil penalty (ORS 656.054(3), ORS 656.735(3)).

FINDINGS

- 1. CHARLES LARRY PITTMAN was the employer of one or more subject workers in Oregon during the period from October 7, 1994 to March 23, 1995.
- CHARLES LARRY PITTMAN was not qualified as a self-insured employer with the Department during the period from October 7, 1994 to March 23, 1995.
 CHARLES LARRY PITTMAN was not qualified as a self-insured employer with
- CHARLES LARRY PITTMAN did not cause a guaranty contract to be filed with the Department covering any part of the period from October 7, 1994 to

OULUS COPY

CONCLUSION

CHARLES LARRY PITTMAN violated ORS 656.052(1) during the period from October 7, 1994 to March 23, 1995, by engaging as a subject employer without qualifying as a carrier-insured or self-insured employer as required by ORS

QRDER

The Department of Consumer and Business Services through the Compliance Section of its Workers' Compensation Division proposes to order that CHARLES LARRY PITTMAN be declared a noncomplying employer during the period from October 7. 1994 to March 23, 1995, and to further order that CHARLES LARRY PITTMAN pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$1,000 for violation of ORS 656.052(1)

NOTICE

if you disagree with this Order, you may request a hearing. Your request for hearing must be in writing, delivered to the Employer Compliance Program at the address on the top of this document. Your request for hearing must state the reasons why you disagree with the Order and must be received by the Employer Compliance Program within 20 days after this Order is served. If a request for hearing is not received by the Employer Compliance Program within the time limit above, this Order will become final by operation of ORS 656.740(3) and will not be subject to review by any agency or court.

If the amount of premium which you would have paid for workers' compensation coverage for the period stated above is less than the amount of the civil penalty ordered above, we may agree to reduce the amount of the civil penalty due if, within 20 days of the date this Order is served,

- 1. A signed, written agreement that you are not contesting this Order; and
- 2. Satisfactory evidence that you now have workers' compensation coverage in effect OR satisfactory evidence that you are no longer a subject employer; and
- 3. Sufficient payroll information for us to calculate the amount of premium you would have paid had you had coverage in effect during the period stated above; and
- 4. An arrangement satisfactory to us for your payment of the reduced civil penalty.

If you do not understand this Order, you should contact your attorney at once or call the Employer Compliance Program at 945-7888.

Dated April 4, 1995 .

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER & BUSINESS SERVICES WORKERS' COMPENSATION DIVISION

Ann L. Randall, Compliance Section

000611-WCDOUTNC/SUP cc: CP JANITORIAL file Robert F Webber, AAL

STATE OF OREGON: C	DUNTY OF KLAMATH: SS.
Filed for record at reque of <u>October</u>	of County Lien Docket c. Business Svc the lst d.
FEE \$15.00	of County Lien Docket on Page 31133 Bernetha G. Letsch County Clerk By AttAlun Kras